Sized in the Coa Regular following recrease is unreasonable 1 0.1 Offerce to permit dog to excrete 1 1 27/01/2023 MeVille 1 1 2.2 (1) Offerce to permit dog to excrete 1 2 2.3 Destruction of dogs 1 2 2.0 Destruction of dogs 1 2 2.0 Destruction of dogs 1 3 2/211 Dog exercise is unreasonable 1 3 2/211 Dog exercise is unreasonable 1 4 2 2.0 Destruction of dogs 1 5 2/211 Dog exercise is unreasonable 1 5 2/211 Dog exercise is unreasonable 1 5 2/211 Dog exercise in the dogs of head with pounds have a ro- duction of the coarcial should not head of recommending days should be the council for Medilia and renorming days should be the council for Medilia and r	The proposed penalties align with the penalties issert of the Dig Registrators for mitror offices. In the difficulty of accertaining farts increase is an underly of accertaining farts increase is an underly to have much practical effect. The council should not have the right, nor the need to euthors again dogs at 1976. The council should not have the right, nor the need to euthors dogs from Medille and relocation should be the outhors dogs from Medille and relocation gogs before could lake pound dogs from Medille and relocation gogs should be the council's first priority - one euthors should be the council's first priority - one euthors should be the council's first priority. The euthors are subject to council's first priority - one euthors should be the council's first priority - one euthors should be the council's first priority - one euthors should be the council's first priority - one euthors should be the council's first priority - one euthors should be the council's first priority - one euthors should be the council's first priority - one euthors should be the council's first priority - one euthors should be the council's first priority - one euthors should be priority and the local and more without the local and more with the local and more without the local and more without the local and more makes to be exercise their dogs off-leash almost wherever they like. For the council should and their rights to priority and should be left at \$100 and the local and more without the local and will not change until when it needed to a make the should be amended to make the relative than an authors deponded to exceed the should be amended to make their than a should be amended to make the relative than an authors deponded to the council should be amended to make the priority and the local and the
Lead of the Coalegory Inflamental Colleges (implication of the Coalegory Inflamental Colleges) (implication of the Coalegory Inflamental Coalegory Inflament	Section Sect
1 2/20/2022 This is not part of the council through the right, not the need for exchange age an any council with pounds have a object or the pound of the pound	by this local law but given to the local government by sections 37(6), 29(10) - (14), 336(2) and 39 of the Dog Act 1976. The council should not have the right, nor the need to euthanase dogs as many councils with pounds have a no-kill policy. The Lemnos Dogs home could take pound dogs from Meelle and rehoming dogs should be the council's first priority - not euthanasing them. This grants an almost free hand for dog owners to exercise the role government power to engage a registered ver to perform euthanasis where destruction is ordered under the Dog Act. Such orders are subject to local government power to engage a registered ver to perform euthanasis where destruction is ordered under the Dog Act. Such orders are subject to local away but to exercise the ridgo series are subject to local laws but review by the State Administrative Tribunal. This grants an almost free hand for dog owners to exercise the ridgo series and more likely to pick up excretal fid gis on a leash. Put to concerned about lack of ineffective unless it is enforced more ineffective unless it is enforced more ineffective unless it is enforced more inforcement. None compliance with the local law will not change until there is a greater ranger presence and more enforcement in enforcement is deficult unless an authorised person actually sees the offence being committed. Point accepted - this is a fangely a resourcing issue that the than stipulating where a dog can't. This is ambiguous - and how do you stop a dog excreting when it needs to? Reframe clause to focus on removal of excrement in the emplate local law, but should be left at \$100. Proposed modified penalty of \$200 is too high This would save the need to amend a range of local law when it was thought necessary to amend the penalty. This cost saving over time could be considerable. The proposed penalties align with the penalties listed in the Oge Regulations for minor offences. However, infringements are extremely rare due to the difficulty of ascertaining facts - increase is
