

Sub No.	Position on ACP S= Support O = object N = Neutral	Summary of Submissions	COM COMMENTS
1	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Looks good but I think us in Somerville 400m zone should be included in the redevelopment area as it would improve footpaths and lighting and be a more family friendly area.</li> <li>- Rezoning all of within 400m at all directions would be better for Kardinya.</li> <li>- Houses along Townsing Road could be turned into two storey townhouses with views facing the shopping centre creating a metro corner appeal.</li> <li>- The houses along Townsing Road are old good size blocks not richer houses.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>SPP4.2 promotes a 400m walkable catchment area around a district centre be included in an ACP.</p> <p>The submitter's property is located within the 400m radius of the district centre but is not included within the ACP area as advertised.</p> <p>Review of the proposed ACP boundary is appropriate.</p>
2	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- We are not happy about the possible heavy traffic past the house.</li> <li>- More access road to the Centre should be considered.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
3	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I'm concerned with the increased level of traffic this development will bring. It's a very big assumption that people will own and use less cars in the future, or be willing to use public transport more often in the long term.</li> <li>- We still have to live with increased noise and pollution in the short term. Already Gilbertson Road is becoming noisier and busier with the limited development taking place at the moment.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
4	N	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Main roads was limited with correct sensible obvious storm water plans because of sewerage being in the way and was informed that it might be rectified with future sewerage developments.</li> <li>- This proposed rezoning would be the perfect opportunity for council, main roads and water authorities to upgrade the underground storm water, sewerage waste to prevent any future flooding in the South St gully from overloaded redevelopment users</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p>
5	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Maximum building heights of 15 to 20 storeys in the core are too high for healthy residential living in Covid safe buildings.</li> <li>- Maximum 10 storeys preferred in core.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p>
6	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Several technical studies were undertaken as part of catchment investigations, including transport, servicing and infrastructure and retail sustainability".</li> <li>- What analysis has occurred to check the impact of Coronavirus on the integrity of these studies? Will there be changes in the socio-economic status of Kardinya residents? Will there be demand for boutique shops? Is it anticipated that the residential areas will be filled to capacity? Has thought been given to social distancing?</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p>
7	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- In favour of the Pedestrian crossing between bus stops and shops</li> <li>- Maintain access to shops throughout construction</li> <li>- Keep people informed of progress</li> </ul> <p>Strong concerns include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- At present Gilbertson Road during "schoolie" times becomes very busy and difficult to enter the street from side streets, as well as being a "rat run" for easy vehicle traffic between South Street and Leach Highway. What is it going to become once all the planned "high rise" accommodations are occupied?? We have not heard of any road upgrades to accommodate all of these extra cars and what about the building process, with all of the "tradies" vehicles and their parking. As you know they seem to be immune from parking regulations, verges etc., that you and I cop a fine for.</li> <li>- We have very strong reservations about anything being built above 2 storeys. Maybe 9 storeys in the main</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of assessment of the proposed ACP including</p>

		<p>complex seems to be unavoidable, but from what we have heard about increases in height we are totally opposed to it.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- When we came to live here in 1975 there was no mention of a high rise development in the future planning. We are here because of the idyllic lifestyle, close proximity to the university, hospitals etc., and now we can see that this special lifestyle is going to be compromised.</li> <li>- If you have lots of money to spend, and I know that C.O.M. will have to spend a lot on top of the developers costs for this project, why hasn't more dollars been spent in underground power assistance for our community in creating the shady, cooler environment that you have been spruiking in your Melville brochures etc.? I am certainly prepared to pay whatever it costs for underground power and I get quite angry that nothing has been done by C.O.M to promote this while they are talking about the "heat island" effect. And of course, the loss of tree cover because of this project is concerning.</li> <li>- As you may know, close to where we live there are no footpaths, will our local roads cope with extra traffic under these new circumstances?</li> <li>- We presume Laurie Withers Park on the corner of Dalston Cr. and Loris Wy. will remain as is? We sincerely hope so.</li> <li>- If this whole development is driven by the developer's need/desire for profits, we would hope that you at C.O.M., our representatives, will look after our interests to the utmost, after all, it is our rates that keep you in business....or are we being naïve?</li> <li>- Will this development impinge on the local after hours serenity/lifestyle because of extra nightlife, visitors to the area, with an increase in unsocial activities that we are seeing increasing as population densities increase? Will we see an increase in the Melville Security patrols?</li> </ul>	<p>whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>SPP4.2 has identified Kardinya as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres. SPP 4.2 was gazetted in August 2010.</p> <p>There is no development proposed within Public Open Space..</p>
8	S	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I am in favour of the proposal. I would like to see the proposed plan implemented as soon as possible.</li> </ul>	Noted.
9	S	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I believe a greater area should be re-zoned R40 to encourage more development &amp; density.</li> <li>- I feel there's a lack of vibrancy within the suburb and there are many areas that generally feel very old and tired.</li> <li>- I believe Kardinya is located in a very strategic area given it's close proximity to a university, significant medical facility and the Murdoch train station and should be capitalising on much more density.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>SPP4.2 promotes a 400m walkable catchment area around a district centre to be included in an ACP. The boundary of the proposed ACP does not include all properties within such a 400m radius. SPP 4.2 identifies a dwelling target for the ACP area and ultimate densities should support this target.</p>
10	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The proposed high density infill will have a detrimental effect on family friendly demographic Kardinya, increasing traffic flow causing more problems along South St/Gilbertson Rd, already extremely busy since opening of Aldi, with traffic flow problems on smaller suburban streets.</li> <li>- The proposed rezoning of building height will have a detrimental effect on properties in the area both in outlook and property value, as high rise buildings tend to be rental rather than residential.</li> </ul>	<p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted.</p>
11	S	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I'm all for it. Its a commercial development within a commercial zone and the surrounding homes and occupants will have to get used to the inner metropolitan area getting higher density living. With that comes more facilities and better use of the existing infrastructure that council and state already has in place.</li> </ul>	Noted.
12	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I am happy that the proposed redevelopment of the Kardinya Shopping Centre is on the books and will probably be well supported.</li> <li>- However, I am seriously opposed to any further rezoning of land within the 400metres of the development. I purchased a block of land that was subdivided and subsequently built a single-story house on it.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP.</p>
13	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The present proposed plans, if follows as it is, will have an adverse effect on the family-friendly and peaceful demographic of the quiet suburban lifestyle essentially turning it into a metropolitan city.</li> <li>- In addition to that, the loss of trees and wildlife will greatly effect on the wildlife and environment. Overall current plans will greatly reduce the quality of life in a peaceful suburb of Kardinya</li> </ul>	Noted.
14	S	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- We are very supportive of all aspects of these proposals.</li> <li>- Please accept this email as a strong yes vote to this development.</li> <li>- Our region will benefit for the next 100 years from this. Good luck with the plans.</li> </ul>	Noted.
15	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Please do not consider this proposal at all.</li> <li>- We cannot afford to have high rise and heavy traffic around here on top of that its a very small community and we love our quiet suburban life style.</li> <li>- This is absolutely an unrealistic proposal. Suburbs closer to city don't even have such a high rise proposal.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- It's purely developer greed.</li> </ul>	<p>SPP 4.2 identifies a dwelling target for the ACP area and ultimate densities should support this target.</p> <p>The City has a statutory obligation to consider the proposal and to provide a report to the WAPC recommending a decision the City considers is appropriate.</p>
16	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Personally, i think it is one of the most damaging proposals I have seen in WA.</li> <li>- Without due consideration of our Perth population and way of life , proposing rezoning to such a scale is equivalent to Sydney or Melbourne when we only have one third of their population .</li> <li>- Not walking distance to train station as well. P</li> <li>- Please do not even consider such a proposal as the only beneficiary I can see will be the shopping centre owner .</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The City has a statutory obligation to consider the proposal and to provide a report to the WAPC recommending a decision the City considers is appropriate.</p>
17	S	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Supportive.</li> <li>- Brilliant idea and should go ahead.</li> <li>- 100% for it.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p>
18	S	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Supportive.</li> <li>- Fantastic for the property owners and all the residents of Kardinya.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p>
19	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I'm supportive of shopping centre redevelopment and glad to see this approved.</li> <li>- 5 storey towers in surrounding residential cul-de-sacs where I live seems unnecessary, out of place &amp; should be limited to the commercial area.</li> <li>- Up to 3 storeys seems reasonable. I understand the shopping centre want more people living nearby to shop at Kmart, but I think the ACP needs greater thought.</li> <li>- Hopefully their redevelopment viability/forecasts weren't based on increasing no's of surrounding residents unrealistically.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
20	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- We wish to voice our objection to the proposed change of rezoning in our suburb.</li> <li>- we are totally opposed to it and join with fellow friends and neighbours in saying "NO"</li> <li>- We do not want these proposed changes to our beautiful suburb.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p>
21	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I would like to express my major concerns regarding the proposed rezoning of my property and surrounding neighbours to allow for potential higher density or higher-rise residential buildings. This will certainly impact the family-friendly, peaceful and safe neighbourhood that we currently enjoy.</li> <li>- It is important that there should be NO rezoning in respect of the residences around the proposed shopping centre development.</li> <li>- I sincerely hope that this rezoning should NOT be allowed to go ahead.</li> <li>- We hope that the City of Melville will do the right thing by its residents and its community.</li> <li>- We also kindly request that the community is notified regarding any proposed changes in a more transparent manner, outlining clearly what the impacts to current residents are, as we feel that these proposed changes so far have NOT been clearly outlined to residents.</li> <li>-</li> </ul>	<p>Noted. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP.</p> <p>The City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- all properties within the proposed ACP boundary</li> <li>- properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP</li> <li>- properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included within the proposed ACP</li> </ul> <p>Should modifications be proposed to the ACP it is expected that these</p>

			modifications will also be further advertised before a final recommendation is forwarded to the WAPC.
22	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I don't agree with changing the r-codes to allow three story buildings in this area. We have enough issues with extra houses being built on existing blocks with people parking on verges now and blocking vision to back out of driveways.</li> </ul>	Noted. Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.
23	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- We wish to express our concern about our property being in the 'medium density' development.</li> <li>- We are in a Residential area, we have been living in this property for the past 39 years and intend to live in our home as long as possible.</li> <li>- We have no objection to our shopping centre being upgraded as long as it doesn't include our property in the new development zone.</li> <li>- We strongly object to the proposed development in our zone.</li> </ul>	Noted. Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.
24	S	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Really like the proposed project.</li> <li>- Could you make Gilbertson Road into one of the safe roads as well like around GC shopping centre ?</li> <li>- Would make it easier for children to access Kardinya primary school on foot or bicycle. :)) and the public to the shopping centre :)</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
25	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I am writing to you in regards to rezoning plans in my suburb of Kardinya. I am against plans to allow 3-5 story houses in my neighbourhood.</li> <li>- if such plans are put through the look of Kardinya will change and not for the better</li> </ul>	<p>Noted. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
26	S	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I support the plans - we need some higher quality amenities in the area, including better shopping and dining options.</li> </ul>	Noted.
27	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I moved into the area in 2019 after building my new home because I liked the atmosphere of the suburb. Now I am very disappointed to hear that there is a proposal for rezoning of the areas near my street, especially allowing compact apartments, which will increase traffic flow and congestion!</li> <li>- Gilbertson Road is a narrow road; allowing apartments to be built along it will cause more problems for residents!</li> <li>- Upgrading the shopping centre will already increase traffic flow, rezoning will make it worse!</li> <li>- I am happy with the upgrade of the shopping centre but please, DO NOT allow rezoning of all the nearby residential areas to allow for high-rise apartments and units!</li> <li>- Only if you live in this beautiful suburb, will you understand how the proposed changes to allow high density living will impact on our lives....</li> </ul>	<p>Noted. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
28	N	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Would like to have angle parking and plenty of native plants in Kardinya district.</li> <li>- Especially angle parking in shopping center e.g the smart design at Booragoon shopping centre.</li> </ul>	Noted.
29	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I definitely oppose the increase of building heights in the surrounding residential area which will concentrate low cost housing and result in increased crime, traffic density and pollution.</li> <li>- I am also totally opposed to an increase in the height of the apartment complex from the proposed 9 stories.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted. Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p>

30	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I wish to register my protest against the developers activity plan which attempts to raise the height levels of dwellings within 400m of the Kardinya Shopping Centre.</li> <li>- I believe all residential areas should remain at a maximum height of two storeys, and the Kardinya Shopping Centre Development should not be permitted to increase the height of the build beyond the currently approved nine storeys.</li> <li>- The destruction of people's privacy with the building of multi story dwellings and the increase of traffic in small suburban streets will create ghettos and increase crime and resident dissatisfaction. As a long time resident we cannot but feel the way of life of Kardinya residents will be adversely affected with the proposed Plan.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
31	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Distressed to be informed of plan.</li> <li>- Very opposed to high rise apartments in the area.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
32	O	<p>Object on the following grounds:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The proposed rezoning in Kardinya i.e. all residential areas should remain at a maximum height of 02 storeys.</li> <li>- The Kardinya Shopping Centre Development should not be permitted to increase the apartment building height beyond the currently approved 9 storeys.</li> </ul>	<p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p>
33	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- We oppose the proposed application and argue that there should be NO rezoning.</li> <li>- The Kardinya shopping centre Development should not be permitted to any increase of building heights and should remain to two stories only. Quite happy with the new shopping centre Development but rezoning of our residents is a NO NO</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p>
34	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I disagree with the idea of changing the original plans to include multi stories dwelling.</li> <li>- The infrastructure in my opinion will not support the extra vehicles and lack of parking in the area.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
35	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- As a resident of Kardinya for 46 years I object to the above proposal. Melville City Council please ensure this does not happen, as the consequences would be devastating to us residents</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The City has a statutory obligation to consider the ACP proposal and provide a report with a recommendation to the WAPC which is the determining authority.</p>

