



**MINUTES
OF THE
SPECIAL MEETING OF ELECTORS
HELD IN THE
CENTRE FOR PERFORMING ARTS AT
ALL SAINTS COLLEGE
EWING AVENUE, BULL CREEK
AT 6.30PM ON
MONDAY
20 AUGUST 2018**



MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL MEETING OF ELECTORS HELD AT THE CENTRE FOR PERFORMING ARTS, ALL SAINTS COLLEGE, EWING AVENUE, BULL CREEK AT 6.30PM ON MONDAY 20 AUGUST 2018.

1. OFFICIAL OPENING

The Acting Mayor Nicole Robins, welcomed Ratepayers and Electors of the City of Melville and opened the meeting at 6.30pm.

2. PRESENT

Acting Mayor N Robins

COUNCILLORS

Cr T Barling (Acting Deputy Mayor)
Cr C Robartson
Cr S Kepert, Cr N Pazolli
Cr J Barton, Cr G Wieland
Cr P Phelan, Cr K Wheatland
Cr D Macphail
Cr K Mair (*late arrive 6:36pm*)

WARD

Bateman - Kardinya - Murdoch
Bull Creek-Leeming Ward
Applecross - Mount Pleasant
Bicton - Attadale - Alfred Cove
Palmyra - Melville – Willagee
Central Ward
Central Ward

STAFF IN ATTENDANCE

Mr M Tieleman	A/Chief Executive Officer
Ms K Johnson	A/Director Corporate Services
Mr M McCarthy	Director Technical Services
Mr S Cope	Director Urban Planning
Mr L Hitchcock	Executive Manager Governance & Legal Services
Mr G Ponton	Manager Strategic Urban Planning
Mr P Prendergast	Manager Statutory Planning
Mr J Rae	Strategic Land and Property Executive
Mr T Stevens	Infrastructure and Projects Team Leader
Ms J Arbel	Strategic Communications Advisor
Ms C Newman	Governance Coordinator
Ms J Head	Governance Officer
Mr R Shaw	Customer Relations Officer
Ms R Davis	Executive Assistant
Ms A Blaylock	Administration Officer
Ms J Abelha	Administration Officer

At the commencement of the meeting there were 221 electors of the City, 17 non-electors and one representative from the press in attendance.

3. APOLOGIES**3.1 Elected Members and Staff**

Mayor R Aubrey
Ms C Young – Director Community Development

4. LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Deputy Mayor Cr M Woodall

5. MEETING REQUEST TOPIC

“The specified 'details of the matter to be discussed at the special meeting are’–

1. Canning Bridge Activity Centre Plan – lack of maximum height limits and potential loss of street trees.
2. The adoption of Council Policy – Managing Unreasonable Conduct by Customers and assessment of applications for Deputations.
3. Whether the City proposes to fulfill the precondition in the lease agreement for the Melville Bowling Club to vacate the current site by October 2018 and if so whether the decision to seek an extension of time will be brought before Council and if so when.

6. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**6.1 FINANCIAL INTERESTS**

Nil.

6.2 DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST THAT MAY CAUSE A CONFLICT

Nil.

7. BUSINESS**7.1 NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING OF ELECTORS**

The following Notice of Meeting was advertised in the West Australian Newspaper on Saturday 4 August 2018 and the Herald Newspaper on Friday 3 August 2018 in addition to being displayed on public notice boards at all the City of Melville libraries and the Civic Centre. The notice read:

“Special Meeting of Electors**Monday 20 August 2018**

A Special Meeting of Electors of the City of Melville will be held in the Centre for Performing Arts at All Saints College, Ewing Avenue Bull Creek commencing at 6.30pm on Monday 20 August 2018 to consider a request signed by 135 electors to discuss the following-

- 1 Canning Bridge Activity Centre Plan – lack of maximum height limits and potential loss of street trees.**
- 2 The adoption of Council Policy – Managing Unreasonable Conduct by Customers and assessment of applications for Deputations.**
- 3 Whether the City proposes to fulfill the precondition in the lease agreement for the Melville Bowling Club to vacate the current site by October 2018 and if so whether the decision to seek an extension of time will be brought before Council and if so when.**

Electors and Ratepayers of the City are welcome to attend. Registration will be available from 5.45pm. The Council Meeting Schedule, Agendas and Minutes of all meetings are available at www.melvillecity.com.au .

