



City of
Melville

**MINUTES
FOR THE
SPECIAL MEETING OF ELECTORS
HELD AT THE
MOUNT PLEASANT BAPTIST COMMUNITY COLLEGE
AT 6.30PM ON
THURSDAY
26 APRIL 2018**

DISTRIBUTED: 8 May 2018

MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL MEETING OF ELECTORS HELD AT THE MOUNT PLEASANT BAPTIST COMMUNITY COLLEGE, COMMENCING AT 6:30PM ON THURSDAY, 26 APRIL 2018.

1. OFFICIAL OPENING

His Worship the Mayor, R Aubrey, welcomed Ratepayers and Electors of the City of Melville and opened the meeting at 6.30pm.

His Worship the Mayor advised the meeting had been called to discuss:

“Compliance with the *Local Government Act 1995* by the City of Melville, Elected Members and the Chief Executive Officer.”

2. PRESENT

His Worship the Mayor R Aubrey, Presiding Member

COUNCILLORS

Cr C Robartson (late arrival)
Cr S Kepert
Cr J Barton
Cr P Phelan, Cr K Wheatland
Cr T Barling, Cr N Robins

WARD

Bull Creek-Leeming Ward
Applecross - Mount Pleasant
Bicton - Attadale - Alfred Cove
Palmyra - Melville - Willagee
Bateman - Kardinya - Murdoch

STAFF IN ATTENDANCE

Dr S Silcox	Chief Executive Officer
Ms C Young	Director Community Development
Mr M McCarthy	Director Technical Services
Mr S Cope	Director Urban Planning
Mr L Hitchcock	Executive Manager Governance & Legal Services
Mr N Douglas	McLeods Barristers and Solicitors
Ms A Hill	Governance Project Officer
Mr E Gould	A/Executive Manager Organisational Development
Mr J Clark	Governance and Compliance Advisor
Mr W Thornton	Civic Facilities Coordinator
Mr N Fimmano	Property Coordinator
Ms J Arbel	Strategic Communications Advisor
Ms C Newman	Governance Coordinator
Ms J Head	Governance Officer
Ms R Davis	Executive Assistant
Ms J Abelha	Administration Officer
Ms K Elliss	Administration Officer
Ms K Thornton	Administration Officer
Mr T Capobianco	Manager Building and Environmental Health Services

PUBLIC IN ATTENDANCE

At the commencement of the meeting there were 357 electors of the City, 13 non-electors and two representatives from the press in attendance.

3. APOLOGIES

3.1 Elected Members and Staff

Cr K Mair – Central Ward
Cr N Pazolli - Applecross - Mount Pleasant
Mr M Tieleman – Director Corporate Services

3.2 Public

Ms J Turich – Mount Pleasant

4. LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Cr M Woodall - Deputy Mayor - Bull Creek-Leeming
Cr D Macphail – Central Ward
Cr G Wieland – Bicton-Attadale-Alfred Cove Ward

5. MEETING REQUEST TOPIC

“The specified 'details of the matter to be discussed at the special meeting are'–

The responsibility of Elected Members and the CEO to ensure that the City complies with legislative processes and:

- 1) *whether particular Elected Members and the CEO have acted with due diligence and good faith and taken due care to inform, be informed and seek information necessary to make informed decisions; and*
- 2) *whether these Elected Members knowingly voted to structure transactions to avoid the application of the Local Government Act 1995; and*
- 3) *whether the actions of these Elected Members have facilitated or resulted in the City entering into transactions even though the process that was followed did not comply with the legislative requirements,”*

Additional information as provided on the City of Melville website prior to the meeting:

The Specified Matters

The City of Melville is currently subject to an Authorised Inquiry, being undertaken by the Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries. The scope of that Inquiry will cover issues very similar to those alluded to in the *Specified Matters*. It is therefore not appropriate for the City's elected members or officers to comment or respond to any matters related to the *Specified Matters*, until the outcome and findings of the Inquiry are handed down.

Alleged serious or minor misconduct by an individual elected member or employee of the City of Melville

Where any elector of the City of Melville or any member of the public generally, has any reasonable basis to suspect serious or minor misconduct by an individual elected member or employee of the City of Melville, they should refer the matter to the appropriate specialist agency.

These agencies have the necessary powers and authority to investigate and make properly informed and considered findings about alleged or suspected misconduct by individual elected members or employees of a local government and to do so in accordance with the rules of natural justice.