36	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I oppose the application to rezone Kardinya and all residential areas should be limited to 2 stories and the Kardinya shopping centre proposal should be limited to 7 stories only.</li> <li>- We certainly do not need any more traffic that is currently here and loss of trees.</li> <li>- It's a shame and shouldn't proceed</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p>
37	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The document recently regarding the shopping centre &amp; environs development is quite different to the original notification with broader re-zoning of R80 and affecting a larger area, including the Morris Buzacott reserve.</li> <li>- This would adversely affect the neighbourhood and so I ask that this plan goes no further in its current format.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The previous proposal was a development application was for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre property only. This has been approved by JDAP.</p> <p>The current proposal is for an Activity Centre Plan for the district centre (which includes the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre property) and its surrounding catchment as per the requirements of SPP 4.2.</p>
38	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- My first thought on this matter is to ask if Council planning dept. now abrogates its responsibility for planning &amp; allows planning to be dictated by developers?</li> <li>- This plan is nothing more than an attempt by the developer to massively infill a quiet residential, family friendly suburb with apartments etc to increase the captive population to service their project.</li> <li>- There is already ample infill with older blocks now split into 2. These new homes house mainly couples with young children. They didn't build here for the area to become a mini Hong Kong.</li> <li>- There is no consideration as to what the current residents want for the area &amp; we certainly don't want much busier roads, parking congestion on the streets, loss of trees, less shade, higher temperatures &amp; loss of bird life.</li> <li>- Totally REJECT this plan!!</li> </ul>	<p>The Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 allow for a private landowner or the local government to prepare an ACP.</p> <p>Where an ACP is prepared by a private landowner and submitted to the City, the City is required under the regulations to advertise the proposed ACP, undertake an assessment of the ACP against the planning framework and make a recommendation to the WAPC which makes the ultimate determination of the ACP.</p> <p>The City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- all properties within the proposed ACP boundary</li> <li>- properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP</li> <li>- properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included within the proposed ACP.</li> </ul> <p>A report will be presented to elected members outlining the outcomes of the City's assessment to inform their consideration of the City's recommendation to the WAPC.</p>
39	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I am concerned about certain things on rezoning in Kardinya ... on residential areas should remain at maximum height of two storeys and the Kardinya shopping centre should not be allowed to go more than nine storeys and the parks should be respected.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>

			The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.
40	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I wish to put forward my comments regarding the above rezoning for Kardinya. I have lived in this area for 36 years and it has been quiet and friendly part of Kardinya to live. I also have to mention that a larger part of this area is of the older generation.</li> <li>- So, regarding this planning and I could speak for most of this street and surrounding areas DO NOT want the rezoning of Kardinya.</li> </ul> <p>Listed below I will dot point the reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Because of noise and the movement of traffic will increase.</li> <li>- If these units are built and do not sell straight away, they will all be rented out and my question is where will they all park. Underground parking has been mentioned but will this affect the underground water table and there is of course the street. The water table runs across the park down to Piercy Way. These thing all need to be considered.</li> <li>- I am told that there has been inadequate and misleading consultation by the developers and the community.</li> <li>- There would be loss of tree canopy which will impact on shade, bird life, and the natural environment, and increase temperatures.</li> <li>- The proposed rezoning aims to increase the height of buildings and density in the suburb. Now, I ask you, would you buy a property next to a high rise block of units. The answer would probably be no and it would drop the value of the property considerably.</li> <li>- Finally, adverse changes to the family-friendly character and demographics of the suburb.</li> </ul> <p>It is very important that the residents of Kardinya oppose the proposed application and argue that there should be no rezoning Le. all residential areas should remain at a maximum height of two story’s, and the Kardinya Shopping Centre Development should not be permitted to increase the apartment building height beyond the current approved nine story’s.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p>
41	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- As a resident in the 400m radius proposed for rezoning, I strongly oppose the development of this area. I have two small children and the increased traffic that will congest this area is of serious concern for families.</li> <li>- Kardinya PS is gradually growing but I believe that the changes in population that this type of housing will bring to the suburb will affect this. As it is, there are too few footpaths so it is already difficult when walking and riding and with the traffic increase that will be created, this will become impossible to do safely. I believe families will move away from such built up areas.</li> <li>- I am also very concerned about the environmental impact this will have on the trees and wildlife, particularly birds, that we are so lucky to still have in our area and that were the reason we chose to live in this suburb.</li> <li>- In addition, I feel the consultation with the community was inadequate. The first I knew of it was a letter from the council and even that was completely unclear as to what was actually being proposed.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>The City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- all properties within the proposed ACP boundary</li> <li>- properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP</li> <li>- properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included within the proposed ACP.</li> </ul> <p>This letter outlined a number of options to seek information re the proposed ACP as well as a link to the full documentation as submitted.</p>
42	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I am writing to you regarding my grave concerns about the proposed Activity Centre Plan (ACP) for the Kardinya District Centre. I wanted to advise you that I am strongly opposed to the proposed ACP, in particular to the proposed re-zoning which includes 15-20 storey apartment blocks within the Shopping Centre Development Core and Frame, and 5 storey, 4 storey and 3 storey apartments within the Residential area.</li> <li>- I have lived in Kardinya for the last forty years and my house will be adversely affected by the proposed development and 400 m rezoning. The suburb has a strong sense of community and is a suburb that is family friendly – it is quiet and there are a lot of areas of public green space, which is not only important for the well-being of people, but also for the environment. This quietness, peacefulness and public green space is very important to me and to other residents who have chosen to live here for those qualities.</li> <li>- There is widespread concern and opposition among residents living in Kardinya in regards to the proposed ACP. I have highlighted below concerns regarding the proposed plan.</li> </ul> <p><b>Inadequate and misleading consultation</b></p>	Noted.

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- My neighbours and I are extremely concerned at the manner in which consultation was conducted regarding the proposed ACP. I was only made aware of the proposed ACP by the letter I recently received in the mail from the City of Melville. Although I live in the area that they have proposed be re-zoned, I did not receive any notification about the proposed ACP from the developers. I was only aware of the developer’s public consultation session because I had noticed the flyer sitting on the counter of a shop at the shopping centre. The developers claimed that they had put information leaflets in letter boxes of houses in surrounding areas, however, when questioned on this matter they admitted that letter boxes which have ‘no junk mail’ signs would not have received the pamphlet given it wasn’t enveloped. The majority of letter boxes in Kardinya have such a sign, and the developers would have been aware that these people would not have received notification about the development.</li> <li>- At the information session, the developers implied that their proposal was prepared at the behest of the City of Melville and the State, with the thinly veiled argument that it aims to address state planning issues and will be adopted after ‘amendments’ arising from the consultation to become the basis for future development of the suburb. Apparently, their real motivation is to increase density in the area surrounding the Kardinya Shopping Centre development in order to increase patronage of the Centre, as implied in their planning application:</li> <li>- <p>“This ACP is intended to help facilitate the evolution of the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre into a mixed-use Activity Centre ...its associated retail areas and residential frame. It is intended that increased residential densities within the surrounding area to the Kardinya Park Shopping centre will further contribute to the walkable catchment of this centre.....enhancing the vitality of local businesses” (Pg 3).</p> <p>“ In this regard, .... it also needs to be noted that the drive behind the ACP was to align with the performance targets of SPP 4.2 to secure the Centre’s future retail catchment” (Pg 48).</p> </li> <li>- Interestingly, the glossy brochure that the developers were handing out to residents depicting examples of the types of residence associated with all the R-coding, had no photograph for the R-ACO (Core and Frame) which involves the 15-20 storey apartments.</li> <li>- Planning should be aimed at improving the well-being of the community, not serving the vested interests of private developers. The consultation process from the developers has made a mockery of the true sense of consultation, as residents were not informed in a meaningful and transparent manner as to what was planned in relation to the ACP at the time the original re-development of the Kardinya Shopping Centre was approved. The information provided on both occasions has been biased and misrepresentative.</li> </ul> <p><b>Proposed rezoning of the Core, Frame and Residential areas that aims to increase density through increased height of buildings</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The proposed height of buildings and associated increase in density is inappropriate for the Kardinya neighbourhood and will adversely impact on the family-oriented character of the community and well-being of residents living in this area. In the interests of the residents, it is critical that the City of Melville does not support the proposed rezoning: all residential areas should have a maximum height of two storeys and the Kardinya Shopping Centre Development should not be permitted to increase the apartment building height beyond the currently approved nine storey structure.</li> <li>- I note that the document acknowledges that the Murdoch Specialised Activity Centre Structure Plan (MSACSP) stipulated that the Kardinya District Centre and wider residential catchment surrounding the Centre were identified as a ‘low-rise neighbourhood’:</li> </ul> <p>“Kardinya District Centre and the wider residential catchment surrounding as indicated in Figure 9, has been identified as a low-rise neighbourhood” (Pg22).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Likewise, the City of Melville’s Local Planning Strategy (LPS) whilst recommending investigation of nominated properties along transit routes such as North Lake Road and South Street for high-density development did not identify the Kardinya Activity Centre as a strategic development area.</li> </ul> <p>“The LPS has also nominated properties along transit routes such as North Lake Road and South Street to be investigated for high density residential development” (Pg 24).</p> <p>“Although the site is designated as a district centre, the City of Melville’s Strategy has not identified the Kardinya Activity Centre as a ‘strategic development area’ within the Strategy” (Pg 25).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The developers admit that they have not adhered to the 400m zone required by SPP 4.2 for state planning. The developers acknowledge the challenges associated with development in the Frame and also in areas that require</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be</p>
--	--	--	---



	<p>amalgamation, such as the southern catchment – indeed there are significant challenges with development throughout the proposed Residential area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- The arbitrary and flawed approach whereby the western catchment has not been included in the proposed area for re-zoning because of the ‘high quality character of this area, as well as the significant investment’ (Pg 50) fails to acknowledge the process of suburban renewal that has been occurring in the northern and eastern catchments over the last two decades, with sub-division and redevelopment of old blocks with old houses being replaced with newly built, modern houses involving significant investment by the usually young families that have moved into the area. Although admitting that the proposed re-zoning would not be suitable in the western catchment, the document fails to discuss the significant impact of high rise apartment blocks (15-20 storeys) and high density living (5-3 storeys in suburb directly opposite this area) on the western and southern catchments in regards to traffic flow, liveability and radical change in character of the neighbourhood. The residents in these catchments would certainly not want to be living adjacent to a high density, high-rise neighbourhood.</li><li>- The document highlights that its focus is to increase density in the northern catchment, despite the fact that there is already a high level of density associated with recent sub-division and investment in new homes. The residents in this area will be significantly affected by the proposed ACP, which means that the proposed ACP is at odds with the City of Melville’s LPS No 6.</li><li>- The proposed ACP fails to meet the following objectives required by the City of Melville – Local Planning Scheme No 6 due to the adverse impacts on surrounding residential areas.<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>“• To provide for a wide variety of active uses on street level which are compatible with residential and other non-active uses on upper levels;</li><li>• To allow for the development of a mix of varied but compatible land uses such as housing, offices, showrooms, shops, amusement centres, and eating establishments which do not generate nuisances detrimental to the amenity of the district or to the health welfare and safety of its residents;</li><li>• To ensure that development is not detrimental to the amenity of adjoining owners or residential properties in the locality; and</li><li>• To ensure the design and landscaping of development provides a high standard of safety, convenience and amenity and contributes towards a sense of place and community” (Pg 26).</li></ul></li></ul> <p><b>Increased traffic and parking issues</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Kardinya already has a high level of in-fill, however, the inclusion of such a large number of apartment blocks will lead to serious problems in regards to traffic congestion, which will be extremely problematic given the close proximity of the primary school, aged care facilities and Fiona Stanley Hospital. The developers argue that traffic issues pose a threat to this proposal as indicated in the information below, whereby they acknowledge that South St and North Lake Road already have large amounts of traffic and have limited ability to increase volume, these roads do not have the capacity to cater for the proposed increase in density in housing.</li></ul> <p>“South Street and North Lake Road will remain busy Regional Roads carrying large amounts of traffic (including freight traffic) with noise and dust impacts and limited ability to increase volumes” Pg 18.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- The streets in the Residential areas where the developers have proposed 5,4 and 3 storey apartments are small, quiet suburban streets. They are only designed for low traffic flow, and will not be able to cater for the traffic associated with increased density living. Gilbertson Road is a small road that already is at capacity in regards to traffic flow, and this road would not be able to cope with increased traffic associated with higher density housing in this area.</li><li>- The document highlights the challenges associated with parking in the area and raises various options including limited time parking, which would be problematic for residents, and on-street parking, which again would be problematic given the small, narrow nature of the roads and dangerous for children in the neighbourhood. The document also acknowledges that it isn’t possible to build under-ground parking due to the water table issues in the suburb.</li></ul> <p>“In the northern precinct, basement structures and stormwater detention will likely be difficult due to the small difference between the ground level and the groundwater level. This will likely manifest in car parking being provided at ground and in mezzanine levels for new developments unless more expensive water proofing and potential pumping of basements is proposed. Concealment of any at-ground or above ground car parking areas is mandated in the R-Codes for apartment and mixed-use developments which will ensure good design outcomes will still be required from the outset” (Pg 31).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Currently it is very easy, enjoyable and safe for residents to walk around the low traffic flow streets. Although, the</li></ul>	<p>required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Kardinya is identified as a district centre in the Local Planning Strategy (LPS) reflecting this designation in SPP 4.2 which in turn requires preparation of an ACP which is required amongst other matters to consider residential densities within the ACP area.</p> <p>The LPS actually indicates low to medium densities along North Lake Road and South street.</p> <p>The proposed boundary of the ACP is being assessed to determine its compliance with SPP 4.2.</p>
--	---	--