**S Cope
Acting Chief Executive Officer”**

7.2 MANNER OF CONDUCT OF THE MEETING

1. It is a requirement to advise that in the event of an emergency, everyone should take direction from All Saints College event staff who will guide you to the exit points of the building.
2. The Toilet location will be advised.
3. All present are required to sign the attendance register at the entry to the Meeting Room.
4. Each person who participates in a vote or speaks must be an Elector of the City of Melville.
5. The proceedings are being recorded for the purpose of production of the minutes and speakers are requested to use the microphones each time they speak.

The Minutes will include a summary of any questions asked and a summary of the response provided.

6. No other audio or visual recording is to be undertaken without the permission of the Presiding Member.
7. Speakers are asked to clearly give their name and address each time they speak.
8. Upon a motion being proposed, each speaker is to address the Chair.
9. Only Electors of the City of Melville may move or second a motion.
10. All addresses are to be limited to a maximum of five (5) minutes. Extension of time is permissible only with the agreement of a simple majority of Electors present (*Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996 Regulation 17*)
11. No persons are to use offensive or objectionable expressions in reference to any Member, employee of the Council, or any other person (9.16 and 10.7 of Meeting Procedures Local Law).
12. All Elected Members and Directors attend this meeting to observe the proceedings and hear comments from Electors. All questions and comments should be directed to the Acting Mayor who may invite a response from the Chief Executive Officer, Presiding Members of Committees, Directors or Elected Members.

8. PRESENTATIONS

8.1 Mr Dayle Kenny, Applecross

Mr Kenny provided a presentation to the meeting and spoke to each slide, [DKenny Presentation SME 20082018](#). The presentation related to:

- The Canning Bridge Activity Centre
- Previous public consultation
- Projected Residential Density against approved residential densities
- Community costs for upgrade of services

At 6:45pm the Acting Mayor called for a show of hands to grant Mr Kenny permission to speak for a further 5 minutes.

That Mr Kenny be granted an extension of time and be permitted to speak for a further five minutes.

At 6:45pm the Mayor submitted the motion, which was declared

CARRIED

8.2 Mr Mark McLerie, Bicton

Mr McLerie provided a presentation to the meeting and spoke to each slide, [20180820 Melville SME CoMRRRA slides Unreasonable Conduct](#).

The presentation related to:

- CP-107 – Managing Unreasonable Conduct by Customers Policy

At 6:56pm the Acting Mayor called for a show of hands to grant Mr McLerie permission to speak for a further 5 minutes.

That Mr McLerie be granted an extension of time and be permitted to speak for a further five minutes.

At 6:56pm the Mayor submitted the motion, which was declared

CARRIED

At 7:02pm the Acting Mayor called for a show of hands to grant Mr McLerie permission to speak for a further 5 minutes.

That Mr McLerie be granted an extension of time and be permitted to speak for a further five minutes.

At 7:02pm the Mayor submitted the motion, which was declared

CARRIED

8. *Presentations continued.*

8.3 Mr Don Galbraith, Attadale

Mr Galbraith advised he is member of both the Palmyra Rugby Club and the Tompkins Park Community and Recreation Association, and spoke with regard to:

- An overview of the Tompkins Park Community and Recreation Association and the associated sporting clubs.
- Raised the following questions on behalf of Palmyra members in relation to the redevelopment of Tompkins Park:
 - What does the proposed development now comprise and where are the playing fields to be located?
 - What impact will the proposed redevelopment have on the ability for the clubs to function?
 - What is the timing for the development works and their impact?
 - Will the Rugby Club be better or worse off?
- The status of the Tompkins Park Community and Recreation Association and their ability to operate and generate revenue and the impact of this on the sporting clubs.

At 7:17pm the Acting Mayor called for a show of hands to grant Mr Galbraith permission to speak for a further 5 minutes.

That Mr Galbraith be granted and extension of time and be permitted to speak for a further five minutes.

At 7:17pm the Mayor submitted the motion, which was declared

CARRIED

8.4 The Hon. George Gear

Mr Gear spoke with regard to:

- The Melville Bowling Club's decision not to move from their current site.
- The participation growth and sustainability of the Melville Bowling Club.
- His concerns about the operation of the City of Melville and the Councillors.
- His intention to run for Mayor in the 2019 Local Government Elections.
- His request that the recommendations from the Bowls Strategy Report be rescinded.