- For **suspected serious misconduct**, the matter may be reported to and dealt with by the [Corruption and Crime Commission](#) (under the *Corruption, Crime and Misconduct Act 2003* (CCM Act)).
- For **suspected minor misconduct by an employee**, the matter may be reported to and dealt with by the [Public Sector Commissioner](#) (under the CCM Act).
- For **suspected minor misconduct by an elected member**, the matter may be the subject of a complaint to the [Local Government Standards Panel](#) (under the *Local Government Act 1995*).

The Department of Local Government and the Ombudsman, among other State agencies, also have extensive powers of investigation in relation to misconduct or inappropriate behaviour, including any of the *Specified Matters* listed in the Special Meeting Request.

The City's Obligations Under The *Occupational Safety and Health Act 1984*

Under the *Occupational Safety and Health Act 1984* (OSH Act), the City has obligations as an employer to provide and maintain a working environment in which its employees are not exposed to hazards (defined to include anything that may result in harm to the health of a person, whether physical or mental) (section 19(1) of the OSH Act); and

As the person in control of the 'workplace' the City must ensure that others at the workplace, including elected members, are not exposed to hazards (see section 22(1) of the OSH Act).

Under these provisions, the City has an obligation to take whatever measures are practicable to ensure that elected members and employees of the City are not exposed to any hazards that could result from the *Specified Matters* being discussed at the proposed special electors' meeting.

Conduct of the Meeting

In these circumstances, and for the reasons outlined above, the City believes it would be an abuse of process for the *Specified Matters* to be discussed at the proposed elector's meeting.

The City will therefore not participate in any discussion or answer any questions on the *Specified Matters*.

During the conduct of the meeting the City will take whatever measures are necessary to ensure that the Safety and Health of its elected members and employees is protected.

The Chief Executive Officer will cause minutes of the proceedings to be kept and made available in accordance with Section 5.32 of the *Local Government Act 1995* and any decisions made at the electors meeting will be considered at the next ordinary council meeting in accordance with Section 5.33 of the Act.

6. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

The Members' and Officers' attention is drawn to the following provisions of the *Local Government Act 1995* regarding disclosures of interest;

6.1 FINANCIAL INTERESTS

Nil.

6.2 DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST THAT MAY CAUSE A CONFLICT

Nil.

7. BUSINESS

7.1 NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING OF ELECTORS

The following Notice of Meeting was advertised in the West Australian Newspaper on Wednesday, 11 April 2018 and the Melville Times Community Newspaper on Tuesday, 17 April 2018 in addition to being displayed on public notice boards at all the City of Melville libraries and the Civic Centre. The notice read:

“Special Meeting of Electors

Thursday, 26 April 2018

A Special Meeting of Electors of the City of Melville will be held at Mount Pleasant Baptist Community College, 497 Marmion Street, Booragoon commencing at 6.30pm on Thursday 26 April 2018 to consider a request signed by 570 electors to discuss the following-

Compliance with the *Local Government Act 1995* by the City of Melville, Elected Members and Chief Executive Officer.

You are invited to access the City’s website at www.melvillecity.com.au/meeting for full information on the topics for discussion, as presented by the requester and additional information from the City’s Administration.

Electors and Ratepayers of the City are welcome to attend. Registration will be available from 5.45pm. The Council Meeting Schedule, Agendas and Minutes of all meetings are available at www.melvillecity.com.au/agendas

**Dr Shayne Silcox
Chief Executive Officer”**

7.2 MANNER OF CONDUCT OF THE MEETING

1. It is a requirement to advise that in the event of an emergency, everyone should take direction from officers who will guide you to the exit points of the building.
2. The Toilets location will be advised.
3. All present are required to sign the attendance register at the entry to the Meeting Room.
4. Each person who participates in a vote or speaks must be an Elector of the City of Melville.
5. The proceedings are being taped for the purpose of production of the minutes and speakers are requested to use the microphones each time they speak.

The Minutes will include a summary of any questions asked and a summary of the response provided.