	<p>developers argue that an aim of the proposed ACP is to increase the walkability in the area surrounding the shopping centre, the increased traffic and parking issues associated with the increased density living will hinder walkability in this area due to safety concerns.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Additionally, although the ACP documents indicate that there are no changes proposed for Brophy Street, Figure 3 (Pg 7) actually shows a street connecting Brophy Street to Dalston Crescent – when</li><li>- questioned about this at the public consultation session the developers said the Figure was not correct.</li></ul> <p><b>Adverse changes to the demographics and family-friendly character of the suburb</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- The suburb comprises a majority of families (44% couples with children, 13% one parent families), followed by a large proportion of couples without children (40%) (Pg 41), who are primarily older couples who have raised their children in the area and have continued to live in the suburb. Kardinya is a quiet, safe, family-friendly neighbourhood. People who live in this area generally choose to stay in the area well after raising their children, and often subdivide enabling younger families to move into the neighbourhood as well. There is a wonderful balance in the suburb between young families and older people – it is a model community, with a high level of well-being.</li><li>- The proposed high rise apartment blocks would change the demographics of the suburb, and adversely affect the family-friendly environment. Kardinya already has a high level of in-fill and density, as evidenced through current subdivision and several infill precincts nearby (Kardinya Heights 300 residents and Gallery 250 residents). However, these developments have all been limited in height to two storeys, and despite the level of infill that has occurred to date – the suburb has been able to retain a high level of liveability, and family-friendly environment.</li><li>- It is unclear who would want to live in the types of apartments that are being proposed for Kardinya - certainly not families, and I doubt whether professionals would find this attractive either. Indeed, the ACP proposal acknowledges that a threat to the ACP is the need to attract quality investment to the ACP to ensure high quality built form, and also that there could be under-development of the ACP due to community preference for grouped dwellings rather than multiple dwelling developments. The developer’s document appears to anticipate degradation of suburb as a result of their proposed plan.</li></ul> <p>“Economic climate may result in an under-development of the Centre with community preference for grouped dwelling developments rather than multiple dwelling developments due to low-socio-economic stigma associated with multiple dwelling developments” Pg 18.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- The developers claim the ACP is needed to increase employment in this area. Yet elsewhere in the document it clearly states that the employment figures for Kardinya are consistent with Western Australian averages, and that the number of professionals living in the suburb is higher proportionally than the State average, which is at odds with the description of the suburb as under-developed and requiring employment generation.</li></ul> <p>“As of the 2016 census, there were 4,884 people who reported being in the labour force who live in Kardinya (suburb). Of these 53.8% were employed full time, 34.4% were employed part-time and 7.6% were unemployed which is relatively consistent with the Western Australian averages” Pg 41.</p> <p>“The most common occupations in Kardinya included Professionals 25.8%, Clerical and Administrative Workers 15.1%, Technicians and Trades Workers 13.4%, Managers 11.6%, and Sales Workers 10.4%. The number of professionals in the locality is proportionally higher than the state average of 20.5% with slightly higher family and household income than the Western Australia averages” Pg 41.</p> <p><b>Loss of large trees and tree canopy which will adversely affect the natural environment</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Despite the current high level of infill associated with subdivision, Kardinya is a quiet suburb which has retained a significant tree canopy of mature native trees, particularly in the northern and eastern catchments. It also includes a lot of areas of public green space. This natural environment is important not only for the well-being of people, but also native wildlife biodiversity with numerous endemic bird species, including threatened black cockatoos dependent on the large trees and remnant vegetation. The proposed amalgamation of residential blocks to facilitate the development of 3-5 storey apartments would inevitably reduce the tree canopy that occurs in the residential area</li><li>- of the suburb, and would adversely impact the community and the natural environment. Importantly this would be completely at odds with the City of Melville’s Urban Forest Strategic Plan. Furthermore, the proposed ACP aims to maximise density around the Public Open Space of Morris Buzacott Reserve and Alan Edward Reserve. The City of Melville’s Urban Forest Strategic Plan clearly outlines the social, economic and environmental benefits of trees,</li></ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
--	--	--

	<p>and acknowledges that large trees provide the greatest benefits.</p> <p>“We will aim to incorporate urban forest protection into the City’s strategic urban planning, infrastructure and land asset management and corporate objectives, with a focus on maximising the net public benefits of urban vegetation for liveability, amenity and neighbourhood character” (Urban Forest Strategic Plan, City of Melville, Pg ii).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- The City of Melville has adopted and embraced the Urban Forest Strategic Plan, which has a focus on building climate change resilience through the retention and expansion of the urban forest. This has the support of the community. The Urban Forest and Green Spaces Policy (CP-102) was adopted by the City of Melville in September 2016 and includes the following objectives:<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. To protect, preserve and enhance the aesthetic character of the City of Melville.</li><li>2. To realise the social, environmental and economic benefits of trees and other vegetation as an integral element of the urban environment.</li><li>3. To contribute to community wellbeing by integrating and aligning the efficient provision of physical, social and green infrastructure and management of natural areas to achieve community wellbeing today and tomorrow.</li><li>4. To encourage a sense of shared responsibility and balance individual and community rights to equitably distribute the costs and the benefits of a greener City.</li><li>5. To ensure that the urban forest and green spaces that are integral to the City’s sense of place are not compromised in areas of increased residential density.</li></ol></li><li>- The City of Melville prides itself on focussing on community well-being. The proposed ACP development is completely at odds with the City’s goal.</li><li>- Kardinya epitomises a truly wonderful community, in which there is already a high level of infill associated with subdivision and medium density residential projects that have remained limited to a height of two storeys, maintaining a family friendly character in harmony with the natural environment. Many residents, such as myself, have chosen to live in Kardinya for decades because it is such a special place to live. This is important to safeguard. It is critical that the City of Melville does not allow what is good about our community to be destroyed by developers through the proposed ACP development.</li></ul> <p>Pro Forma Letter also signed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Opposing rezoning of all dwellings within the 400 metre radius surrounding the shopping centre or any high rise dwellings in the area.</li><li>- We the residents of Kardinya have major concerns regarding the application lodged for rezoning in our area.</li><li>- We fiercely oppose this application, we believe as home owners that zoning for our area should remain at a maximum of two storeys high.</li><li>- The shopping centre development should not be permitted to increase the apartment height beyond the approved maximum of the 9 storeys which residents feel is more than we were hoping for.</li><li>- We feel we have an inadequate and misleading consultation by the developers with the community, had the residents known what the repercussions were from the revamping of the shopping centre we would have opposed it, knowing now what we have learned about rezoning of the blocks in the area.</li></ul> <p>Major concerns and issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Lack of exposure from either the developer and or the Councils proposal for this rezoning to include an Activity Centre Hub around the expansion of Kardinya Shopping Centre.</li><li>- Residents do not feel there is a benefit to them with the ACP in the area and the beneficiaries will be the developers/investors.</li><li>- Proposed rezoning aims to increase height of buildings and density of our suburb.</li><li>- Increased traffic flow and vehicle emissions plus traffic noise in our quiet suburb &amp; streets will adversely affect our lifestyle and enjoyment of our area.</li><li>- Street parking will become prevalent due to higher density living.</li><li>- Loss of trees and flora with loss of bird life</li><li>- Loss of privacy in our family friendly suburb will affect our lifestyle.</li><li>- The impact on local residents if rezoning goes ahead will have an adverse affect on our lifestyle.</li><li>- Questions if any of the apartments to be allocated / sold to the State Housing Commission.</li></ul>	<p>Noted.</p>
--	--	---------------

			Noted.
--	--	--	--------

			<p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted Noted</p> <p>Unknown</p>
43	O	- The proposed ACP satisfies no-one but the developer! The increase in height of buildings in the residential area will create ghettos and congested streets which will preclude privacy, trees and bird life. The cockatoos have little tree canopy now!	Noted.
44	O	- I definitely oppose the increase of building heights in the surrounding residential area which will concentrate low cost housing and result in increased crime, traffic density and pollution. I am also totally opposed to an increase in the height of the apartment complex from the proposed 9 stories.	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed</p>

			<p>densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p>
45	O	<p>I am not in agreement with the proposal for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. It is wrong to state that the ACP is prepared and proposed by the major landowner. What is the percentage of land ownership of the Kardinya Shopping Centre in comparison with the total residential land ownership for the proposed development? It is prepared by the major commercial occupier in the area, in view of future commercial benefits.</li> <li>2. Please note that one’s home for most people is their biggest single investment in their life. I bought my home at the age of 47 and I will finish paying my home loan when I am 60 – 65. Basically, working my entire life to have a roof over my head as I age and be financially and emotionally secure in my old age. This investment was made with a lot of thought and consideration given to a lot of factors. It is not only investing in a home but investing in an area for a way and quality of life that one wants to have. That is what I bought and paid for. What right does another party have to change the conditions of my purchase without my consent? Where are my consumer rights? This is my entire life savings.</li> <li>3. Besides buying my own home, the planning commission needs to take into account the investment I have made to improve the conditions in my home to make myself more comfortable and accommodate my lifestyle. This is the place I intend to live my life out. This will be the same for most or all the home owners.</li> <li>4. I don’t want a 3 storey apartment block next to my residence. It will block the natural light to my building. I will lose my privacy. How can the planning commission ensure that the natural light and my privacy is not compromised?</li> <li>5. The more people you put together in a small space, the more social issues you are going to have. The neighbourhood is no longer going to be peaceful and quiet. You will have social gatherings, parties, music, those that play an instrument, pets (people keep pets in an apartment) and if you are unlucky a badly behaved neighbour.</li> <li>6. I know the proposed development states parking will be provided in line with guidelines but that is never the case. Somebody has a function, all the visitors parking will be taken up. Some guests will have to find street parking. The apartment block that I stayed in, in Sydney, we finally had to secure the access to the residents parking as people were parking in our spots or blocking our access, even though it is clearly marked with unit numbers. Depending on the way people park, it is difficult to get your car out at times. Currently, with the subdivision of property in the area there is congestion with regards to parking and visibility at intersections are blocked as people are parking out of their properties. Fortunately, the traffic flow in the area is light, so, everyone manages without complaining and keeping the relationships pleasant. With regards to my property, which is on a subdivided lot, I only have my driveway to put my bins on bin day, so, I have to put them on my neighbours land, otherwise I will not be able to take my car out of my driveway. Really have to look at the practical aspects of things when planning is done, and approval is given.</li> <li>7. I lived in Sydney for 10 years and I saw this haphazard development. Land is rezoned but people move, sell, over period of time. You will have a single storey house and next to it you will have an apartment block that is 3 stories. It doesn’t make the area look appealing. The single storey house has only land value going forward, once this sort of development starts to take place. That is not fair and just to the owner of the property. It is different if the area is developed as a whole and not in this haphazard manner.</li> <li>8. I bought into an established neighbourhood. I don’t want development taking place around me over a period of time 10 to 20 years progressively with work vehicles, trades people, noise and pollution. That is not what I paid for.</li> <li>9. This proposal is 174 pages. Talking to my neighbours, I find that most people are unaware of the proposed re-zoning of their land and its implications. A notice is sent out. A time frame put in for response. The notice does not highlight to the residents that the land they own is going to be re-zoned. That information is in the 174 pages of proposal. Most people think it is to do with the shopping centre. Most people are unaware that the shopping centre is being developed up to 9 stories high including me. I recently learnt that has been approved and it was put for comment through a similar process like this one. I was completely unaware. How many people are going to go through this document and at detail. Something like this, should be communicated in a direct manner with the owners of the land. Not the residents who realise what is proposed and its implications, taking the initiative to inform the rest, as the council takes a no response as an agreement to the proposal. I did not respond but I am not</li> </ol>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted.</p>

		<p>in agreement that the shopping centre is built up to 9 stories high.</p> <p>Even the information session that was hosted by the Kardinya Shopping Centre Developers was not advertised to all residents. There was no flyers or leaflets let in everyone's letterbox. My neighbour found out when he went to the coffee shop and informed me and other neighbours. The right thing to do is to make everybody completely aware of what is happening and to have complete transparency of the whole process.</p> <p>10. Increase density with existing infra-structure. This is going to cause congestion. At present you can turn out at intersection without delay. With the proposal, you can be waiting up to 10 to 15 minutes during peak period, just to get out of your housing scheme.</p> <p>As far as I am concerned, there should have been active consultation with all land owners even before pen was put to paper with regards to this proposal. The proposal of the shopping centre and the re-zoning of proposed land should be looked at as a whole.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 allow for a private landowner or the local government to prepare an ACP.</p> <p>Where an ACP is prepared by a private landowner and submitted to the City, the City is required under the regulations to advertise the proposed ACP, undertake an assessment of the ACP against the planning framework and make a recommendation to the WAPC which makes the ultimate determination of the ACP.</p> <p>The City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- all properties within the proposed ACP boundary</li> <li>- properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP</li> <li>- properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included within the proposed ACP.</li> </ul> <p>A report will be presented to elected members outlining the outcomes of the City's assessment to inform their consideration of the City's recommendation to the WAPC.</p>
46	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- We (My wife and I) would like to raise our concerns and object to the current proposal as we do not think it aligns well with our, or the community's beliefs and values.</li> <li>- We recently built our home here in Kardinya, and like many other young families, we chose to build here to provide our growing family with a happy suburban lifestyle, surrounded by family and nature.</li> <li>- My wife also grew up in Kardinya, and from the day she was born she remembers enjoying the multiple local parks, going on walks and playing around the pine trees. We enjoy this relaxed and family friendly atmosphere that this beautiful suburb has, and would like this way of life to continue here in Kardinya.</li> <li>- The main issue we have is that the proposal considers putting high-rise building and apartment complexes (R80 rezoning and above) opposite our street and the surrounding neighbourhood. This will put strain on the current environment as it will increase traffic flows on small quiet suburban streets and require more parking facilities in a restricted space.</li> <li>- We would be happier if there was no rezoning, especially around our street, where we would like it to remain R40 zoning and below. We understand the need for increased density which re-zoning provides, however we have already seen that many new developments (ours included) are sub-divisions of two-storey housing and below. This will inadvertently increase the density without compromising the lifestyle of the suburb and mostly the environment.</li> <li>- The current proposal will also result in a loss of tree canopy that will reduce the wildlife, impact on shade and increase temperatures. We love the current view of the trees and wildlife around the suburb and would not want this compromised in any way.</li> <li>- We do agree that it is needed to improve the current Kardinya Park shopping complex, however it should not be permitted to increase beyond the currently approved nine-storeys.</li> <li>- It appears as though this proposal was put through by developers, without much consultation from the community. We talked around our neighbourhood and there was a lack of understanding of the implications of</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides</p>