established and promote with pounds of the read of the second government programment progr	euthanase dogs as many councils with pounds have a no- kill policy. The Lemons Dogs home could take be pound dogs from Melville and rehoming dogs should be the council's first priority- not euthanasis where were they like. Too much reliance on public cooperation to control dogs and more likely to pick up excretal fdog is on a leash. Part 5 to be deleted. Non-compliance with the local law will not change until there is a greater ranger presence and more enforcement needed Non-compliance with the local law will not change until there is a greater ranger presence and more pick up excrement irrespective of attention around the need for dog pick up excrement respective of after than stipulating where a dog can' This is ambiguous - and how do you stop a dog excreting when it needs to? Consistency with current drafting standards Proposed modified penalty of \$200 is too high Proposed modified penalty of \$200 is too high Proposed modified penalty should be left at \$100 WALGA template provides for \$1,000/\$100 WALGA template provides for \$1,000/\$100 Retain lower penalty. the local government prove to negge a registered wet to perform exthanasis where even the top order the the Dog Act. Such orders are subject to cordinate the Dog Act. Such orders are subject to cordinate the Dog Act. Such orders are subject to cordinate the Dog Act. Such orders are subject to cordinate the Dog Act. Such orders are subject to cordinate the Dog Act. Such orders are subject to cordinate subject to local laws with resolved by Council under section 31 of the Dog Act the Retain clause. This matter is no longer subject to local laws with resolved by Council under section 31 of the Dog Act the Retain clause. This matter is no longer subject to local laws with resolved by Council under section 31 of the Dog Act the Retain clause. This matter is no longer subject to local laws with resolved by Council under section 31 of the Dog Act the Retain clause. This matter is no longer subject to local laws with resolved by Council under secti
oencrise their dags of filesah almost wherever they like. 1	exercise their dogs off-leash almost wherever they like. To much reliance on public cooperation to control dogs and more likely to pick up excreta if dog is on a leash. Be - but concerned about lack of leneffective unless it is enforced more lenefective unless it is enforced more enforcement is difficult unless an authorised person actually sees the offence being committed. None compliance with the local law will not change until there is a greater ranger presence and more enforcement Seprovision around the need for dog pick up excrement irrespective of attent than stipulating where a dog can't this is ambiguous - and how do you stop a dog excreting when it needs to? Reframe clause to focus on removal of excrement. Reframe clause to focus on removal of excrement. Reframe clause to focus on removal of excrement. The proposed penaltites align with the penalties listed in the Dog Regulations for minor offences. However, Infringenents are extremely are due to the difficulty of ascertaining facts - increase is unlikely to have much practical effect. Penalty Unit Local Law and apply this to law will be meded to amend a range of local laws. Penalty Unit Local Law and apply this to when it was thought necessary to amend the penalty. This would save the need to amend a range of local laws. Proposed modified penalty of \$200 is too high This would save the need to amend a range of local laws. Agreed - separate proposal will be made to Council to consider a Penalty Points Local Law Council to consider a Penalty Points Local Law The proposed penalties align with the penalties listed in the Dog Regulations for minor offences. However, Infringenents are extremely rare due to the difficulty of ascertaining facts - increase is unlikely to have much practical effect. Proposed penalties align with the penalties listed in the Dog Regulations for minor offences. However, Infringenents are extremely rare due to the difficulty of ascertaining facts - increase is unlikely to have much practical effect.