6. No other audio or visual recording is to be undertaken without the permission of the Presiding Member.
7. Speakers are asked to clearly give their name and address each time they speak.
8. Upon a motion being proposed, each speaker is to address the Chair.
9. Only Electors of the City of Melville may move or second a motion.
10. All addresses are to be limited to a maximum of ten (10) minutes. Extension of time is permissible only with the agreement of a simple majority of Electors present (*Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996 Regulation 17*)
11. No persons are to use offensive or objectionable expressions in reference to any Member, employee of the Council, or any other person (9.16 and 10.7 of Meeting Procedures Local Law).
12. All Elected Members and Directors attend this meeting to observe the proceedings and hear comments from Electors. All questions and comments should be directed to the Mayor who may invite a response from the Chief Executive Officer, Presiding Members of Committees, Directors or Elected Members.

8. QUESTIONS

8.1 Questions Received in Writing Prior to the Meeting

The Mayor advised that questions had been received, however they were not considered to be aligned with the purpose of the meeting.

At 6:43pm the Mayor called for questions from the meeting.

8.2 Questions From the Meeting

8.2.1 City of Melville Residents and Ratepayers Association (Inc) Represented by Mr M McLerie

Question 1

Since the 1 May 2017 has the Mayor, Deputy Mayor, CEO and/ or any Councillor received any written complaints about the City's failure to properly and proficiently comply with its statutory process and/or any obligations, be it in the Local Government Act or any other legislation governing the function of the City, and if so how many of these complaints have been independently assessed by Council?

Response

This question was taken on notice.

Question 2

Since the 1 May 2017, how many times has each of the external state government agencies, such the Information Commission, the Public Sector Commission, the Corruption and Crime Commission, the WA Ombudsman, the State Records Office, the Department of Local Government and/or the Building Commissioner or the like, have made enquiries with the City in relation to complaints to those bodies and if so how many of those enquires relate to known or current investigations by those bodies?

Response

This question was taken on notice.

8.2.2 Hon G Gear, Alfred Cove

Question 1

In relation to the contract/ agreement between the City and the company behind the Wave Park Group if the City were to want to get out of that contract, what would be the financial penalty?

Response

This question was taken on notice.

8.2.3 Mr R Kronberger, ApplecrossQuestion 1

There was, until last year, a very large sign on the Alfred Cove foreshore talking about the human impact on Alfred Cove, setting out the terrible effect it would have if there was further development. The signage has been removed, was this part of the Council's decisions?

Response

This question was taken on notice.

Question 2

How much of the area of the land has the Council really considered in this matter? The Optus stadium could fit twice into the area of this lease, the Optus stadium is about 20,000 square metres, the land area of the lease is 44,000 square metres, being leased for 50 years.

Response

This question was taken on notice.

Question 3

At a meeting of the Council I raised a question of the Contaminated Sites Act, Section 68 says that is unlawful for a person to grant a lease over a contaminated site, unless at least 14 days before it is signed a Form 6 Notice is completed and given to the lessee and to the Director of the Department and the penalty for failing to do this is \$100,000 and \$25,000 per day maximum, I have still not received a response to that question?

Response

This question was taken on notice.

Question 4

What investigations do the City's record show on parking numbers. If you look at the Melville Aquatic Centre there are 173 bays, the parking bays for the 300,000 for the anticipated visitors to the Wave Park is 171, with a fair number of these, under the lease, being situated on the Alfred Cove foreshore.

The building this meeting is being held in tonight has 124 bays. What information did the Council seek out on this matter and will the car bays be free? If they are not, what provisions have been made for parking in the Alfred Cove area?

Response

This question was taken on notice.

8.2.4 Mr K Emery, Rome RoadQuestion 1

Can there be some context for the questions outlined in this evening's agenda as they are extremely vague?

Response

The City is unable to answer that question until the presentations have been given.

Question 2

In regards to the questions outlined in this evenings agenda, do any of them relate to the environment?

Response

The Mayor advised that there were not.

Question 3

Given that this meeting has been orchestrated by the Chair of a registered charity, specifically constituted for the enhancement of the environment, and the cost of this meeting will be borne, by proxy, by the residents of the City of Melville, what is the public benefit to the community of this meeting?

Response

This question was taken on notice.

8.2.5 Mr S Green, BooragoonQuestion 1

Once it was established that the City's Administration would not be able to answer questions at this meeting, was the Swan Foreshore Protection Association given the opportunity to cancel the meeting, and if yes, what was their response?