		<p>the proposal, let alone what the proposal is. We are hoping that this letter, along with the many others will sway the current proposal to not go ahead as it stands. Rather that we can come to a new proposal agreement based on the community's feedback that we can all agree upon that will sustain the beautiful suburb that Kardinya is.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Our recommendations are as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No re-zoning required and to keep the residential areas zoned at R40 and below</li> <li>• Retain the current tree canopy and "forestation" aesthetic to protect the environment and wildlife</li> <li>• Improve the Kardinya Park shopping complex sustainably that will keep to the approved development height of nine storeys.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p> <p>The City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- all properties within the proposed ACP boundary</li> <li>- properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP</li> <li>- properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included within the proposed ACP.</li> </ul> <p>Noted.</p>
47	O	<p>I would like to express my concerns over the proposed redevelopment of the Kardinya Shopping Centre site (Activity Centre Plan (ACP) for the Kardinya District Centre).</p> <p>Whilst I am not specifically against the redevelopment of the shopping centre I am disturbed by the redevelopment of the area surrounding it (old Kardinya).</p> <p>There are a number of problematic issues with the proposal including;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The area of old Kardinya is renowned for its mature trees and bird life, this is an important factor that attracts people, including myself to live in the area. The proposed multi-story accommodation would by default ruin this.</li> <li>- The trees are the home, amongst others, of the endangered Black Cockatoo which live in and around the Morris Buzacott Reserve and freely roam the area. The area of old Kardinya is also the habitat of Quenda's with most homes in the area being regularly visited by these small indigenous creatures. High rise building will obviously destroy their habitat.</li> <li>- The area marked on the map for redevelopment has already been largely redesignated as higher density and as such has been heavily redeveloped with new housing over the past couple of years. A quick drive around the area is recommended as you will soon realise the number of new houses that are going up. These folks are unlikely to be ready to sell their new homes for a long time. I believe the developers have missed the boat as it were.</li> <li>- The proposed site is too small for such a redevelopment. A quick comparison to Garden City will identify that the area is significantly smaller and yet Garden City constantly has no parking. This is already a problem with the existing parking space at Kardinya which runs close to maximum on a regular basis. With the proposed redevelopment it is unlikely, even with multi-story car parks, that parking will not become a major issue particularly for neighbours in nearby streets.</li> <li>- This also leads to traffic issues and the problems that the development will introduce to the area. As a resident of Williamson Road I have already complained to council previously about the traffic in Williamson Rd when sports events are held at Morris Buzacott Reserve with people speeding up and down the street endangering the lives of many small children who live along the road. Once the new shopping centre and proposed high rise goes up I suspect traffic will be a complete nightmare.</li> <li>- Redevelopment of Morris Buzacott cricket ground? Why is this included, the oval is beautifully maintained and in constant use and hardly needs any redevelopment. This appears to be an attempt by the developer to sound like a bonus for the community but the area is constantly used by residents including the cricket club, soccer, hockey training, fitness, walking dogs etc. The area also includes old growth jarrah trees and a bee colony that has been there for at least 40 years and probably longer. It is also home to many birds including the Black Cockatoos.</li> <li>- Without wanting to sound crass, the devaluation of resident's property due to the number of high rise is of concern to everyone who lives in this suburb. If the recent block of flats on the corner of Williamson and Gilbertson Roads is an example of what is proposed then the future is grim for this beautiful hamlet.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>The majority of the residential area contained within the proposed ACP is currently designated at R25, considered as low density code under the residential design codes.</p> <p>Noted.</p>



		<p>My final thought is why build a major centre here? With two major hospitals, medical centres, a university, private colleges, TAFE college one suspect a shopping development in they Murdoch university area would make significantly more sense.</p>	<p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>The ACP does not propose any redevelopment of Morris Buzacott reserve.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment.</p>
48	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I am the owner of a property within the Kardinya district activity centre plan and would like express my concern and dismay over the proposed plans.</li> <li>- What I have found somewhat alarming is that the residents were duped when the plans where advertised of the proposed Shopping Centre upgrades which were welcomed at the time. This was then passed through council and at the time there was no talk of an activity plan this came later. Had I and the other affected residents known this was proposed I and other residents certainly would not have been so welcoming of the upgrades. The proposed Kardinya District Activity Plan should have been proposed at the same time to be fair and equitable to residents not be done underhanded.</li> <li>- I am writing this regarding the proposed rezoning of properties within the Kardinya district activity centre plan and my views on it and what I believe are the implications to residents immediately affected by it. It is stated that it is a 400 metre zone within the Kardinya shopping Centre but yet it is targeted mainly on the East side of North Lake road it would appear that it is not being shared evenly.</li> <li>- From what I have ascertained with the proposed rezoning from R25 up to R160 it will increase current dwelling numbers of 416 houses to an infill of 2,295 units. Based on calculations of 97 units proposed for inclusions in Kardinya shopping centre they have allocated 175 car bays for residents and visitors that equates to 1.8 car bays per unit.</li> <li>- Under that assumption with proposed 2,295 units that gives us 4,132 cars. Current housing would have an average of 2 cars per dwelling that's 832 cars. That's an increase of 3,300 cars into the local streets.</li> <li>- Not taking into consideration the current impact on house values as under the proposed rezoning apartment heights can be up to 5 storeys. This would certainly devalue housing prices in the area, as it certainly would not be very desirable to have a 3-5 storey apartment block next to you. That would have a negative effect in selling your property as it would only be appealable to developers so essentially you have eliminated about 90% of buyers thereby driving down property values. We also have to ask the question on the social impact in the area and are we creating ghettos and higher crime rates with such high density.</li> <li>- Kardinya shopping centre is current lettable space is 13,776 Sqm growing to 22,244 Sqm.</li> <li>- Westfield Booragoon currently has a lettable retail area of 72,000 Sqm, That's a difference of 49,756 Sqm. The infill is only R100 and only housing directly facing the centre.</li> <li>- The zoning is certainly higher within the Kardinya area for such a smaller centre. There isn't a higher density within the Melville area excepting Canning Bridge area. I am bewildered as to how such an infill could be consider when there isn't anything else that comes close to it except Canning Bridge district.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The previous proposal was a development application was for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre property only. This has been approved by JDAP.</p> <p>The current proposal is for an Activity Centre Plan for the district centre (which includes the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre property) and its surrounding catchment as per the requirements of SPP 4.2.</p> <p>The proposed boundary of the ACP is being assessed to determine its compliance with SPP 4.2.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted.</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- One has to ask the question is this to be considered a bonus for the owner of the centre for developing it, to increase traffic flow into the centre? And also another way to increase revenue to the council with the increase in the number of properties at the cost to local residents in some very quiet pockets of Kardinya. Let's hope some common sense will prevail and that we have a better outcome for the local residents. I am not against the development of the centre but if I was to make a decision between a bigger shopping centre at the cost to local resident's lifestyle socially and financially I would say no to the development of the shopping centre.</li> <li>- We also have to ask the question on the social impact in the area and are we creating ghettos and higher crime rates with such high density, and with the current crisis with Covid outbreak the push for small boxed apartments certainly does not bode well with mental health issues as we have seen over east. There is a lot to be said for having a bit of garden and not four walls.</li> </ul>	<p>Kardinya centre is identified as a district centre in SPP 4.2 which requires amongst other items identification of residential densities within the ACP area to deliver dwelling targets. The proposed densities are being assessed to determine the level of compliance with the requirements under SPP 4.2 which is a State Planning Policy that the City is required to apply.</p>
49	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- We are building on a narrow block in Mounsey St behind Kardinya Shopping centre Corner of South street and North Lake Road (this came in after our sign up on our build ) and they what to rezone our cul-de-sac sack area to 5/4 and 3 stories apartments.</li> <li>- This means to us that we will have 4 stories either side of our narrow home and at the back . So there will be No Sun or privacy for us. Our idea to down side to a 3 x 2 has turned into a nightmare and mental stress. We were going to have solar panels on the roof but that will be pointless now with the new rezoning.</li> </ul> <p>Please think about, if this goes ahead</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The traffic that will be coming out of McKleery Street. (That has trouble now) With the bus stop over the road and only having one lane either side , when there is a brake in the traffic from the lights at South street all the traffic from the shops comes out of Brophy St and the lane behind Chicken treat.</li> <li>2) We feel having so many people living in a cul-de- sac area with more traffic , cars left out in the narrow roads and shopping trolleys left on the sides of the streets (yes we get them now).</li> </ol> <p>I hate to see bin day and would hate to call a Ambulance or Fire truck.</p> <p>Please see attachment for a compromise. I have marked in Red where I feel you could just have some 3 Story apartment blocks but they must have 2 parking bays per unit and visitors parking. Then people will get sun on there home, not feel so closed in.</p> <p>In the area behind the shopping centre marked in red, having 3 stories only on one side and if the street gets full on cars and trolleys its only on one side. The traffic will be more but I hope Gilbertson Rd can cope or it might need 2 lanes either side, a Bus bay.</p> <p>I understand we need infrastructure and as normal, everyone in the area aren't happy. 3,4 and 5 stories IS JUST TO HIGH and to much , so I am asking you to help to STOP and rethink this because, we believe with, that many people in a area like this, it will be dangerous and why can't we have sun on our homes and not feel claustrophobic , Really would you like to live like that .</p> <p>Isn't this every Australians dream to have good well-being and mental health.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted.</p>

		There are more things in life than making money like doing right by peoples health and well-being.	Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.
50	S	<p>The South Street Cafe supports the proposal for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The centre is a District Centre, and I have been briefed that under SPP 4.2 - Activity Centres for Perth and Peel, there should be 30 dwellings per hectare; there apparently is around 1/3 of that at present.</li> <li>- I have also been informed that the City of Melville's own Local Planning Strategy (LPS) favours increased density along transit corridors such as North Lake Road and South Street.</li> <li>- The greater the density of residents within walking distance, the better our business prospects.</li> <li>- A renewed precinct, including the "Aldi" lot would make for a true town centre experience, which makes sense given our location on transit corridors and our propinquity to Murdoch University and the Health Campus.</li> </ul>	Noted
51	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I am absolutely against the proposal to alter Kardinya's happy lifestyle in any way, but for the possible installation of pedestrian traffic lights to assist us to cross south street safely.</li> <li>- Please go to some other suburb and stuff up their happy lives and leave us alone.</li> </ul>	Noted.
52	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I definitely DO NOT want the rezoning in my area. Want the two storey approvals to stay. DO NOT want a 15 storey apartment in the shopping centre either. The heights are ridiculous.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p>
53	O	<p>We have been living at this address for almost 30 years and we do have some major concerns about the ridiculous changes proposed for this area.</p> <p>LISTED BELOW ARE OUR MAJOR CONCERNS</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The proposed height around our street</li> <li>2) The proposed height around the Shopping Centre ( Should only be Maximum 4 Storeys High )</li> <li>3) Traffic will increase be Tenfold</li> <li>4) Parking will be horrendous</li> <li>5) Buildings overlooking our backyard</li> <li>6) The Developers have never consulted us in anyway.</li> </ol>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted.</p>
54	S	<p>Support the proposal.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- It's long overdue and needed for the area.</li> <li>- It could have a positive impact on house prices.</li> <li>- Please ensure street lighting and possible upgrades to green spaces to meet higher density areas.</li> </ul>	Noted.
55	S	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I think it is excellent. Long overdue and needed for the area. It could have a positive impact on house prices.</li> <li>- Please ensure could street lighting and possible upgrades to green spaces to meet higher density areas.</li> </ul>	Noted.