2 3,03/2021 Fmail 2 6.1 Offence to permit dog to excrete Non-compliance. Non-compliance with the local law will not change until there is a greater proper presence and more excrete committed. Non-compliance with the local law will not change until there is a greater progen presence and more excrete the local law will not change until there is a greater progen presence and more excrete the local law will not change until there is a greater progen presence and more excrete the local law will not change until there is a greater progen presence and more excrete there is a greater progen presence and more excrete there is a greater progene presence and more excrete the size of the progenet of the progenet of the local law will not change until there is a greater progenet and more excrete the size of the progenet of the local law will not change until there is a greater progenet and more excrete the progenet of the local law will not excrete the size of the progenet of the local law will not change until there is a greater progenet and more excrete. Non-compliance with the local law will not change until there is a greater progenet and more excrete the progenet of the local law will not change until there is a greater progenet and more excrete the progenet of the local law will not change until there is a greater progenet and more excrete the progenet of the local law will not change until there is a greater greater progenet of the local law will not excrete the progenet of the local law will not excrete the progenet of the local law is a fine of the progenet of the local law will not excrete the progenet of the local law will not excrete the progenet of the local law will not the local law will not excrete the progenet of the local law will not excrete the progenet of the local law will not excrete the local law will not excrete the local law will not th	e - but concerned about lack of ineffective unless it is enforced more Non-compliance with the local law will not change until there is a greater ranger presence and more enforcement needed s provision around the need for dog pick up excrement irrespective of ather than stipulating where a dog can't when it needs to? Consistency with current drafting standards Accepted This is ambiguous - and how do you stop a dog excreting when it needs to? Consistency with current drafting standards Accepted The proposed modified penalty of \$200 is too high Penalty Unit Local Law and apply this to law it was thought necessary to amend the penalty. This would save the need to amend a range of local laws when it was thought necessary to amend the penalty. The cost saving over time could be considerable. WALGA template provides for \$1,000/\$1000 Retain lower penalty. Point accepted - this is largely a resourcing issue Point accepted - this is a standard clause in the template local law, but should be amended to make the template local law, but should be amended to make the mediato and offence to allow the dog to excrete. Reframe clause to focus on removal of excrement. Accepted The proposed penalties align with the penalties listed in the Dog Regulations for minor offences. However, infringements are extremely rare due to the difficulty of ascertaining facts - increase is unlikely to have much practical effect. This would save the need to amend a range of local laws when it was thought necessary to amend the penalty. Agreed - separate proposal will be made to Council to consider a Penalty Points Local Law The proposed penalties align with the penalties listed in the Dog Regulations for minor offences. Council to consider a Penalty Points Local Law WALGA template provides for \$1,000/\$1000 Retain lower penalty. Unlikely to have much practical effect.
No change- but concerned about lack of compliance. Compliance of the compliance of compliance of compliance of compliance with the local law will not change until there is a greater ranger presence and more enforcement. However, interpolate of control of control of the con	Ineffective unless it is enforced more Non-compliance with the local law will not change until there is a greater ranger presence and more enforcement enforcement None Point accepted - this is largely a resourcing issue Point accepted - this is largely a resourcing issue Point accepted - this is a standard clause in the template local law, but should be amended to make it an offence not to pick up excrement irrespective of ather than stipulating where a dog can't when it needs to? Reframe clause to focus on removal of excrement. Reframe clause to focus on removal of excrement. Reframe clause to focus on removal of excrement. The proposed penalties align with the penalties listed in the Dog Regulations for minor offences. However, infringements are extremely rare due to the difficult to consider a Penalty Points Local Law when penalties align with the penalties listed in the Cost saving over time could be considerable. WALGA template provides for \$1,000/\$100 Retain lower penalty.
See	Non-compliance with the local law will not change until there is a greater ranger presence and more enforcement None Point accepted - this is largely a resourcing issue Point accepted - this is a standard clause in the template local law, but should be amended to make it an offence not to pick up excrement irrespective of when it needs to? Reframe clause to focus on removal of excrement. Reframe clause to focus on removal of excrement. Reframe clause to focus on removal of excrement. The proposed penalties align with the penalties listed in the Dog Regulations for minor offences. However, infringements are extremely rare due to the difficulty of ascertaining facts - increase is unlikely to have much practical effect. Retain lower penalty. WALGA template provides for \$1,000/\$100 Retain lower penalty.