Response

The Mayor advised that representatives from the Swan Foreshore Protection Association were advised and chose not to cancel the meeting. They would have been required to gain the permission of all those people who requested the meeting, and that was impractical

8.2 *Questions From the Meeting, Mr K Emery continued*

Question 2

The concerns that form the basis of this meeting have already been put to the Minister, in the sense that there is a State Government Inquiry. It is not appropriate to discuss these matters. To your knowledge were these concerns made specifically by the Swan Foreshore Protection Association?

Response

This question was taken on notice.

Question 3

Has the Swan Foreshore Protection Association given any details about precisely their concerns are from an environmental perspective?

Response

The Mayor advised that the question did not relate to the purpose of the meeting.

Question 4

I've heard and read reports that the Swan Foreshore Protection Association concerns about the Wave Park, include such things as water turning brown due to the site contamination, drawing water from the Class A reserve, scaring the birds away and also the City of Melville would need to spend millions of dollars to build the Wave Park? How many of these concerns have been raised with the City or the Minister or are they just rumours being spread around the community by the Group?

Response

The Mayor advised he was unable to answer that question.

8.2.7 Ms M Wright, Applecross

Question 1

I have a strong personal interest in stakeholder engagement and would like to know the best practise model that the City of Melville puts in place, whether or not you have reports around the extent, type and nature of stakeholder engagement and how the City has partnered with the community for a project of this magnitude, and would like to see the on-wear/software that the City uses to allow ratepayers to actually communicate with the City and the data and statistical evidence that you actually have, rather than the opinions reported?

Response

This question was taken on notice.

8.2.8 Mr M Kalkoven, ApplecrossQuestion 1

What is going to happen to questions posed at this evenings meeting?

Response

The Mayor advised that the questions will form part of the report to the Council. The questions that do not relate to the purpose of the meeting will not be responded to.

8.2.9 Mr D Gill, MyareeQuestion 1

There are specialised agencies to deal with issues relating to acting within the law and in good faith and due process:

- a) Is the meeting being held in good faith, when the issues that form the purpose of the meeting would surely be carefully investigated by the investigating team of the Authorised Inquiry?*
- b) Also if the matters have been or are being addressed by the Ombudsman, the Public Sector Commission or any other specialist authority, what power does the City have to interfere or change the outcome?*
- c) If the answer is none, then this meeting is purely about attacking the image of the City. Will the motivated interest groups provide new evidence or will they apologise for wasting peoples' time and money?*

Also the City's outstanding success in achieving overwhelming community satisfaction levels. These can be seen on the City's website and Facebook page.

- d) Without providing any new evidence to substantiate the reason for the meeting, what is the purpose of the meeting?*

Response

These questions were taken on notice.

MOTION Mr G CRAWFORD, ATTADALE

At 7:00pm moved Mr G Crawford of Attadale, seconded

Motion

That the meeting be moved forward to the presentations, as the agenda states that presentations come before public questions. There are issues that related to the governance of the City and the presenters have information that relates to this.

Mr Crawford resumed his seat and the motion lapsed.

8.2.10 Mr O’Rielly, PalmyraQuestion 1

As there was a similar meeting on 17 January 2018, why has the meeting been called when we are still awaiting the outcome of the Authorised Inquiry?

Response

This question was taken on notice.

8.2.11 Ms M Sandford, ApplecrossQuestion 1

A previous speaker indicated that the cost of holding these meetings is approximately \$10,000. I understand the cost of hiring this auditorium is approximately \$600 - \$800, what is the breakdown of the remaining costs?

Response

This question was taken on notice.

At 7.04pm the Mayor closed public question time.

9. PRESENTATIONS

9.1 Ms M Sandford, Applecross

Ms Sandford spoke with regard to:

- concerns with regard to recent planning and policy decisions of the Council;
- that the City's vision statement is wide and ambiguous;
- the relevance of sections 2.8, 2.10 and 5.4.1 of the *Local Government Act 1995*;
- is there a need for a further inquiry into the City of Melville to address concerns not included in the current Authorised Inquiry;
- disagreement with the direction the City is taking;
- whether the results of community surveys are representative of the community;
- the ripple effect of the inter-related decisions.