56	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I say NO to Rezoning in Kardinya. I purchased in beautiful Kardinya with the zoning the way it was, I wouldn't have bought and/or I wouldn't be purchasing if they change the zoning for high rise buildings. It will devalue my house</li> <li>- How can they change the goal posts?</li> <li>- It will have an increase on foot traffic, increase car traffic and by putting up high rise buildings the houses/units/apartments and rentals will become cheaper and more affordable and will no doubt attract younger people due to the close proximity to Murdoch University - More people usually means more crime and break-ins.</li> <li>- I moved to Kardinya as it was a family suburb, I'm for change but high rise buildings do not belong throughout the suburbs, they need to be across the road from shopping centres, on the rivers, in the city, on train lines and any newly developed suburbs where it is originally zoned that way.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
57	O	<p>Map submitted with a number of properties outlined in red to the south east of the ACP.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Poor transition from R80 to R25 in the identified area.</li> <li>- Request to expand the ACP boundary to include identified area and R40 should be considered.</li> <li>- Identified properties have good public transport and pedestrian access points and are within walking distance to shopping centre.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The proposed boundary of the ACP is being assessed to determine its compliance with SPP 4.2.</p>
58	O	<p>I support the redevelopment of the shopping centre precinct, but I have some concerns about the plan.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) I would prefer to see medium density, up to 4 storeys, rather than high rise, which is incompatible with the surrounding residential area.</li> <li>2) Higher density development will impose pressure on traffic circulation and parking and careful analysis will be needed in order to provide adequately for these. Lights will be needed to provide for safe exit from the shopping centre carpark. The lights at Gilbertson Road and South Street will need to be modified to provide right turn arrows for vehicles coming in both directions on Gilbertson Road.</li> <li>3) The needs of pedestrians must be considered. I suggest a bus bay on South Street, opposite the Shopping Centre, so that buses do not block the traffic flow on South Street while they are loading and unloading passengers. I suggest an overpass for pedestrians needing to cross South Street to reach the bus stop or the shopping Centre. It is dangerous at present and will be much worse if this development goes ahead.</li> <li>4) The plan for the KAC includes several areas that are currently open space. These must not be developed and I would argue that more open space will be needed to accommodate the recreational needs of the expanded community, especially playgrounds for children. The City of Melville already has quite a low coverage of tree canopy and the loss of mature trees as a result of this development must be compensated. I suggest that three new native trees should be planted in the precinct for every one tree that is lost to development.</li> </ol>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>A new bus bay and a new signal controlled intersection allowing for controlled pedestrian crossing of South Street has been incorporated as part of the recent JDAP approval; for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre redevelopment.</p> <p>The proposed ACP does not propose any redevelopment of existing public open space.</p>
59	O	<p>I have lived in Kardinya all my life. I grew up in McBeth Way, in the family home that my mother still owns. In 1997 we bought our own home at 7 Hodgson place Kardinya. In 2010 when we had 4 children and needed more room we demolished and rebuilt a new family home on the same block rather than leave Kardinya.</p> <p>We have been continually active members of the community. My children attended Kardinya primary school, the same one I attended, also worked at the school. I have been a girl guide leader, scout leader, various roles in the Melville hockey club, tee ball club, football club, playgroup, karate club and Kardinya Bowling club.</p> <p>We have worked so hard to provide our family with a home in an area that offers a quiet, family focussed community lifestyle.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I am strongly opposed to the proposed rezoning allowing 4 and 5 story buildings. I do not feel there has been adequate community consultation beyond the shopping centre redevelopment.</li> <li>- I fear this will destroy all the things we love about where we live. High density living in 3-5 story apartments will greatly affect the privacy of our homes with people overlooking our property, blocking our outlook and natural light. This will affect our property value.</li> <li>- High density living will lead to increased traffic and parking congestion on the quiet suburban streets. I also fear it</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to</p>

		<p>will attract a new demographic to the area increasing the crime rate.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I am in favour of progress and improving Kardinya but feel this proposed rezoning will destroy family friendly character and demographics of the area we love.</li> </ul>	<p>consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
60	O	<p>I am writing to submit my opinion on the Kardinya rezoning. My concerns on the rezoning are as follows.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I feel that the rezoning should be limited to two storeys in all residential areas. Anything above this creates privacy issues and too much congestion in the suburbs leading to excessive traffic and safety issues. It's not necessary.</li> <li>- I also feel the proposed area for rezoning is excessively large. However I understand the need for infill and would suggest that only properties directly adjacent major roads (south street and north lake) should be the only areas rezoned and not inner areas of the suburb.</li> <li>- I think the zoning should extend out from the shopping centre ending at Le Soufe (north) Gilbertson (east). The current zoning proposal for south and west boundaries seem reasonable to me.</li> <li>- I plead that the urban planners just take a quick look at neighbouring Cockburn gate and excessive density apartments in place there combined with terrible road and traffic planning and how that in turn it has negatively impacted surrounding area as not only an eyesore but also changed the demographic of the area to no end for the worse. Please do not make the same mistake.</li> </ul> <p>On a positive note I think the Kardinya Park shopping centre plans look great and modern. providing apartments are capped at 9 stories max it should turn out great for both developers and the community.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>SPP 4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that an ACP be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The proposed boundary of the ACP is being assessed to determine its compliance with SPP 4.2.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p>
61	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Firstly the notice that I have received is way too short; to close feedback on Sept 3 is unfair. It is taking you 2 weeks to comment back to me why you planted trees obstructing the only open space in Jack O'Keefe reserve and why you failed to consult with adjoining owners. Even after the debacle with the power transformer for underground power in the same location!</li> <li>- I believe 3 stories on small residential lots is too high. Current new subdivided housing have tiny courtyards that lack good sun light. Many windows lack sunlight or even any appreciable daylight.</li> <li>- The proposal claims one car bay pre residence! Rubbish! Most couples; working or retired have two vehicles. Parking in Kardinya is already a serious problem. Apart from the law allowing cars or trucks to park in T junctions cars are regularly parking too close to intersections making turning corners dangerous, weaving between vehicles parked on opposite sides of a road.</li> <li>- This proposal is purely to provide more residents to shop in the new Centre! Why should you ask residents to put up with higher density housing just to satisfy their greed!</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Public consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 with the City applying State Government COVID exemptions to enable extension of the advertising period from the usual maximum statutory period of 28 days to the maximum allowable 42 days.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>SPP 4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that an ACP be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable</p>

			catchment area be included within the ACP.
62	O	<p>Absolutely disgusting.</p> <p>I have been a long standing owner of 12 Christensen Street Kardinya for 38 years. We bought into this property due the amenity, location of the north facing block and park location. This location has afforded myself and family of a quite peaceful lifestyle, one that we appreciate every day. I am now very anxious with the proposed Activity Plan which will destroy all that we have worked hard to achieve. We have invested both emotionally and financially ensuring it is well maintained as have all of our neighbours and the streetscape and character has benefited immensely.</p> <p>During a recent meeting, the council informed us that the R25 code was applied to properties in our area in 2016. The current R25 to R40 code is well suited to all residential properties within the City of Melville to assist further infill requirements without causing so much destruction of people's life's and homes in such a small target area. As this is a recent change I feel it would be detrimental to the suburb and that the properties have been unfairly targeted to bolster the business plan for the Kardinya Shopping Precinct.</p> <p>The precinct map has been designed to fit density more predominate into one area, allowing the western side isolated, however clearly in the walkable 400 metres zone. I request Council to address this issue.</p> <p>Brutal interfaces between residential low density and high density allowing 4 and 5 storey apartments have come about by the up-zonings imposed by WA Planning Commission. It does not protect existing residential homes and the push for transitioning residential areas to higher density which favours developers.</p> <p><u>The intrusive and intense scale of ACP density and height built form is inconsistent for any residential area and strongly disagree for our property and surrounding streets and view as unjust.</u></p> <p>The process of the Kardinya Activity Plan has not been desirable and as there has been no consultation or contact from the developer. This proposal would be financially beneficial to the developer but detrimental to the homeowners.</p> <p>Homeowners who decide to stay in the area could be landlocked by the proposed Activity plan making properties less desirable for resale in the future.</p> <p>Council should further engage the community to allow understanding of the ACP and how online community feedback can affect and damage a small group of residents. Infill and density targets should be fairly driven by creating and expanding more broadly the opportunity in allowing all residential blocks subdivision potential – 2 storey above natural ground level for a further 10 years to offer more natural streetscape and sensitive infill conducive to family block residential living.</p> <p>I request Council facilitates further consultation on behalf of all affected residents and <b>submit my following and OBJECTIONS:-</b></p> <p><b>COMMUNICATION</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of effective communication on changes and lack of transitional time from <u>our current R codes</u> to allow more <u>residential infill being conducive and sympathetic for current owners</u>.</li> <li>• Reconsider and engage community consultation on the future preparation of this plan for the City and residents affected.</li> <li>• Request Council to recommend a change to the ACP Plans of its aim to recommend a broader residential catchment to achieve 3 ?? dwellings per gross hectare by 2050 for this submission and scope.</li> <li>• Look at expanding 2 storey above natural ground level for all residential areas and not just a 400 walkable distance from a shopping centre.</li> <li>• Western Australian has seen a decline due to people population movement being static and Sate economy in decline.</li> <li>• Increase of unemployment with many home owners to default of their homes, adding stock to the real estate market.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The proposed boundary of the ACP is being assessed to determine its compliance with SPP 4.2.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>Public consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 with the City applying State Government COVID exemptions to enable extension of the advertising period from the usual maximum statutory period of 28 days to the maximum allowable 42 days.</p> <p>City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to all properties within the proposed</p>

	<p><b>AMENITY</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Resident’s loss of family –orientated and quiet and calm amenity loss. Personally I feel very anxious and overwhelmed.</li><li>• Not enough transition time from the current R codes into high density coding - have taken away <u>natural rights (justice) that other residents in Melville have been afforded.</u></li><li>• Strong potential of antisocial behavior of foot traffic.</li><li>• Not enough open space to cater for high volume number of units developments.</li><li>• Increase of residential noise from what is currently valued by the affected residents.</li></ul> <p><b>DENSITY: total objection as follows</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Scale of the ACP higher density R codes and height.</li><li>• Strong potential for landlocked properties destroying our current lifestyle and property values.</li><li>• Built form: Bulk and Height impact on visual streetscape and privacy issues.</li><li>• Potential and intrusive Shadow and Overlooking.</li></ul> <p><b>Infill Density Targets:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Kardinya and the broader City of Melville community have <u>contributed to infill over the last 20 years which should be taken into consideration</u> with this Activity Plan for infill requirements and recommendations.</li><li>• Potential of land lock properties destroying and intrusive on our current lifestyle and property values.</li></ul> <p><b>Street Parking:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <u>Cul de sac road design– not for unit development and create high impact on street parking.</u></li><li>• Parking on streets that have not been designed for street parking.</li><li>• Residents will have <u>trouble accessing if reversing trailer/caravan/boats into their properties.</u></li><li>• Car Bay allocation for a group dwelling environment will create overspill and street parking issues.</li><li>• With above this would require street parking signage creating another visual impact.</li></ul> <p><b>Property Values:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Many of the original homes have had substantial improvements both internally and externally to suite family living.</li><li>• <u>Scale is inconsistent for any residential area</u> and strongly disagree for our property and surrounding streets and unjust. <i>As a long standing owner we took the decision purchase to into this area for the quite peaceful amenity with its natural streetscape appeal. Potential for land lock residential properties looking completely out of character with no street scape appeal. Lost value on homes would occur. Feel that a small residential pocket that has been well kept and loved by the owners are being the sacrificial lamb in this ACP.</i></li><li>• Strong potential of property values decline and a precedent for future proposals viewed as directed and unfair consequence of this proposal.</li></ul>	<p>ACP boundary, properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP, properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included within the proposed ACP.</p> <p>Should modifications be proposed to the ACP it is expected that these modifications will also be further advertised before a final recommendation is forwarded to the WAPC.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>Kardinya centre is identified as a district centre in SPP 4.2 which requires amongst other items identification of residential densities within the ACP area to deliver dwelling targets. The proposed densities are being assessed to determine the level of compliance with these requirements under SPP 4.2 which is a State Planning Policy that the City is required to apply.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
--	--	---

			Noted.
63	O	- Keep Kardinya the same	Noted.
64	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I don't support proposed ACP application for Kardinya, strongly objecting to its excessive height.</li> <li>- The proposed apartment's height will impact our neighbourhood, with increased crowding, reducing trees, impacting wildlife and changing our suburb's tranquil nature.</li> <li>- There would be an increase in traffic and congestion, anti-social behaviour, pollution, privacy loss, changing the very dynamics of our suburb from a family friendly orientated, leafy area with wildlife to one for the developer's greed.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
65	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I am concerned that there has been some underhand and back door agreements entered into concerning our area.</li> <li>- From what I gather, the Kardinya shopping centre is listed for and upgrade.</li> <li>- I have lived at this address for over 40 years and agree that the shopping centre is old and tired and I am in favour of having this centre brought into our modern world.</li> <li>- That said, what I find extremely offensive is the fact that tacked on to the upgrade of the Centre is a set of apartment blocks overlooking our neighbourhood.</li> <li>- This is not in the spirit of the aesthetics of our lovely quiet suburb.</li> <li>- The height of the apartments is offensive and there is no other hi rise of this nature in our area.</li> <li>- Whoever has given approval to build to this height is totally negligent and the decision is against our lifestyle.</li> <li>- The consultation process has been abysmal and continues to be abysmal.</li> <li>- As a resident in Mounsey Street, we have not been approached by the project developers and also Melville Council have been deathly silent on the proposals.</li> <li>- The consultation from the developers has been extremely poor.</li> <li>- We have received nil consultation from them. We are affected by this change and should have been consulted.</li> <li>- I believe even the decision to build apartments to 9 stories is questionable, shocking and should not proceed.</li> <li>- 3 stories maybe, but I smell a rat when I hear the 9 stories has been agreed upon and now we hear that the developers want to go to even higher (20 stories)</li> <li>- I hear now that there is a move for this high rise to overflow into our residential area by way of rezoning.</li> <li>- This is bullying and not in the interests of your long term and new residents.</li> <li>- How disgusting.</li> <li>- Traffic will become intolerable.</li> <li>- Our leafy suburb will disappear affecting our natural environment and cause a general heating of this area with the loss of tree canopy.</li> <li>- our back and front yard privacy will be compromised not to mention the eyesore we will have to endure.</li> <li>- The small back street of the shopping centre Brophy Street at current times can be a nightmare to get out on to Gilbertson rd and this proposal will only increase pressure and accidents will be the end result with impatient drivers wanting to enter/exit via this small street.</li> <li>- My Dad lived in Bicton for over 50 years and my in laws lived in Attadale for over 50 years.</li> <li>- Melville council would not approve high rise of this proposed nature in those suburbs, so I need and explanation</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>Public consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 with the City applying State Government COVID exemptions to enable extension of the advertising period from the usual maximum statutory period of 28 days to the maximum allowable 42 days.</p> <p>City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to all properties within the proposed ACP boundary, properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP, properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included within the proposed ACP. The submitter's property is within this area.</p> <p>Should modifications be proposed to the ACP it is expected that these modifications will also be further advertised before a final recommendation is forwarded to the WAPC.</p>