there is a greater ranger presence and more enforcement More enforcement needed Frame this provision around the need for dog owners to pick up excrement trespective of condition rather than stipulating where a dog can't continue to the condition of the provision around the need for dog owners to pick up excrement trespective of condition rather than stipulating where a dog can't this is ambiguous - and how do you stop a dog excreting when it needs to? Accepted This is ambiguous - and how do you stop a dog excreting when it needs to? Reframe clause to focus on removal of excrement. Offence to allow the dog consistency with current drafting standards Accepted The proposed penalties listed in the Dog Regulat Howard or a consistency with current drafting standards Email 2 6.1 Offence to permit dog to excrete The modified penalty should be left at \$100 Proposed modified penalty of \$200 is too high New Develop a Penalty Unit Local Law and apply this to each local law Develop a Penalty Unit Local Law and apply this to each local law Develop a Penalty Unit Local Law and apply this to each local law The cost saving over time could be considerable. 1 6.1 Offence to permit dog to excrete The modified penalty should be left at \$100 WALGA template provides for \$1,000/\$100 Retain lower penalty. The proposed penalties listed in the Dog Act Accept suggestion The proposed penalties listed in the Dog Act Accept suggestion The proposed penalties listed in the Dog Act Accept suggestion Accept suggestion The proposed penalties listed in the Dog Act Accept suggestion The proposed penalties listed in the Dog Act Accept suggestion The Delegated Legislation Committee has concluded that bits clause is consistent with the Dog Act. The Delegated Legislation Committee has concluded that bits clause is consistent with the Dog Act. The Delegated Legislation Committee has concluded that bits clause is consistent with the Dog Act. The Delegated Legislation Committee has concluded that bits clause is consisten	there is a greater ranger presence and more enforcement None Point accepted - this is largely a resourcing issue Point accepted - this is largely a resourcing issue Point accepted - this is largely a resourcing issue Point accepted - this is a standard clause in the template local law, but should be amended to make it an offence not to pick up excrement rather than ar offence not to pick up excrement rather than ar offence not to pick up excrement rather than ar offence not to pick up excrement rather than ar offence not to pick up excrement rather than ar offence not to pick up excrement rather than ar offence not to pick up excrement rather than ar offence not to pick up excrement rather than ar offence not to pick up excrement rather than ar offence to allow the dog to excrete. Reframe clause to focus on removal of excrement. The proposed penalties align with the penalties listed in the Dog Regulations for minor offences. However, infringements are extremely rare due to the difficulty of ascertaining facts - increase is unlikely to have much practical effect. The proposed modified penalty of \$200 is too high Penalty Unit Local Law and apply this to law The cost saving over time could be considerable. Agreed - separate proposal will be made to Council to consider a Penalty Points Local Law The proposed penalties align with the penalties is local law when penalties are updated. The proposed penalties align with the penalties listed in the Dog Regulations for minor offences. However, infringements are extremely rare due to the difficulty of ascertaining facts - increase is unlikely to have much practical effect.
Frame this provision around the need for dog owners to pick up excrement irrespective of location rather than stipulating where a dog can't careful respective of location rather than stipulating where a dog can't excrete A 15/03/2021 Email 2 6.1 Offence to permit dog to excrete The modified penalty should be left at \$100 Proposed modified penalty of \$200 is too high Retain lower penalty.	s provision around the need for dog public up excrement irrespective of ather than stipulating where a dog can't when it needs to? Consistency with current drafting standards Consistency with current drafting standards Accepted This is ambiguous - and how do you stop a dog excreting when it needs to? Reframe clause to focus on removal of excrement. Accepted The proposed penalties align with the penalties listed in the Dog Regulations for minor offences. However, infringements are extremely rare due to the difficulty of ascertaining facts - increase is unlikely to have much practical effect. This would save the need to amend a range of local laws when it was thought necessary to amend the penalty. The cost saving over time could be considerable. Agreed - separate proposal will be made to Council to consider a Penalty Points Local Law Council to consider a Penalty Points Local Law The proposed penalties align with the penalties local governments - avoids having to amend every local law when penalties are updated. The proposed penalties align with the penalties listed in the Dog Regulations for minor offences. However, infringements are extremely rare due to the difficulty of ascertaining facts - increase is unlikely to have much practical effect.