At 7:26pm the Acting Mayor called for a show of hands to grant Mr Gear permission to speak for a further 5 minutes.

That Mr Gear be granted and extension of time and be permitted to speak for a further five minutes.

At 7:26pm the Mayor submitted the motion, which was declared

CARRIED

At 7:32pm the Acting Mayor called for a show of hands to grant Mr Gear permission to speak for a further 5 minutes.

That Mr Gear be granted and extension of time and be permitted to speak for a further five minutes.

At 7:32pm the Mayor submitted the motion, which was declared

CARRIED

8. *Presentations continued.*

8.5 Mr Clive Ross

Mr Ross provided a presentation to the meeting and spoke to each slide, [Presentation Mr Ross SME 20082018](#).

Before commencing his presentation, Mr Ross advised he would require additional time and requested this be granted prior to him commencing.

At 7:37pm the Acting Mayor called for a show of hands to grant Mr Ross permission to speak for ten minutes initially.

That Mr Ross be granted an extension of time and be permitted to speak for ten minutes initially.

At 7:37pm the Mayor submitted the motion, which was declared

CARRIED

Mr Ross spoke to each slide in his presentation that relates to:

- The timelines for the:
 - construction of the new bowls facility by late 2019
 - the termination of the lease for the Melville and Mount Pleasant Bowling Clubs to coincide with relocation to the new facility.
 - that construction of the new facility will commence in February 2019
- Preconditions in the UrbnSurf ground lease and whether these can be met without an extension
- The changes in the share structure for the Wave Park Group.

9. QUESTIONS

9.1 Questions received prior to the meeting

9.1.1 Mr C Rothleitner, Ardross

Question 1

Does the City of Melville have a policy regarding planning conditions in the Canning Bridge Activity Centre Plan for builders to control construction waste entering the streets and the storm water system which flows into the Canning River?

Response

The City manages the control of construction waste by way of detailed Construction Management Plans. Prior to the commencement of each development the applicant is required to submit a detailed Construction Management Plan for the written approval of the City. The Construction Management Plan covers many aspects of the development and may include details on issues such as construction contractor parking, delivery, traffic management, control of rubbish and stormwater management.

Question 2

If there is a planning condition on the builder, at his cost, to clean up what are the council's requirements?

Response

The City does not have a planning policy regarding this matter. In addition to the detail contained in question 1 above, clean up is dealt with by the detail contained in the Construction Management Plan.

Question 3

Are affected streets swept each day material fall from trucks, or when it rains, on the road and footpaths from the building sites in the Canning Bridge Activity Centre area as photos attached show?

Response

The City has a street sweeping program which periodically covers suburb by suburb. Extra sweepings are undertaken in areas with known street material build up (e.g. Jacarandas in Applecross). The Canning Bridge precinct is swept monthly, however when leaves fall during autumn street sweeping is undertaken weekly over a six week period when leaf fall is greatest. It is not known to what extent private sector employs its own sweeping activities, however the city informs builders in situations where debris has entered the road or drainage system from a building site when this is brought to its attention. The City responds to requests for additional street sweeping if warranted.

Question 4

Is the Council responsible for pedestrians if they fall, trip or hurt themselves walking along footpaths that are covered in construction waste?

9. *Questions, Mr C Rothleitner, Ardross continued.*

Response

The City uses all reasonable resources at its disposal to monitor and manage construction waste on footpaths. Any incident which may occur is reported to the City's insurers for investigation.

Question 5

Does the Council have the capacity to protect the Canning and Swan River from building waste that is currently running directly into the river via City of Melville and Main Roads drains along Canning Highway?

Response:

Main Roads is responsible for street sweeping and drain maintenance on Canning Highway. The City of Melville is responsible for street sweeping and drain maintenance on local roads. Builders are responsible for ensuring any building waste does not enter the drainage system as a condition of building. Drains discharging into the river are periodically upgraded as part of the City's capital works program, including measures to improve water quality.

The City uses resources at its disposal to undertake building compliance activities, including investigation of non-compliance.

Question 6

I recently witnessed two workers washing out a concrete pumping truck into a drain which a video is attached: Is this type of behaviour "policed" in any way by the council? Has the council ever fined offenders?

Response:

This is an illegal activity that should be reported to the City for follow up by building compliance officers.

Question 7

I and others am more than happy to meet with the relevant City of Melville staff to go through the issues to assist them make our streetscape and waterways cleaner. Is my offer likely to be accepted?