		<p>as to why our suburb is any different to theirs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- No doubt you will agree that Clive Palmer needs to take stock of his present actions affecting Western Australians</li> <li>- Well, I consider the decisions to build high rise apartments and rezone our leafy suburb without consideration to us residents to run a close second to that which Palmer is doing.</li> </ul>	<p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
66	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- As a resident of Kardinya for 40 years I strongly object to the re-zoning of our residential area</li> <li>- I object to the excessive height.</li> <li>- Consultation process has been extremely poor.</li> <li>- No increase in height of apartments at the shopping centre when 9 storeys has already been approved.</li> <li>- No increase in height of buildings in Kardinya residential area.</li> <li>- Serious concern over resulting increased traffic and parking on suburban streets.</li> <li>- Overlooking privacy issues.</li> <li>- Loss of tree canopy which will impact on shade, birdlife</li> <li>- Adverse changes to family-friendly and demographics of the suburb</li> <li>- Overcrowding</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>Public consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 with the City applying State Government COVID exemptions to enable extension of the advertising period from the usual maximum statutory period of 28 days to the maximum allowable 42 days.</p> <p>City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to all properties within the proposed ACP boundary, properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP, properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included within the proposed ACP. The submitter’s property is within this area.</p> <p>Should modifications be proposed to the ACP it is expected that these modifications will also be further advertised before a final recommendation is forwarded to the WAPC.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
67	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Having reviewed the plan for Kardinya and Kardinya Park, I have objections.</li> <li>- Particularly with the rezoning of streets around Kardinya adjacent to the development of Kardinya Park.</li> <li>- There was no consultation by the developers with our local populace. The excessive height for building in the surrounding streets is unacceptable certainly in terms of habitat, flora and fauna destruction, and visual degradation of a leafy and family friendly suburb which many of us have enjoyed for decades.</li> <li>- I have concerns around traffic density and parking issues.</li> <li>- Concerns about privacy issues, being an owner with a till now secluded backyard and pool</li> <li>- Changes to our family friendly and quiet demographic with the high density impact of this plan</li> <li>- I am not adverse to the development of Kardinya park itself but would ask that the proposed height would not exceed 9 storeys.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to</p>

			<p>consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p>
68	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I strongly object to the approval of apartment buildings of excessive height in the Kardinya area especially at the Kardinya shopping precinct.</li> <li>- Due to the rent issues causing shop closures in the complex I can foresee the owners pushing for apartments to replace the centre in the foreseeable future should the height restrictions be lifted.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p>
69	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- We strongly object to the planned rezoning of Kardinya mainly due to the excessive height of apartments within the Kardinya residential area. No objection for apartments within the shopping centre.</li> <li>- Our concern of high density living with high rise apartments within the residential areas will result in increased traffic and related problems like parking etc.</li> <li>- Than there is the issue of privacy with high rise overlooking our premises.</li> <li>- We appreciate more consideration be taken for zoning high rise apartment within residential areas.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
70	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I do not agree with proposed rezoning in Kardinya to include 3-5 high rise apartments in residential areas.</li> <li>- I strongly object to the excessive height and visual look of the apartments The consultation process has been poor by the developers and I had not heard of the proposal until I received the yellow flyer.</li> <li>- The proposed height of the apartments at Kardinya park shopping centre must be no higher than 9 storey buildings with ample parking provided.</li> <li>- Buildings in Kardinya residential must be capped at 5 storey buildings with privacy issues being considered for residents who already own a property beside the proposed apartments Adequate parking must be made available for shopping centre clients and overcrowding of all areas avoided The loss of trees will impact on our shade birdlife and the look of the natural environment The above changes will impact on the demographics of the suburbs and safe family friendly environment that is unique to Kardinya.</li> <li>- However I agree there must be redevelopment of the shopping complex as it is shabby and in need of modernising</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Public consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 with the City applying State Government COVID exemptions to enable extension of the advertising period from the usual maximum statutory period of 28 days to the maximum allowable 42 days.</p> <p>City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to all properties within the proposed ACP boundary, properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP, properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included within the proposed ACP. The submitter’s property is not within this area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p>
71	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I strongly object to the excessive heights that the developers are seeking for the Kardinya Activity Centre Plan.</li> <li>- There should be NO increase above the approved 9 storey buildings at the Shopping Centre.</li> <li>- There should be NO MORE than 3 storey buldings in the area immediately surrounding the Shopping Centre (Gilbertson Rd, South St, North Lake Rd).</li> <li>- I strongly object to any more than 2 storey buildings in the wider Kardinya residential area that surrounds the Kardinya Shopping Centre, including along Williamson Street, Kardinya.</li> <li>- I am concerned that by adding these heights, and therefore so many more residences, that traffic and parking, particularly along Gilbertson Road and Williamson Road will be congested and that the general family-friendly amenity of the area will be irreparably damaged.</li> <li>- I am concerned that by adding these heights, and therefore so many more residences, there will be a significant</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>

		loss of tree canopy which will impact on shade, birdlife and the natural environment which makes Kardinya such an attractive and pleasant place to live and to visit.	A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.  Noted.
72	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I moved to this location after my husband passed away, to be close to all facilities that Kardinya had to offer, Shops, Public Transport, Medical Services, beautiful Parks and a small block size, which I can manage on my own, all just perfect.</li> <li>- When checking with Melville council back then, I was assured there would be no rezoning in the future, other than the Kardinya Shopping Centre being upgraded.</li> <li>- Now I find it is all changing, I do not mind change, but High Rise Unit will just ruin this lovely neighbourhood Trees will disappear, to make way for these ugly buildings. So this means birdlife, and all the natural character will disappear etc. Etc.</li> <li>- I fear that the crime rate will also increase, especially if rented out. I have experienced this by owning a Self contained Unit myself.</li> <li>- The local traffic will increase, Gilbertson and Williamson Road already has “Hoons” screaming down them and not slowing down, scary as the Primary School and Day Care Centre are close by.</li> <li>- It saddens me to know it has come to this...</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
73	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Residents are unsure about the distinction between the shopping centre re-development and residential re-zoning.</li> <li>- Re-zoning heights of buildings is not in the interest of residents. (e.g. interference with solar panels).</li> <li>- We are already experiencing local delays in entering and leaving our quiet street (Ralston Road on to Gilbertson Road) despite our proximity to Buzacott oval, any further diminishing of green space is simply habitat extinction and in no-ones interest least of all the birds and wildlife.</li> <li>- The proposed re-zoning will dramatically alter the very nature of our suburb which has already been impacted with new garages being built with no link between the house (hiding behind the garage) and the street. We are in danger of becoming a lifeless street.</li> <li>- If the proposed re-development of the shopping centre delivers all that is promised, people will travel across suburbs to shop there.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The previous proposal was a development application was for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre property only. This has been approved by JDAP.</p> <p>The current proposal is for an Activity Centre Plan for the district centre (which includes the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre property) and its surrounding catchment as per the requirements of SPP 4.2.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
74	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The Kardinya ACP document delivered to homes surrounding the Kardinya Shopping Centre is not the same document as the planning notification displayed at the shopping centre some months ago.</li> <li>- This document affects a much larger residential area than the original notice, suggests a wider application of R80 zoning and directly impacts the Morris Buzacott reserve.</li> <li>- The nature of our streets and the peace surrounding our homes would be destroyed by the years of building work initially and then forever by the extra traffic, population and building shadow.</li> <li>- It was understood that the shopping centre and immediate neighbours were to be renovated and the height extended on the centre itself.</li> <li>- This sleight of hand sends alarm bells, particularly because of the mis-information around the development at Canning Bridge.</li> <li>- We do not want that kind of ill conceived, residential density here.</li> <li>- Please don't approve the Proposed Kardinya District Centre Activity Centre Plan.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The previous proposal was a development application was for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre property only. This has been approved by JDAP.</p> <p>The current proposal is for an Activity Centre Plan for the district centre (which includes the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre property) and its surrounding catchment as per the requirements of SPP 4.2.</p>
75	O	<p>I have previously lived in Kardinya with my family during the late 1960’s and through the 1970’s. It was a fantastic place to live as a child, quiet, North Lake nearby, pine forests, North Lake high school.</p> <p>I have recently returned to live in Kardinya and own my home with my family, opposite Morris Buzacott reserve. I’d like to air my concerns regarding rezoning applications for land in Kardinya. It involves rezoning of single home properties to include 3 to 5 storey apartments and even more concerning 15 to 20 storey apartment blocks.</p> <p>I believe any decision by council to approve such developments is contrary to what is best for existing ratepayers and residents. Concerns include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Lack of parking for apartment owners, leading to street parking and overcrowding of surrounding streets;</li> <li>2. Privacy issues for home owners living proximal to apartment blocks;</li> <li>3. Loss of current family friendly amenity;</li> <li>4. Increased traffic flows.</li> </ol> <p>-</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The submitter’s property is not within the proposed ACP area.</p> <p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p>

			<p>The City has a statutory obligation to consider the ACP proposal and provide a report with a recommendation to the WAPC which is the determining authority.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
76	X	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I would like to oppose the planned rezoning of Kardinya surrounding the Kardinya Shopping Centre.</li> <li>- I live in the planned rezoned area and do not want to see my neighbourhood go above the permitted 2-storeys.</li> <li>- I also do not want to see high-rise apartments as part of the shopping centre activity centre plan.</li> <li>- The rezoing would mean higher density living, more traffic, fewer trees and negatively impact the family friendly nature of the city.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
77	S	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- An excellent plan.</li> <li>- Timely thinking of the future, to accommodate the increasing demand of residential and commercial properties driven by the adjacent University, Hospital and proposed activity centre.</li> <li>- In my opinion the corresponding authority should think positively.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p>
78	S	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Supports the proposal.</li> <li>- As a local resident I strongly feel the necessity of such a plan. The demand of residence is increasing rapidly.</li> <li>- Particularly demand of small size accommodation, like apartments/units is ever increasing.</li> <li>- This plan will allow sustainable demand based shaping of the residential and semi-commercial properties.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p>
79	S	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I’m on board with the Activity Centre Plan and rezoning. The only issue I’m interested in is the parking situation for Ralston Road.</li> <li>- Myself and residents in the street are frustrated with the street being used as a carpark for Morris Buzacott Reserve, as well as drop-offs and pick-ups for sports training and events.</li> <li>- My property is the second last in the cul-de-sac, and the street is often full of cars parked as far down as Tate Place on weekends during sport events. At times they are parked on both sides of the street, and always on the verge of the vacant lot opposite number 23 and 25 which causes it to be constantly sandy along that part of the road. All the while, there is always ample parking within the reserve parking areas which are left unoccupied. It’s a frustration that residents have told me they have pretty much given up on because it too often sees what should be a quiet street by a park with little traffic, turned in to a busy hazardous one with continual coming and going of vehicles on sports training weeknights and event weekends. I brought this to the City’s attention a few years ago but it fell on deaf ears and nothing was done.</li> <li>- My query is, can there be a provision to not permit parking and drop-offs on Ralston Road for park usage at Morris Buzacott Reserve? For example, can it be sign-posted at the T-junction with Tate Place, as well as along the street and at the cul-de-sac, that parking and drop-offs for the park is not permitted and that the reserve’s carpark should be used instead, and can this be ‘policed’ with offenders fined until the problem ceases?</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The submitters concerns have been forwarded to customer service to direct to the appropriate section of the City to respond to.</p>
80	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I don’t support proposed ACP application for Kardinya, strongly objecting to its excessive height.</li> <li>- The proposed apartment’s height will impact our neighbourhood, with increased crowding, reducing trees, impacting wildlife and changing our suburb’s tranquil nature.</li> <li>- There would be an increase in traffic and congestion, anti-social behaviour, pollution, privacy loss, changing the very dynamics of our suburb from a family friendly orientated, leafy area with wildlife to one for the developer’s</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>

		greed.	A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.
81	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I strongly oppose this ACP.</li> <li>- I currently live within this 400 meter radius and DO NOT want any rezoning of our area. I live in a cul-de-sac which is not ideal for a 5 storey building with traffic noise and vehicle emissions and people coming and going all times of the day and night.</li> <li>- I bought here for the quiet leafy suburb. Local residents strongly oppose this also. We have taken up a petition from locals and locals have put in individual submissions.</li> </ul> <p>Pro forma letter also included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Opposing rezoning of all dwellings within the 400 metre radius surrounding the shopping centre or any high rise dwellings in the area.</li> <li>- We the residents of Kardinya have major concerns regarding the application lodged for rezoning in our area.</li> <li>- We fiercely oppose this application, we believe as home owners that zoning for our area should remain at a maximum of two storeys high.</li> <li>- The shopping centre development should not be permitted to increase the apartment height beyond the approved maximum of the 9 storeys which residents feel is more than we were hoping for.</li> <li>- We feel we have an inadequate and misleading consultation by the developers with the community, had the residents known what the repercussions were from the revamping of the shopping centre we would have opposed it, knowing now what we have learned about rezoning of the blocks in the area.</li> </ul> <p>Major concerns and issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Lack of exposure from either the developer and or the council's proposal for this rezoning to include an Activity Centre Hub around the expansion of Kardinya Shopping Centre.</li> <li>- Residents do not feel there is a benefit to them with the ACP in the area and the beneficiaries will be the developers/investors.</li> <li>- Proposed rezoning aims to increase height of buildings and density of our suburb.</li> <li>- Increased traffic flow and vehicle emissions plus traffic noise in our quiet suburb &amp; streets will adversely affect our lifestyle and enjoyment of our area.</li> <li>- Street parking will become prevalent due to higher density living.</li> <li>- Loss of trees and flora with loss of bird life</li> <li>- Loss of privacy in our family friendly suburb will affect our lifestyle.</li> <li>- The impact on local residents if rezoning goes ahead will have an adverse affect on our lifestyle.</li> <li>- Questions if any of the apartments to be allocated / sold to the State Housing Commission.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Unknown</p>
82	O	<p><i>My comments are in red. Headings and comments are in black are taken from the Kardinya ACP on the Melville City web site,</i></p> <p>Table 1 – Activity Centre Plan Overview Item Data</p> <p><i>These are confusing figures are they saying by 2050 there will be 629 dwellings or 1875 dwellings with a population of</i></p>	Noted.