Melville A	template local law, but should be amended to make it an offence not to pick up excrement rather than at polythis to law and apply this to law and apply th
1 Various Editing suggestions Consistency with current drafting standards Accepted The proposed penalties listed in the Dog Regulate However, infringements the difficulty of ascertain unlikely to have much proposed modified penalty of \$200 is too high Retain lower penalty. This would save the need to amend a range of local laws when it was thought necessary to amend the penalty. Agreed - separate proposal will be made to Council to consider a Penalty Points Local Law of local governments - avoit local law when penalties The proposed penalties listed in the Dog Regulate However, infingements the difficulty of ascertain the cost saving over time could be considerable. The proposed modified penalty of \$200 is too high Retain lower penalty. Agreed - separate proposal will be made to Council to consider a Penalty Points Local Law of local governments - avoit local law when penalties the difficulty of ascertain	ggestions Consistency with current drafting standards Accepted The proposed penalties align with the penalties listed in the Dog Regulations for minor offences. However, infringements are extremely rare due to the difficulty of ascertaining facts - increase is unlikely to have much practical effect. This would save the need to amend a range of local laws when it was thought necessary to amend the penalty. The cost saving over time could be considerable. Accepted The proposed penalties align with the penalties listed in the Dog Regulations for minor offences. However, infringements are extremely rare due to Council to consider a Penalty Points Local Law Agreed - separate proposal will be made to Council to consider a Penalty Points Local Law The proposed penalties align with the penalties listed in the Dog Regulations for minor offences. However, infringements are extremely rare due to the difficulty of ascertaining facts - increase is unlikely to have much practical effect. WALGA template provides for \$1,000/\$100 Retain lower penalty.
4 15/03/2021 Email 2 6.1 Offence to permit dog to excrete The modified penalty should be left at \$100 Proposed modified penalty of \$200 is too high Retain lower penalty. 3 New Develop a Penalty Unit Local Law and apply this to each local law when it was thought necessary to amend the penalty. This would save the need to amend a range of local law when it was thought necessary to amend the penalty. The cost saving over time could be considerable. 5 New Develop a Penalty Unit Local Law and apply this to each local law 5 Develop a Penalty Unit Local Law and apply this to each local law 6 Develop a Penalty Unit Local Law and apply this to each local law when it was thought necessary to amend the penalty. The cost saving over time could be considerable. 6 Develop a Penalty Unit Local Law and apply this to each local law when penalty. The cost saving over time could be considerable. 7 The proposed penalties listed in the Dog Regulation of Council to consider a Penalty Points Local Law 8 Develop a Penalty Unit Local Law and apply this to each local law when penalty. The cost saving over time could be considerable. 8 Develop a Penalty Points Local Law and apply this to each local law when penalty. 9 Develop a Penalty Points Local Law and apply this to each local law when penalty. 10 Develop a Penalty Points Local Law and apply this to each local law when penalty. 11 Develop a Penalty Points Local Law and apply this to each local law when penalty. 12 Develop a Penalty Points Local Law and apply this to each local law when penalty. 13 Develop a Penalty Points Local Law and apply this to each local law when penalty. 14 Develop a Penalty Points Local Law and the Regulation Set of the Emalt Points and the Points an	The proposed penalties align with the penalties listed in the Dog Regulations for minor offences. However, infringements are extremely rare due to the difficulty of ascertaining facts - increase is unlikely to have much practical effect. Penalty Unit Local Law and apply this to law Penalty Unit Local Law and apply this to law When it was thought necessary to amend the penalty. The cost saving over time could be considerable. Agreed - separate proposal will be made to Council to consider a Penalty Points Local Law Council to consider a Penalty Points Local Law The proposed penalties align with the penalties listed in the Dog Regulations for minor offences. However, infringements are extremely rare due to the difficulty of ascertaining facts - increase is unlikely to have much practical effect.
Develop a Penalty Unit Local Law and apply this to each local law Develop a Penalty Unit Local Law and apply this to each local law Develop a Penalty Unit Local Law and apply this to each local law When it was thought necessary to amend the penalty. The cost saving over time could be considerable. The proposed penalties listed in the Dog Regulat However, infringements listed in the Dog Regulat However, infringements and the difficulty of ascertain unlikely to have much proposed by s.43(1)(d) of the Dog Act Accept suggestion The proposed penalties listed in the Dog Regulat However, infringement of dangerous dogs and law in the Act and the Regulations. Solve the difficulty of ascertain unlikely to have much proposed by s.43(1)(d) of the Dog Act Accept suggestion The Delegated Legislation Committee has concluded that this clause is inconsistent with the Dog Act. Section 12A The Delegated Legislation Committee has concluded that this clause is inconsistent with the Dog Act. Section 12A	Penalty Unit Local Law and apply this to law when it was thought necessary to amend the penalty. The cost saving over time could be considerable. Agreed - separate proposal will be made to Council to consider a Penalty Points Local Law The proposed penalties are updated. The proposed penalties align with the penalties listed in the Dog Regulations for minor offences. However, infringements are extremely rare due to the difficulty of ascertaining facts - increase is unlikely to have much practical effect.