9.2 Mr D Galbraith, Attadale

Mr Galbraith spoke with regard to:

- is a member of the Melville Sporting Association historically, the Palmyra Rugby Club and the Tompkins Park Sporting Association;
- concerns that the Rugby Club lease expires on 30 June 2018, the associated start up loan expires in 31 December 2029;
- an overview of the operations of Tompkins Park operations and financial obligations;
- advice not to take any further functions bookings from 1 July of 2018 due to proposed redevelopment;
- timing of redevelopment and other arrangements are yet to be finalised
- concern about possible significant financial impact, including concerns about the personal liability of members;
- concern that the relocation of the Bowling Clubs may mean a reduction in the number and location of playing fields;
- concern about the future prospects of the Club- what does the proposed development comprise and where will the playing fields be located;
- what will be the impact be on the Clubs – operationally and financially;
- the needs for safeguards for each Club's success.
- consideration of the needs of the existing and future Clubs at Tompkins Park and the wider community.

9.3 Mr P Johnson, Ardross

Mr Johnson spoke with regard to:

- his personal concerns about the proposed relocation of the Bowling Clubs;
- his concerns associated with the Council's 20 June 2018 resolution with regard to suspension of payments of the self-supporting loan;
- his concerns about the stress, disruption and disharmony within the Clubs.
- his concerns about Tompkins Park Concept Plan with regard to use of the reserves for their designated purpose;
- the application of the *Land Administration Act 1997* and the *Parks and Reserves Act 1895*;
- his personal concerns about the Lawn Bowls Strategy;
- that the merging of Bowling Clubs often results in a decline of membership;
- what is the City doing with the \$130million it has in reserve.
- that Bowling Clubs provide valuable social and community facilities and are important in the Community.

At 7.35pm moved Mr D Maynier of Attadale, seconded Mr I Kidd of Melville

That Mr Johnson be granted an extension of time and be permitted to speak for a further five minutes.

At 7:35pm the Mayor submitted the motion, which was declared

CARRIED

9.4 Mr T Fitzgerald, Palmyra

Mr Fitzgerald spoke with regard to:

- compliance with the *Local Government Act 1995* in particular to section 1.3;
- his concerns about recent changes to policy;

9.5 Mr D Kenny, Applecross

Mr Kenny spoke with regard to:

- his recent interactions with the City of Melville;
- concerns about the approval of buildings over 15 storeys in the Canning Bridge Activity Centre and that the guidelines associated with this area are vague and open-ended;
- concerns that development approvals do not comply with Australian Standards;
 - 36 Kintail Road, ramp visibility issues
 - 5 and 31 Almondbury Road, property egress issues.
 - 164 Riseley Street, basement ramp visibility issues
- concerns about the construction of the Wave Park;

9.6 Mr D Maynier, Attadale

Mr Maynier addressed the first item of the Specified Details associated with tonight's meeting and spoke with regard to:

- his concerns associated with recent changes to policy and that there was no consultation with the community;
- his concerns about the submissions to the Local Government Act Review;
- his concerns about proposed changes to public question policy.

9.7 Anonymous

A member of the public sought to address the meeting, but refused to provide his name and address when requested.

9.8 The Hon G Gear, Alfred Cove

Mr Gear spoke with regard to:

- the comparison of Elected Members with members of Parliament and the level of support provided to them;
- that Elected Members are part-time, with other employment and family commitments and hence rely on the Executive to provide information;
- his opinion that the Bowls Strategy did not address the non-pennant sport and social aspect of the sport;
- that the report did not consider factors such as traffic congestion, parking bays and the financial impact on the rugby and cricket clubs;
- that Clubs have expressed concern to him about the loss of playing fields, concern about bookings after 30 June 2018, and the uncertainty about the future;
- concern about the loss of the function room that generates in excess of \$600,000 for the Clubs;
- his concerns about the handling of the Tompkins Park Lease and the decision to apply section 3.59 of the *Local Government Act 1995*;
- concern about the cost of the development of the Wave Park and the cost to the residents;
- not against the Wave Park, but against it being put next to the river and getting rid of the Melville Bowling Club;
- that he believes the decision to relocate the Melville Bowling Club should be rescinded;
- that he believes that the standards in the corporate world that the dissemination of information that is likely to mislead incurs significant penalties should apply to local government.

At 8.15pm moved Mr Maynier of Attadale, seconded Mr G Crawford of Attadale

That Mr Gear be granted an extension of time and be permitted to speak for a further five minutes.

At 8.15pm the Mayor submitted the motion, which was declared

CARRIED

At 8:21 moved Mr G Crawford of Attadale, seconded Mr D Maynier of Attadale

That Mr Gear be granted a further extension of time and be permitted to speak for a further five minutes.

