

Request for Removal of Street Tree
73 Beamish Avenue, Brentwood

Name	Comments	Agree	Do Not Agree
Submission 1	<p>I have sent an email to Jeff Bird. I am shocked at the decision. The Council knew the hazards when planting this tree. Will you remove all Queensland Box trees in Brentwood/Mt Pleasant? Or is this a unique tree with nuts diff to others</p> <p>Hi Jeff,</p> <p>Hope you are well.</p> <p>I am writing with regards to the tree at 73 Beamish Avenue, Brentwood.</p> <p>I received a survey in the post yesterday evening, 1st Dec 2021. I am wondering why we have been sent a survey for this tree, while just earlier this week on 30th November the tree on 54 Beamish Avenue was brutally cut and removed. This tree was a healthy tree and the tallest in the neighborhood. I have seen many birds, including black cockatoos rest in it...</p> <p>As for the decision to cut the tree on 73 beamish, I would like to question the decision as this tree was planted by the council and at the time, must be well aware of what advantages/disadvantages exist. Hence, I question the council's choice to plant this species and then question the logic behind removing it.</p> <p>I live on Freeth Court, and the street has the same tree in front of every house. Does this mean the council will remove these too? Would these not be slip hazard for us too? Or is the tree at 73 beamish a unique Queensland Box which causes a hazard??</p> <p>I am against the decision to cut this tree. I would also ask you to enquire why the tall tree on 54 beamish was cut without any survey or consultation of the residents.</p>		1
Submission 2	Having lived in a residence with one of these trees on council land I can only concur with the owners of the property. It would be nice if they replaced the tree with something more suitable	1	
Submission 3		1	
Submission 4		1	
Submission 5	Owner should pay all cost, including a replacement tree. Otherwise I would not agree	1	
Submission 6	The tree provides beauty to the street and enhances its apeal. There is nothing wrong with the tree		1
Submission 7	I think allowing tree removal sets a bad precedent that other residents may wish to follow. We need more street trees not less!		1
Submission 8	Suggest replacement tree in suitable location on verge NOT a Queensland Box which drops thousands of nuts and limits growth underneath	1	
Submission 9	We need more tres, not less. The only thing on this lot is a concrete slab and the tree, so anyone building knew this before they started		1
Submission 10	If the tree is determined to be in god health by expert evaluation then we do not see any other valid reason to remove the tree. All trees hae already been removed from this address including a Jacaranda tree which was a stunning and healthy tree. Please treat these comments with confidentiality		1
Submission 11	Suggest planting Jacaranda tree/s in alternative location, working around new driveway	1	
Submission 12	I would like to see replanted in its place antoher more appropriate and sustainable tree	1	
Submission 13	I live diagonally opposite 73 Beamish Ave and enjoy not only the tree in question but all similar trees on the street as they represent the heritage of the street and the general streetscape. The tree is healthy and should not be removed. In the 24 years of living in the street we have not become aware of a single incident in which someone has stepped/tripped or fallen due to the nuts produced by the Box trees		1
Submission 14	box trees are terrible street trees. They drop leaves which blow through the whole street	1	
Submission 15	Please suggest that the owner plant another smaller, less messy tree to replace the Queensland box	1	
Submission 16		1	

Submission 17	It would be sad for this tree to be removed. Perhaps it can be pruned to an acceptable level. We seem to be losing too many trees. If the consensus is to approve removal, I would like to see another largish tree planted in its place - perhaps one that does not drop tree nuts		1
Submission 18	These Qld Box trees have proved to be totally unsuitable for use on verges. I totally agree that the nuts which drop all year round are a slip hazard. I find this especially so as I have recently suffered a stroke and can only walk on the road - no paths available!! The cost of keeping them trimmed on my side of the road must be prohibitive and must be a very expensive on-going cost. Having been an owner/occupier of my property for over fifty years i hate to think how much they cost to maintain over the years. Surely they have now passed their quoted life expectancy and it is time to rid them farewell and have them replaced with more suitable and smaller growing shrub/trees and thus saving a lot of pruning and disposal costs. i add that i have no idea who owns no. 73 but i wish them 'good luck' with their application and hope it can be the start of these trees being replaced. I apologise if this has been hard to read but i can only blame it on the stroke.	1	
Submission 19	If tree nuts cause hazards then "all" Queensland Box Trees must be removed. "Proposed" drive way? Did owner buy the block or clear or subdivide block with the tree in place. If so owner was aware of tree's potential problem. Reconfigure drive way		1
Submission 20	A dangerous precedent if a tree is removed because it drops a few nuts. We believe we all have responsibility to maintain the beautiful suburb we live in		1
Submission 21	Replace with a native like E.Ficafolia etc.	1	
Submission 22	We agree the Queensland Box Tree should be removed if it's a danger for reversing etc. We also have a tree near us (at 63) which also is a huge problem. The mess on the ground (our driveway) is slippery and dangerous. These trees need to be removed	1	
Submission 23		1	
Submission 24	Tree looks very healthy, nothing wrong. Look beautiful tree		1
Submission 25	It does not really affect us & has a big effect on the applicant, possibly the immediate neighbours	1	
Submission 26	Retaining street trees is essential to maintain the beauty of the area. Habitat for animals and birds, shade and visual appeal. Too many established trees are being removed for construction, including the block out at 73 Beamish. Tree was established before new construction commenced. New construction must make allowance for existing tree rather than remove to make way for driveway		1
Submission 27	I disagree with the concern that the tree is a safety hazard (there are several of this tree at 7 Shaw Cl and no such problem exists) I sympathise with the new drive-way access. Another tree should be planted further along, to replace the one being removed (Preferably a WA Native)	1	
Submission 28	The trees along Beamish Ave provide a unique and highly aesthetic utility to the area. Trees like these should be respected due to not many established vegetation left in much of the City of Melville. I thank Melville for the opportunity to engage in this discussion		1
Submission 29	We have a similar tree that is a safety hazard. Branches reduce visibility to oncoming vehicles and cyclists. My son has also slipped on tree nuts turning his bike into the driveway. This required medical attention to the abrasions and he missed a week of school. Exposed tree roots are a tripping and mowing hazard.	1	
Submission 30	Trim tree to make safe. Leave the tree standing.		1
Submission 31		1	
Total:		18	13