Response

Yes, of course.

9. *Questions, continued*

9.2 Mr K Emery, Melville

Question 1

Another special meeting of the electors is called by a pseudo charity at a cost borne by the ratepayer. These meetings are little more than a grandstanding opportunity for a malignant portion of our otherwise vibrant community. To call a Special Meeting of the Electors, again, is a flagrant abuse of this mechanism achieving little more than diluting its purpose whilst trying to place egregious claims upon our council and garner attention from the Minister.

My question is twofold; When is enough, enough? Can a motion be raised to halt any further SME's until the inquiry from the DLGSC is handed down?

The cost to our community for these meetings could be better utilised to actually provide public benefit.

Response

The City is required to hold a Special Meeting of Electors that is requested and meets the requirements of section 5.28 of the *Local Government Act 1995*.

9.2 Questions from the meeting

At 7:57pm the Acting Mayor called for questions from the meeting.

9.2.1 Ms D Carlson, Applecross

Question 1

I've heard rumours that the trees on Kintail Road maybe be chopped down soon as part of development and I wonder if you know how many trees there are in Kintail Road, and if this is true?

Response

The Acting Mayor advised that she did not believe that to be true. The Director Urban Planning advised the trees on Kintail Road are depicted on the Canning Bridge Masterplan, which is available on the City of Melville website, and those trees are proposed to be retained and a further design process is due to be undertaken which also provides for the retention of those trees.

Question 2

I have also heard that it is proposed to make this road four lanes instead of two, is this true? Widening the road would mean the trees would be removed.

Response

The Director Urban Planning advised that a transport boulevard is proposed, with an indicative design available on in the Master Plan as referred to in question 1 above.

9. Questions, continued.

The Acting Mayor requested that questions be limited to one per person.

9.2.2 Hon G Gear, Alfred Cove

Question

Read an extract from the current Chief Executive Officer recruitment advertisement for

“The City recognises that to be sustainable in the long term the Organisation needs to investigate and develop alternative revenue sources ...”

That straying away from being a local government and trying to be an entrepreneur, using the government land and financial assets is the reason for the current issues, and requested the Acting Chief Executive Officer have this criteria be removed from the CEO recruitment process.

Response

The Acting Mayor advised firstly, that the desire to seek alternative revenue streams is a position of Council, and that comments on that should be directed to the Council, and secondly, that the Chief Executive Officer recruitment is a process of the Council and the Administration is not involved.

9.2.3 Ms M Sandford, Applecross

Question

Who drafted the advertisement? The Council or the Executive?

Response

The Acting Mayor advised the City of Melville has appointed a consultant to assist with the Chief Executive Officer recruitment process and the advertisement was developed by the Council and the consultant.

9.2.4 Mr Ross, Applecross

Question

Why did the Acting Chief Executive Officer read out the question with insulting comments in it? Is that a breach of the guidelines?

Response

The Acting Mayor advised that the questions had been read out as they had been received, and no information had been redacted or removed.

9. Questions, continued.

9.2.5 Mr R Kronberger, Applecross

Question

My question relates to the Bowling Club site. There is 94 or 95 car bays for the existing single bowling club, there is no provision in any scheme I have seen that is going to replace those 90 – 95 car bays, plus the 95 car bays that might be needed for the Mount Pleasant Bowling Club members, however there are parking areas included in the Wave Park area, 44,000sqm of lease area and an area twice the size of the Melbourne Cricket Ground, with an anticipated attendance equal to three grand final crowds each year. So the council has accepted a scheme with 171 car bays which is fewer than has been provided at Len Shearer reserve with two little ovals and a little bit less than the 800 car bays at Adventure World.

The Atwell House site is not in the lease area, the Council has confirmed this. Will the Council confirm that the Atwell House land will not be provided to the developer for access roads or pathways, which are shown in the lease document on that site, can we have that assurance?

Response

The Director Urban Planning advised that the proposed Wave Park was yet to go through the development assessment process, so at this point in time the City has not accepted a certain allocation of parking. That is one of the matters to be considered through the development application process.

Question 2

If that is the case, why hasn't that been set out in the Business Plan as final point?

Response

The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that nothing is final until the Development Assessment Approval is issued.

The Acting Chief Executive Office advised that in response to the question relating to the road access through the Atwell House site, that question will be taken on notice.