At 8.21pm the Mayor submitted the motion, which was declared

CARRIED

8.9 Mr C Ross, Applecross

Mr Ross confirmed that this meeting was called by him in a personal capacity and not by the Swan Foreshore Protection Association.

Mr Ross provided a presentation to the meeting, and spoke to each slide, which related to the purchases of strategic properties 27A, 27B and 27C Moreau Mews and Kishorn Road, Applecross and whether or not the purchase of these properties constituted a major land transaction under section 3.58 of the *Local Government Act 1995*.

At 8:33pm moved Mr D Maynier of Attadale, seconded Mr G Crawford of Attadale

That Mr Ross be granted an extension of time and be permitted to speak for a further five minutes.

At 8:33pm the Mayor submitted the motion, which was declared

CARRIED

10. MOTIONS**MOTION 1 MR C ROSS, APPECROSS**

At 8:38pm moved Mr C Ross of Applecross, seconded Mr G Gear of Alfred Cove

Motion that the City of Melville (City) formally requests the Minister for Local Government, and the Local Government Inquiry into the City, to conduct a full investigation, allowing for public submissions, into the circumstances surrounding the City's purchase of properties at Moreau Mews and Kishorn Road, Applecross, with specific focus on whether:

- (a) the CEO, and/or**
- (b) the current, particular Elected Members who voted on 3 February 2016 both
 - (i) against the procedural motion calling for Item P16/3689 to be deferred; pending legal advice; and**
 - (ii) for approval of Officer Recommendation 3689, with deletions from the original item P16/3689****

acted in accordance with all relevant and applicable legislation.

At 8:40pm the Mayor submitted the motion, which was declared

CARRIED

MOTION 2 MR C ROSS, APPECROSS

At 8:41pm moved Mr C Ross of Applecross, seconded Mr G Gear of Alfred Cove

Motion that the City of Melville stop spending community funds on any changes to Tompkins Park until the outcome of both the Supreme Court action, and the current and proposed Local Government Inquiries into the City, are delivered.

Procedural motion

At 8:42pm moved Mr G Gear of Alfred Cove, seconded Mr G Crawford

That the motion be put.

At 8:43pm the Mayor submitted the motion, which was declared

CARRIED

At 8:41pm moved Mr C Ross of Applecross, seconded Mr G Gear of Alfred Cove

Motion that the City of Melville stop spending community funds on any changes to Tompkins Park until the outcome of both the Supreme Court action, and the current and proposed Local Government Inquiries into the City, are delivered.

At 8:43pm the Mayor submitted the substantive motion, which was declared

CARRIED

MOTION 3 MR C ROSS, APPECROSS

At 8:43pm moved Mr C Ross of Applecross, seconded Mr G Crawford of Attadale

Motion that the City of Melville stop plans for construction of a clubhouse or facilities at Bert Jeffrey Park, Murdoch.

Procedural motion

At 8:44pm moved Mr Gear of Alfred Cove, Mr Crawford of Attadale.

That the motion be put.

At 8:44pm the Mayor submitted the motion, which was declared

CARRIED

At 8:43pm moved Mr C Ross of Applecross, seconded Mr G Crawford of Attadale

Motion that the City of Melville stop plans for construction of a clubhouse or facilities at Bert Jeffrey Park, Murdoch.

At 8:44pm the Mayor submitted the substantive motion, which was declared

CARRIED

MOTION 4 MR C ROSS, APPECROSS

At 8:44pm – moved Mr C Ross of Applecross, seconded Mr G Crawford of Attadale

Motion that the City of Melville rescind the decisions to:

- (a) move the Melville Bowling Club from its current premises; and**
- (b) close the Mt Pleasant Bowling Club and sell those premises for development.**

Procedural motion

At 8:45pm moved Mr Crawford of Attadale, moved Mr Gear of Alfred Cove

That the motion be put.

At 8:46pm the Mayor submitted the motion, which was declared

CARRIED

At 8:44pm – moved Mr C Ross of Applecross, seconded Mr G Crawford of Attadale

Motion that the City of Melville rescind the decisions to:

- (a) move the Melville Bowling Club from its current premises; and**
- (b) close the Mt Pleasant Bowling Club and sell those premises for development.**

At 8:46pm the Mayor submitted the substantive motion, which was declared

CARRIED

11. CLOSURE

There being no further business, His Worship the Mayor, R Aubrey, declared the meeting closed at 8:46pm.