listed in the Dog Regulat However, infringements the difficulty of ascertain unlikely to have much provides for \$1,000/\$100 Retain lower penalty. 2 2.3 No breaking into or destruction of pound Remove this clause Covered by s.43(1)(d) of the Dog Act Accept suggestion Insert subclauses: (5) Notwithstanding subclauses (2), (3) and (4), the confinement of dangerous dogs (2), (3) and (4), the confinement of dangerous dogs, which is dealt with in the Act and the Regulations. Email Ether delete clause or replace with: 4.14 Inspection of kennel With the consent of the occupier, an authorized WALGA template provides for \$1,000/\$100 Retain lower penalty. Accept suggestion Clause is generic - need specific reference to dangerous dogs, which is dealt with in the Act Accept suggestion The Delegated Legislation Committee has concluded that this clause is inconsistent with the Dog Act. Section 12A	listed in the Dog Regulations for minor offences. However, infringements are extremely rare due to the difficulty of ascertaining facts - increase is unlikely to have much practical effect.
Insert subclause: (5) Notwithstanding subclauses (2), (3) and (4), the confinement of dangerous dogs (2), (3) and (4), the confinement of dangerous dogs, which is dealt with in the Act 3 3.1 Dogs to be confined is dealt with in the Act and the Regulations. Either delete clause or replace with: 4.14 Inspection of kennel (DLGSCI) Email (DLGSCI) The Delegated Legislation Committee has concluded that this clause is inconsistent with the Dog Act. Section 12A	ois clause Covered by s.43(1)(d) of the Dog Act Accept suggestion
Insert subclause: (5) Notwithstanding subclauses (2), (3) and (4), the confinement of dangerous dogs (2), (3) and (4), the confinement of dangerous dogs, which is dealt with in the Act 3 3.1 Dogs to be confined is dealt with in the Act and the Regulations. Either delete clause or replace with: 4.14 Inspection of kennel (DLGSCI) With the consent of the occupier, an authorized Insert subclause: (5) Notwithstanding subclauses (Clause is generic - need specific reference to dangerous dogs, which is dealt with in the Act Accept suggestion The Delegated Legislation Committee has concluded that this clause is inconsistent with the Dog Act. Section 12A	
Either delete clause or replace with: 4.14 Inspection of kennel The Delegated Legislation Committee has concluded that this clause is inconsistent with the Dog Act. Section 12A	clause: (5) Notwithstanding subclauses d (4), the confinement of dangerous dogs Clause is generic - need specific reference to dangerous
	ete clause or replace with: consent of the occupier, an authorized The Delegated Legislation Committee has concluded that this clause is inconsistent with the Dog Act. Section 12A
4 4.14 Inspection of kennel establishment at any time. with a warrant or with the consent of the occupier. Accept suggestion	
As a result of recent amendments to the Dog Act, dog local laws can no longer establish dog prohibited areas or dog exercise areas.	local laws can no longer establish dog prohibited areas
Local governments now have the power to specify these areas by an absolute majority resolution. The relevant offences and penalties to enforce these resolutions are located in section 31 and 32 of the Dog Act, while the	areas by an absolute majority resolution. The relevant offences and penalties to enforce these resolutions are
5.1 & relevant modified penalties are specified in regulation 33 5 5.2 Dog prohibited and dog exercise areas Delete clauses and relevant penalties in Schedule 3 of the Dog Regulations. Accept suggestion	relevant modified penalties are specified in regulation 33