9.2.6 Mr M Kalkoven, Applecross

Question 1

The Tompkins Park Community and Recreation Association Incorporated, my understanding is that they are an organisation that oversees the running of Tompkins Park that generates income which is distributed to the sporting clubs, which has been operating successfully for some twenty years. Is there a plan for how this is going to work in the future, and if so what costs are there to the council and is there a business plan in place to manage the changes and identify the costs for the council going forward? The original plan was for a very short building period, but this is dragging on.

9. *Questions, Mr M Kalkoven, Applecross continued.*

Response

The Acting Chief Executive Office advised that the City is in consultation with the Tompkins Park Community and Recreations Association board in respect to their continuing operations. The Association, in consultation with Clubs WA is working on a new constitution and we are also working on an operating model for the new association when it is formed and how it will operate into the future.

Question 2

Just to clarify, that means there is no plan current, that the plan is being formed even though there is a definite go ahead to knock this place down and to proceed, so in other words we have no idea how much this is going to cost?

Response

The Acting Chief Executive Officer clarified that the City is not knocking down Tompkins Park.

Question 3

Not Tompkins Park, but the Bowling Club and the model that was financing this previously has now changed, so how much is this going to cost us?

Response

The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that the model that is being worked on is the model to take the existing association and incorporate the two bowling clubs into it under a new entity.

We know the costs of the project.

Question 4

And they are?

Response

The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that the building modifications that are required and the ongoing operating model would not envisage further costs to the City.

Question 5

Is that funding to be used to support the club going forward or the clubs?

Response

The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that the City supports all sporting clubs through various means such as, maintenance of buildings and does not seek a return from the clubs. The City pays the insurances on the buildings and maintains the buildings, providing a premises for them to operate.

9. Questions, continued.

The Acting Mayor reiterated her earlier request to limit questions to one per person to enable everyone to have the opportunity to speak and so as to not enter into debate.

9.2.7 Mr Rothleitner, Ardross

Question

Mr Rothleitner advised that he had posed the earlier questions related – is there any reason why the photos supplied were not put up on the screen? It would have made the context of questions more visual for everyone.

Response

The Acting Mayor advised that we had endeavoured to answer all questions and that as stated earlier, officers would be willing to meet and further discuss the issues raised.

9.2.8 Mr McLerie, Bicton

Question

With respect to the Unreasonable Conduct Policy – can you confirm which, or how many state government agencies, in addition to the State Government’s Authorised Inquiry are currently investigating the City and/or its employees in relation to issues and complaints from the person that the former CEO declared as unreasonable?

Response

The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that the City didn’t know.

At 8:11pm the Acting Mayor issued Mr McLerie first warning to Mr McLerie for comments made to Mr Tieleman

At 8.11pm the Acting Mayor issued Mr McLerie with a second warning and requested that Mr McLerie leave the meeting.

At 8:12pm the Acting Mayor advised that the meeting was adjourned, until Mr McLerie leaves the meeting.

At 8:13pm Mr McLerie left the meeting.

At 8:14pm the Mayor resumed the meeting.

9. Questions, continued.

9.2.9 Mr Spence, Mt Pleasant

Question

With regard to the Canning Bridge development, I attended a meeting about two years ago about Canning Highway and was assured that the traffic congestion would not get any worse as people would use public transport. I now cannot reverse out of my driveway between the hours of 7:30am and 9am every weekday morning and 4:00pm and 5:30pm in the afternoons.

Could the council please what they are going to do to prevent this from happening?

Response

The Acting Mayor advised that the City of Melville has adopted the Canning Bridge Activity Centre Plan and developments are approved in line with that plan. With regard to the traffic along Canning Highway, the issues with traffic on that road are for the attention of Main Roads WA and the State Government and changes or upgrades to the road would be by those authorities.

9.2.10 Mr N Williams, Mt Pleasant

Question

Aside from the Wave Park and the Tompkins Park project what is the City's strategy for the next five year? With respect to the Wave Park and the issues spoken about tonight. If there are financial issues associated with the Wave Park, why do some councillors keep voting for this project? Why does the City want to invest in a new facility when the evidence shows that amalgamated clubs don't function when it means knocking down the existing facilities at Tompkins Park?

Response

The Acting Mayor advised that if there was interest in how some Councillors chose to vote, that should be raised with those Councillors. That the City is not knocking down Tompkins Park, the redevelopment of Tompkins Park is a separate Project to the Wave Park.