	<p>3,375.</p> <p>Density Targets and Retail Growth <i>Now it talks about a total of 200 dwellings will be developed – more confusion about numbers</i></p> <p>Restrictive Covenants Within the ACP <i>What are these restrictive covenants? What are their ramifications?</i></p> <p>Grouped Dwelling Parking Only one dedicated car parking bay is required for grouped dwelling environment <i>This is now coming to the sharp end of the argument about the ACP – Parking for residents and obvious increase in traffic volumes. Despite the assumptions that people in the future will not use vehicles as much and that ride sharing and public transport will solve the problem of congestion, this will not happen. Fremantle is a classic case of poor parking facilities and consequently poor use of their retail area.</i></p> <p>Vehicle Access No vehicle access shall be supported onto South Street. <i>What does this mean?</i> <i>Why is it that the developer/owner of the Core is seeking re zoning of the Frame area and extended residential area when they have limited redevelopment opportunities? Are they going to develop the site or what and when? Will they try to use the rezoning as a lever to complete or even start the Core. No rezoning – No Core development.</i></p> <p>1. Executive Summary It is intended that increased residential densities within the surrounding area to the Kardinya Park Shopping centre will further contribute to the walkable catchment of this centre and increase the patronage and viability of public transport infrastructure, thereby reducing car reliance and enhancing the vitality of local businesses <i>Rubbish statement no one will walk with shopping bags full of groceries in even fine weather never mind winter rains and our hot summers. There is a problem with certain members of the community using Coles or K-Mart trolleys and leaving them scattered around the place. Just this morning I photographed 2 abandoned trolleys adjacent to the Kardinya Primary School on Ochiltree Way (29/8/20). Online shopping and home deliveries have seen a massive increase these past few years an even without the Covid 19 pandemic. That is why the major retailers are abandoning these centres.</i></p> <p>Community and Stakeholder Engagement Release of a community online survey capturing the thoughts of 46 people. <i>Was this survey of 46 people done for the original redevelopment of the Core and not this latest rezoning application? Shows a clear lack of enthusiasm.</i> <i>26% of 46 = 12 people</i> <i>8% of 46 = 4 people</i> <i>12% of 46 = 6 people</i></p> <p>SWOT Analysis Strengths <i>With all these strengths why the push for rezoning when most of the residents do not want High rise and medium density housing. The existing blocks could be, and a lot have already been subdivided</i></p> <p>Weaknesses • <i>The Core Centre plans have been passed so weaknesses have been addressed</i></p> <p>Opportunities • <i>Not true that property values will increase. We do not need student housing here. Elderly certainly do not want high rise.</i></p> <p>Threats • <i>Kardinya Activity Centre has not been identified as a 'strategic development area'.</i></p> <p>City of Melville – Local Planning Scheme No.6 “To ensure that development is not detrimental to the amenity of adjoining owners or residential properties in the locality;” <i>This planned rezoning will without doubt be detrimental due to huge increase in people living in high rise and medium density housing and the attendant huge increase in traffic and parking demands.</i></p>	<p>Noted- the ACP documentation as submitted contains a number of different figures which are attributed to the same outcomes.</p> <p>The ACP references existing restrictive covenants related only to properties within the “Centre” zoned portion of the proposed ACP. The ACP does not have any jurisdiction over these existing restrictive covenants. It does not promote or propose any new or additional covenants.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>South Street is a “Primary Regional Road” in the Metropolitan Region Scheme and as such is under the control of Main Roads WA (MRWA), not the City. MRWA does not support additional vehicle access/development that generates additional access unto a Primary Regional Road.</p> <p>This refers to “engagement” undertaken by the proponents, not the City.</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable</p>
--	--	---

	<p><i>Traffic loads and parking are a serious problem now. Public transport will not solve this ever-expanding problem</i></p> <p>Access 1 <i>Does this mean 4 lanes i.e. 2 for service vehicles in and out and 2 for centre customers vehicles in and out?</i></p> <p>Access 2 <i>The right turn off North Lake Road would require a long right turn slip road to avoid blocking the outer lane off North Lake road heading north towards Leach Highway</i></p> <p>Access 3 <i>See previous comment</i></p> <p>Access 4 <i>So now this access point 4 will be close to access point 5. This will cause congestion and confusion for vehicles exiting left only to find vehicles trying to enter and exit Access 5. A recipe for disaster.</i></p> <p>Access 5 <i>Access 5 is the Main St access from South Street and is a serious traffic hazard as vehicles trying to enter from South St from the east are trying to cross the 3 lanes of traffic that are heading east down South St towards the Freeway. In addition, it is almost impossible for vehicles trying to turn right out of Main Street onto South Street heading to Fremantle having also to cross 3 lanes of traffic and contend with vehicles trying to enter Main street as previously explained above.</i></p> <p>Access 6 Left in from South Street and right out onto South Street. <i>This again is a dangerous exit/entry as it is small and close to the Gilbertson Road traffic lights.</i></p> <p>Access 7 Two-way vehicle movement currently permitted. <i>As in access 6 the entry is small and close to the Gilbertson Road/South Street traffic lights and dangerous.</i></p> <p>Access 8 Two-way access road that provides direct connection to the ‘main street’ <i>The Brophy Street access/ exit is already a problem in that vehicles exiting right often block vehicles wanting to turn left onto Gilbertson Road. It has been stated that if the exit at 5 on Main Street becomes congested then vehicles will find an alternative rout out. This route will be via Brophy Street as is the case now. Even more congestion.</i></p> <p>2. Demographic Profile</p> <p>Table 9: Method of Travel to Work – Notes: All statistics are sourced from .ID Community. COM means City of Melville. <i>68% of work travelling done by car and this will not change substantially. In fact, the volume of vehicles will increase if this rezoning goes ahead.</i></p> <p>5.6 Parking</p> <p><i>I personally had to contact the Melville rangers to ask for an infringement notice to be issued to a vehicle illegally parked on my verge at 1 Thomas Way. The verge is now a dust bowl after various vehicles using it as a parking spot. With the increase in subdivisions on Gilbertson Road there has been an increase verge parking which has been very noticeable in the past 12 months.</i></p> <p><i>The proposed rezoning will undoubtably put an unacceptable strain on parking availability and to suggest paying for parking to encourage public transport use is ludicrous. We shall end up with much fewer people using Kardinya than now if we cannot park conveniently. As is the case with Fremantle.</i></p> <p>5.7 Traffic Analysis Table 14: Intersection Performance Summary Intersection Summary of key Findings and Results Suggested Modifications and ACP Response North Lake Road / Access 1 • Overall the intersection performs at a high standard. • Minor delay for the right turn out movement on the existing intersection layout, results in only minor queuing and no impact on the broader network. • However due to the signalised intersection at South Street/North Lake Road, it is expected to perform better than modelled. The TIA</p>	<p>catchment area be included within the ACP. Where an ACP is prepared by a private landowner and submitted to the City, the City is required under the regulations to advertise the proposed ACP, undertake an assessment of the ACP against the planning framework and make a recommendation to the WAPC which makes the ultimate determination of the ACP.</p> <p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
--	--	--

	<p>suggests no changes to be made. South Street and North Lake Road “The analysis demonstrates there is some deterioration of this intersection during peak periods for the 2031 scenario and the ultimate development scenario”. <i>This is a quote.</i></p> <p>Therefore, providing density will assist in greater use of public transport demand which will result in a marginal impact on the intersection performance. <i>Trying to force people onto public transport because of the increase in density of traffic density.</i></p> <p>The TIA suggests no changes to be made. South Street / ‘Main Street’ • <i>Have already covered these Access points earlier.</i></p> <p>“The analysis concludes that the 2031 scenario and the ultimate development projection results in an unsatisfactory performance for this intersection”. However, given the substantial changes proposed within the redevelopment plans of Kardinya Park, traffic disruption will be more evenly spread between the 8 access points. <i>Nonsense, for reasons given earlier.</i></p> <p>Therefore, during ‘peak periods’ customers will have other means to access the Centre. The TIA suggests no changes to be made. South Street / Gilbertson Road • The analysis concludes that the intersection performance may deteriorate due to the increase in regional traffic. <i>What other means?</i></p> <p>Gilbertson Road will require potential road widening. Part 1 of the ACP has developed provisions for the lots that are affected by this potential land take to compensate landowners affected by this. • Modified signal phasing has also been suggested to be investigated to further improve the performance of this intersection moving towards 2031 and the ultimate development scenario. <i>This an absolute must to clear traffic from Gilbertson Road to South Street.</i></p> <p>Gilbertson Road / Brophy Street Intersection is expected to perform satisfactorily. <i>This has been covered before and will be a nightmare as more vehicles will use this exit because of congestion at Main Street and South Street as they do now.</i></p> <p>The TIA suggests no changes to be made. Gilbertson Road / Williamson Road Intersection is expected to perform satisfactorily. <i>Turning right onto Gilbertson from Williamson is problematical now as vehicles use Williamson as a short cut from Prescott Drive to cut out the traffic lights at South Street and Gilbertson.</i></p> <p>The TIA suggests no changes to be made. South Street / Petterson Avenue <i>This crossing 3 lanes of South Street to go east to the shopping centre is fraught with danger as South Street is a 70kmh road.</i></p> <p>Table 20: Total Additional Dwellings Year Projected Additional Dwellings 2020-2025 312 2025-2030 624 2030-2035 936 2035-2040 1,248 2040-2045 1,560 2045-2050 1,875</p> <p><i>What is the projected breakdown of these dwellings as far as 1 bed, 2bed etc. How many people? The requirement for parking in the residential areas are 0.75 bays for 1 bed. 1 parking bay for 2 bed. Whatever the figure there will be a huge demand for parking spaces.</i></p> <p>Aldi Carpark (Adjacent to Tavern) Whilst car parking is essential to the current operation of the Centre, as the density increases and the Centre evolves it is envisioned that the demand for car parking bays will eventually reduce, and furthermore, can be designed in a more effective manner to be sleeved by building facades.</p>	<p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
--	--	--



	<p><i>This is wishful thinking, the demand for parking will increase not decrease.</i></p> <p>Appendix A Cardno</p> <p>2.3 Transport Mode Share</p> <p>2.3.1 Employees The existing transport mode share pattern according to the Australian Bureau of Statistics for retail workers in the City of Melville is shown in Figure 2-3. These employees make up the majority of the current and future demand for journey to work in the Kardinya ACSP. Based on this census data,</p> <p><i>Approximately 84% of retail employees that attended work required on-site parking, and only 8% travelled by public transport.</i></p> <p><i>This says it all.</i></p> <p>7.7 Impact of Future Volumes using Existing intersection Geometry</p> <p>For the purpose of this locations assessed included the following signalised intersection:</p> <p>South Street / North Lake Road</p> <p><i>South Street / Main Street (Kardinya Shopping Centre)</i></p> <p>South Street / Gilbertson Road</p> <p><i>Gilbertson Road / Brophy Street</i></p> <p><i>Gilbertson Road / Williamson Road</i></p> <p><i>The intersections in red are not signalised as stated here.</i></p> <p>8.1 Service / Delivery</p> <p>Freight and deliveries destined for the Activity Centre can take advantage of the high-capacity regional road network within the area, including South Street, North Lake Road, Leach Highway and Kwinana Freeway. Commercial and retail deliveries are expected to be serviced by on-site loading docks or loading bays to limit the impact on boundary roads and conflicts between heavy vehicles and vulnerable road users. 8.2 Regional Road Freight Kardinya Activity Centre’s location along South Street, as well as its proximity to the O’Connor industrial area is likely to result in a high frequency of bypass freight trips. Long-term investigation of an alternative freight route to assist in improving road conditions in the vicinity, while reducing the intrinsic risks associated with operating in a mixed-traffic environment.</p> <p><i>The Brophy Street access to Aldi is used by the commercial vehicles including Gilbertson Road.</i></p> <p><i>Access 1 as mentioned previously will need a 4-lane access off North Lake Road</i></p> <p>9 Conclusions</p> <p>. Parking demand has been chosen as the focus for mode shift. Parking provision for both residential and commercial within the Activity Centre is proposed to be restricted to a maximum rate determined for general land uses, and partly offset through public provision.</p> <p><i>Paid parking?</i></p> <p>SIDRA analysis results show that the following intersections may perform unsatisfactorily upon the ultimate buildout of the Activity Centre:</p> <p>South Street / Main Street Intersection</p> <p>South Street / Gilbertson Road Intersection</p> <p>However, this is mainly due to the growth of regional traffic carried along South Street.</p> <p>South Street / Gilbertson Road intersection could be improved by upgrading the intersection layout and signal phasing. For South Street / Main Street intersection, signalisation in the future could be a solution to overcome the limited right out movement.</p> <p>However, this is not considered to be critical, as the traffic will choose to exit from another crossover if there’s any significant queueing at the South Street / Main Street intersection.</p> <p><i>The Brophy Street exit onto Gilbertson Road will be used as is now, with further congestion.</i></p> <p>Higher residential density which represents a higher number of public transport patrons will trigger the requirement for better public transport facilities, providing the impetus to accelerate the planning of the high capacity public transport (BRT/LRT) route connecting Kardinya Centre with Murdoch Station.</p> <p>The proposal within the ACSP will increase the residential density, which will then activate the need for this BRT/LRT. This proposal is expected to shift majority of the private vehicle traffic to public transport, which will result in major transport mode shift.</p> <p><i>My final comments:</i></p>	
--	--	--