The City's Strategy for the next five years can be found in the City's Corporate Business Plan, which can be found on the City's website <https://www.melvillecity.com.au/our-city/city-management/corporate-planning/corporate-business-plan>

9. Questions, continued.

9.2.11 Mr D Nalder, Applecross

Question

With regard to the earlier statement about traffic flow being the responsibility of State Government, the only road in the Canning Bridge precinct that the State Government is responsible for is Canning Highway, all other roads come under the jurisdiction of the Council. So they are responsible for the amenity and the traffic flow, further to the traffic question with regard to the increased traffic flow, what is the Council doing about the increase traffic flow we are seeing and we will see with the increased density in the Canning Bridge precinct?

Response

The Acting Mayor advised that in terms of increase traffic on roads other than Canning Highway, she believed that the capacity of the road was sufficient to contain the traffic increases, and at the point where the number of cars proposed by developments exceeds the capacity of those roads, that is something the City will need to address.

At 8:21pm the Acting Mayor requested that a member of audience refrain from calling out and issued them with a first warning.

The Director Urban Planning advised that any development applications submitted for the Canning Bridge precinct are subject to traffic assessment and that is ordinary governed by requesting a traffic impact assessment be undertaken by traffic experts and verified by the City's Traffic Engineering personnel. In addition to that the parking issues in the Canning Bridge Activity Centre plan have been carefully considered. There is a Car Parking Management Plan in place for the precinct, there are car parking ratios that apply, which are based on recognised standards that are set by the State Government, there are plans in progress to enhance and improve public transport in the precinct and the Plan itself was prepared after a lengthy process of consultation with State Government transport agencies who were closely involved with the extensive traffic management studies that were prepared and it is expected that going forward there will be traffic monitoring and that any specific issues will be addressed.

9.2.12 Mr R Pride, Applecross

Question

The Wave Park project will provide an alternative revenue stream that meets the City's policy for alternative revenue streams to bring downward pressure on rates.

Given that there are 100,000 people in the municipality, and the Wave Park will generate \$700,000 per year before expenses that might be incurred in supporting its presence at this location, that is about an extra \$7.00 per head. I would pay an extra \$7.00 if it will stop this project going ahead.

9. *Questions, Mr R Pride, Applecross continued.*

Response

The Acting Chief Executive Officer advised that the City has 44,000 rate assessments, or ratepayers. The policy position to seek alternative revenue streams is a policy of Council, the administration are implementing the policy position of Council. If the Council wish to change their policy position that is a Council decision.

9.2.13 Mr J Marciano, Alfred Cove

Mr Marciano made statement in regard to the Melville Bowling Club and in comparison to Willetton Bowling Club and the arrangements for Leeming Bowling Club. Mr Marciano also made statements about his experience in dealing with the City of Melville.

9.2.14 Mr R Kronberger, Applecross

Question

Is it not true that the lease with the Wave Park Group contains a provision that the city will contribute to the developer destroying the bowling club - \$200,000?

Response

The Executive Manager Governance and Legal Services took the question on notice.

9.2.15 Mr S Green, Booragoon

Question

Could you please clarify the area of the Wave Park lease? Including carpark area?

Response

The Executive Manager Governance and Legal Services advised that that information is contained in the lease document on the City's website and he could look it up and provide Mr Green with an answer after the meeting.

Following further comments from Mr Green, the Executive Manager Governance and Legal Services advised that the leased area 4.1 hectares, the leased area is depicted on the plan that is available on the City's website.

9. *Questions, continued.*

9.2.16 Ms R Kerr, Mount Pleasant

Question

During the consultation process for Canning Bridge, we were shown wonderful pictures and offered terrific opportunities for things like a second bridge over the river, for pathways and walkways that would unite Mount Pleasant and Applecross, for streets side dining, for improved pedestrian access and a whole range of possessions. None of that moved through to the structure plan and we were told that to exit our suburb in Mount Pleasant we'd either have to either walk or use public transport or cycle, and that has proved to be very prophetic because now in the mornings or afternoons, as the earlier speaker said, it is becoming increasingly difficult to exist Mount Pleasant to get on to Canning Highway, there is only one exit from the Canning Bridge area of Mount Pleasant to go north or east. There aren't alternative roads that will take you north or east and I'd like to gain from the Council and understanding of a reviewed traffic management plan for Mount Pleasant and for Applecross given that the densities that we were talked to about in the structure plan and in the preparation of the activity plan have so radically changed we can foresee that they are not going to be adequate and we'd like you to enter into an agreement to be able to relook at that traffic management approach.

Response

The Acting Mayor advised that the Director Urban Planning had provided comments on the traffic management already. The Director Urban Planning further advised that in addition to earlier comments on further monitoring of traffic movements through the area, there is ongoing and continuing work by the City on place making opportunities, including alfresco dining.

9.2.17 Ms M Curtis, Bull Creek

Question

My question relates to densities and community amenities at the Canning Bridge centre. As a developer working on a high density project in Melville, do you have to prove a professional relationship which coordinates between all levels of government before your development is approved? For example if you'd like to construct a 30 storey building in Applecross do you have to prove that you've gone to all the different levels of government and worked out how to get the money to fix the access to train station before the 30 storey building goes up.

Response

The Director Urban Planning advised each individual development application within the Canning Bridge Activity Centre Plan is considered in terms of its traffic impact, and where it is considered necessary there have been some requirement for traffic assessment impacts to be prepared, which is then provided to the City and is then considered by the City's traffic engineering personnel. In addition to that, where a development is seeking additional height over and above the base heights, it is necessary to demonstrate Canning Bridge Activity Centre Plan element 21 (referring to the design of the development and the achievement of design excellence) and element 22 (referring to community benefits that are required to be provided and justified).

At 8:34pm the Acting Mayor closed public question time.

10. MOTIONS**MOTION 1 MR C ROSS, APPLECROSS**

At 8:39pm moved Mr C Ross of Applecross, seconded Mr D Kenny of Applecross

That the Council of the City of Melville immediately initiate a full review of the Canning Bridge Activity Centre Plan for the purpose of including:

- (a) maximum height limits;**
- (b) guaranteed retention of all existing trees; and**
- (c) community consultation panels on all multi storey development applications and planning approvals.**

At 8:41pm the Acting Mayor submitted the motion, which was declared

CARRIED

MOTION 2 MR C ROSS, APPLECROSS

At 8:41pm moved Mr C Ross of Applecross, seconded Mr D Kennedy, Applecross

That the Council of the City of Melville immediately initiate a review of Policy CP-107 Managing Unreasonable conduct for the purpose of revoking the Policy and replacing it with a Policy drafted after community consultation and which is properly intended to protect City employees and residents and ratepayers.

At 8:41pm the Acting Mayor submitted the motion, which was declared

CARRIED

MOTION 3 MR C ROSS, APPLECROSS

At 8:42pm moved Mr C Ross of Applecross, seconded Mr G Gear, Alfred Cove

That the Council of the City of Melville immediately initiate a review of the City's Meeting Procedures Local Law 2017 to:

- (a) delete the requirement in the definition of "deputation" in Section 1.6 requiring a person to have a direct interest; and**
- (b) Delete sub-section 7.10(2)(b) which allows the CEO to reject a request for a deputation**

At 8:43pm the Acting Mayor submitted the motion, which was declared

CARRIED

10. *Motions, continued*

MOTION 4 MR C ROSS, APPLECROSS

At 8:43pm moved Mr C Ross of Applecross, seconded Mr G Gear, Alfred Cove

That the Council of the City of Melville immediately notify Urbnsurf (Perth) Pty Ltd that the City as Lessor is unable to meet the Lessor's pre-conditions in the agreement to Lease to:

- (a) Vacate the Melville Bowling Club site by 1 October 2018 or by the Sunset Date of 28 February 2019; or**
- (b) Obtain permission to lease or acquire Crown land before the Sunset Date of 28 February 2019; and**
- (c) Has no reasonable basis to request an extension of time to meet these pre-conditions and therefore the agreement to lease is terminated**

At 8:44pm the Acting Mayor submitted the motion, which was declared

CARRIED

MOTION 5 MR C ROSS, APPLECROSS

At 8:44pm moved Mr C Ross of Applecross, seconded Mr G Gear of Alfred Cove

That the Council of the City of Melville immediately review the Tompkins Park re-development plans as it is now apparent that the Melville Bowling Club have decided not to move from their current site and the proposed changes will result in the Rugby and Cricket Clubs becoming financially unstable.

At 8:45pm the Acting Mayor submitted the motion, which was declared

CARRIED

11. CLOSURE

There being no further business to discuss, the Acting Mayor, Cr Robins declared the meeting closed at 8:45pm