		<p><i>Australians love their cars and this idea that they will use public transport in any significant way is a dream. Just look at the Freeway into the city from Joondalup in the north and Mandurah in the south and how many vehicles have one person in them.</i></p> <p><i>The massive increase in online shopping for food and other items with the convenience of having all your goods deliver to your doorstep has caused the major retailers rethink their shopping centre strategies.</i></p> <p><i>If shopping centres do not provide good parking and access to and from their centres, then the public will not use them. No one will be walking or using public transport with the weekly shopping especially with children in tow.</i></p> <p><i>The plans for the rezoning of Kardinya if passed will present one enormous white elephant with atrocious access and exits with major congestion on the streets. Parking for residents will be a nightmare causing increase in social tensions. The rezoning plan if it goes ahead will destroy Kardinya, with plans for up to storeys 20 storeys in the “Frame” and up to 5 storeys in the residential areas.</i></p> <p><i>This not a plan for the benefit of the residents but only a plan to secure a better return on capital for a few.</i></p>	
--	--	--	--

			Noted.
83	S	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I am pleased the proposed rezoning area extends to the Kardinya sporting grounds.</li> <li>- Pedestrian footpaths would be welcome in Hutchings Way, due to the proximity to the ovals.</li> <li>- Traffic management in/out of the activity zone must be carefully managed, particularly the residential streets (e.g. Gilbertson Rd).</li> <li>- The stalled Garden city redevelopment has been very disappointing &amp; there are no similar facilities nearby. I hope that this will result in an inviting and attractive space for families to enjoy.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
84	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- We wish to advise that we are not supporting the rezoning in Kardinya in the Activity Centre Plan for the Kardinya District Centre.</li> <li>- We attended the viewing at the Kardinya Shopping Centre and we do understand that you as a council cannot guarantee any building approvals due to the Western Australian structure of approvals, but we do feel that the proposal that you are planning for the area gives the powers that be, more room to approve changes that could be bad for the area and us as residents little to no say in the changes to our area.</li> <li>- Please revise your density expectations to this area as we are concerned about cars in the area creating more traffic and parking of the cars. It is not just the resident increase to the area but it is the visitors to the residents that will increase traffic and parking to the area. It is all very well to increase density in areas but we also need to look at the impact that will bring to the climate.</li> <li>- I do hope that the Kardinya Park Shopping development remains under the current height restriction and it does not go back to the height that they originally applied for. Also, that the council increases our trees and shrubs in the area to accommodate all the bird life and help our climate.</li> <li>- Yes we do understand that our property does fall in the Activity Centre Plan for the Kardinya District Centre but the zoning has not changed on our property as the proposed height remains two stories for our property.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The proposed ACP has not been prepared by the City but by a private landowner. The City has a statutory obligation to consider all ACP applications. The decision maker is the Western Australian Planning Commission. The City will provide a report to the WAPC recommending a decision the City considers is appropriate report making a recommendation.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP approval restricted residential development to 9 storeys in centre. This is an indication of the preferred heights.</p>
85	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The proposed demarcation line stops at rear of our house, on houses along the Dalston Cr.</li> <li>- These houses will rezone to R40 whilst ours remains at R25. We find this will devalue our home as density and heights at rear homes increase. There will potentially be nine units at the rear with double storey overlooking into our home as such that our home's privacy encroachment is compromised.</li> <li>- The use of Loris Way as the demarcation line would be better to mitigate unpleasant privacy to adjoining lots.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The ACP as submitted proposes the ACP boundary along streets in some locations and along property boundaries in other locations. A consistent approach is appropriate and this will be considered as part of assessment of the proposed ACP.</p>
86	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Height limits are excessive, shopping centres in surrounding area are not this height or the Murdoch and Fiona Stanley Hospitals or the student units for Murdoch university.</li> <li>- This not the Central Business District. Changing the zoning ratings this will turn area into a glass and cement slum area with lots same box like buildings.</li> <li>- Williamson road will become a greater rat run with more people trying to avoid the traffic lights when taking children to school and sports fields.</li> </ul>	<p>The recent JDAP approval restricted residential development to 9 storeys in centre. This is an indication of the preferred heights.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>

			A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.
87	O	<p><b>THE PROPOSED REDEVELOPMENT OF THE KARDINYA SHOPPING PRECINCT TO A DISTRICT ACTIVITY CENTRE THAT IS NOT LIMITED TO ALL DWELLINGS/PROPERTIES WITHIN A 400M RADIUS OF THE PROPOSED CENTRE BUT INCLUDES FUTURE LAND USES, BUILT FORM, BUILDING HEIGHT AND RESIDENTIAL DENSITY WITHIN THE ACP AREA.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The proposed changes to the building height will critically impact our sunlight and privacy and hence our quality life and property value.</li> <li>2. Amenity for residents, in their homes, includes a right to sunlight (especially in winter), security, and protection of views and privacy from being overlooked.</li> <li>3. Our home and other similar homes in the area will all lose sunlight to our solar panels, gardens, outdoor entertainment and play areas, and outdoor drying areas.</li> <li>4. Any balconies on multi storey dwellings will overlook 100% of the private open space of our backyard, back bedrooms and family rooms.</li> <li>5. With sunlight and our privacy gone and the fact that we are unlikely to ever have views this change to zoning will have lost all of the big three.</li> <li>6. Solar panels are important for the climate but also for many residents as a means of reducing their power costs.</li> <li>7. With any increase in concentrated population, due to housing density, invariably traffic congestion is a given. All new developments must have off street parking as an inadequate number of parking bays invariable lead to street parking which lead to more traffic issues.</li> <li>8. Lack of parking is already a major issue now in the area we live.</li> <li>9. High rise buildings also create large shadows, wind tunnels and poor street environment, which in turn make activities such as jogging, cycling or walking much less enjoyable creating less amenity, life and activity.</li> <li>10. The lack of public open spaces leads to a poorer quality of life for all residents.</li> </ol>	<p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Future built form design will be subject to compliance with the planning framework including the Residential Design Codes , other state design policy, the local planning scheme, the City local planning framework and any design related matters identified in the final ACP as ultimately determined by the WAPC.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
88	O	<p>I write to strongly object to the proposed rezoning of the Kardinya Activity Centre, on the following basis:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Consultation process by the developers has been inadequate and misleading. The majority of affected residents have been unaware of the excessive height and density of multi storey buildings proposed under the plan. No three to five storey buildings should be permitted.</li> <li>- Clearly there would be a massive increase in traffic and parking issues in quiet suburban streets. These areas were never designed for this burden. The approval for the nine storey apartment building and shopping centre expansion already signifies an undesirable increase in traffic in surrounding areas. Thousands more vehicles will be congesting narrow, suburban streets.</li> <li>- Loss of tree canopy and bird life with excessive density will be detrimental to the entire area. This is obviously at odds with Council's purported ethos of "making sure our community is adapted to the effects of climate change".</li> <li>- Current zoning already allows for substantial infill. Rezoning would subject existing residential homes to privacy and overcrowding problems. In these challenging times of dealing with COVID 19, this is clearly not the way forward. We do not want "upright cruise ships" in our suburb. Please do not allow developers to increase the already approved nine storey apartment block to fifteen to twenty storeys through rezoning.</li> <li>- The proposed rezoning does NOT suit the family friendly, peaceful suburb. Without placing too emotive a slant on the issue, I purchased in the area because I have always lived in the City of Melville, and this pocket of Kardinya represented safety and tranquillity. I now feel threatened and unsettled, and certainly do not relish the prospect of becoming landlocked by increased density and heights. One only has to look at the massive congestion of bottlenecked traffic around Cockburn Central to glimpse into our future should this rezoning be approved.</li> <li>- The proposed rezoning would be adversely detrimental to current residents. I strongly urge Council to demonstrate that it is not dictated to by moneyed developers, but listens to the voting ratepayer. Please reject Othe proposed rezoning.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>The recent JDAP approval restricted residential development to 9 storeys in centre. This is an indication of the preferred heights.</p>

			<p>The proposed ACP has not been prepared by the City but by a private landowner. The City has a statutory obligation to consider all ACP applications. The decision maker is the Western Australian Planning Commission. The City will provide a report to the WAPC recommending a decision the City considers is appropriate report making a recommendation.</p>
89	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- This is a residential suburb. The area outside the shopping centre precinct should remain as maximum R25.</li> <li>- The traffic flow and bus noise is bad and would be horrendous if this went ahead.</li> <li>- This country is a democracy and the people's voice should be heard.</li> </ul>	<p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. SPP 4.2 also identifies a dwelling target for the ACP area and ultimate densities should support this target.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
90	O	<p>I strongly object to the above proposal in respect of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The proposed height of the buildings (15 – 20 storey apartments) is far too excessive and very much incompatible with what the area has and should represent.</li> <li>- It is clearly a family residential area; the introduction of such high rise apartments will lead to non-family orientated living, single "student like" accommodation which unfortunately, though providing perhaps much needed accommodation, can only lead to a diminishing of valuation of the area and very much affecting those of us who purchased into a well-kept, respectable family orientated environment.</li> <li>- It is unfortunate, but high rise apartments have shown to be a source of concern in many areas.</li> </ul>	<p>The recent JDAP approval restricted residential development to 9 storeys in centre. This is an indication of the preferred heights.</p> <p>Noted.</p>
91	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- It seems thoroughly bizarre that in order to bolster the viability of ONE (1) person's investment, the City of Melville would lend any support to destroying the amenity of nearly an entire suburb to do so.</li> <li>- Try and see this for exactly what it is. Nobody in the areas has been seeking this; it is all about the bank balance of one single person. Knock it on the head now.</li> </ul>	<p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment.</p> <p>The decision maker is the Western Australian Planning Commission. The City will provide a report to the WAPC recommending a decision the City considers is appropriate report making a recommendation.</p>
92	O	<p>This submission opposes the proposed rezoning for the Kardinya District Centre in the strongest possible terms. As a resident of Kardinya who lives within the area proposed for rezoning, I have several serious concerns regarding the adverse impacts of the proposed ACP on the Kardinya community which are documented in this email. To begin with, Kardinya is one of the last suburbs where developers left remnant trees from the original forest, including a stand of a dozen old growth jarrah in our back yard and a smaller stand of 7 jarrah in my neighbour's front yard. These are regularly visited by both red and white tail cockatoos for foraging, as well as the remnant area of forest in front of Kardinya primary school and the pines surrounding Morris Buzacott Oval and Alan Edward Reserve.</p> <p>I have lived in Kardinya for several decades and raised my family in this suburb. They now also live in the area with their own families. Kardinya is a model community of quiet cul-de-sacs, with substantial areas of public green space and an exceptional tree canopy, which the neighbouring suburb of Winthrop has yet to match. Remarkably, Kardinya has managed to retain this character despite a great deal of in-fill subdivision and a relatively large number of duplex and unit developments pleasantly adapted to the area without disrupting its 'community' atmosphere. This cannot be said of the proposed ACP development which is clearly aimed at promoting property speculation on the fallacious grounds that the suburb lacks housing diversity and has insufficient density levels.</p> <p>I am strongly opposed to the height of apartments proposed (including buildings above the 9 storeys already unfortunately approved at the Kardinya Shopping Centre Development site, and 3-5 storeys in the surrounding residential areas) which aim to increase density in the suburb to unreasonable levels outside the CBD. It is completely inappropriate to build high-density apartments above 3 storeys in any suburb, no less one that is as well established as Kardinya and already accommodating reasonable density increases without the blight of inappropriate high-rise complexes.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Noted.</p>

		<p>The rezoning proposal would result in:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. adverse changes to the safe, family--oriented character of the neighbourhood;</li> <li>2. significant traffic congestion and parking issues on the small suburban streets. This would be particularly dangerous given the close proximity of the Kardinya primary school, childcare and aged care facilities;</li> <li>3. overcrowding of the suburb and public green space areas; and</li> <li>4. a loss of tree canopy, adversely impacting on the natural environment.</li> </ol> <p>It is important to note that Kardinya was not identified as a high-density area in either the City of Melville's Local Planning Strategy or the Murdoch Specialised Activity Centre Structure Plan; in this latter document our suburb is envisaged to be a low-rise neighbourhood. The proposed ACP was developed by the Kardinya Shopping Centre developers, and their application acknowledges that a key motivation for such high density is to increase the potential retail catchment for their shopping centre development. It is absolutely critical that the future of our suburb is not determined by developers whose vested interests are not those of the community. It should be the community, through broad consultation with the City of Melville that has responsibility for determining the future of our neighbourhoods.</p> <p>It is evident from discussion with my neighbours and other residents who live within or adjacent to the area proposed for rezoning, that there is a strong level of community opposition to the proposed ACP. The Kardinya residential community is also concerned at the manner in which 'consultation' was conducted in regards to the proposed ACP development. The consultation process has been entirely inadequate. Many of our neighbours were unaware of the proposed rezoning or confused it with the recently proposed and approved Kardinya shopping centre development. Although I live in the area proposed for rezoning, I did not receive any notification from the developers about their rezoning application and only heard of the scheduled information session from a shopkeeper. The Melville City Council letter was a generic circular which gave little concrete information. Because it was addressed 'To the Resident', it was 'treated as junk mail by several neighbours.</p> <p>Melville prides itself for focussing on community well-being. However, the proposed development will adversely impact on the well-being of Kardinya residents, who choose to live here because of its community atmosphere. It is critical that the City of Melville supports the community of Kardinya and strongly advises the Western Australian Planning Commission that the proposed ACP should not be approved in any form.</p>	<p>The recent JDAP approval restricted residential development to 9 storeys in centre. This is an indication of the preferred heights.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment.</p> <p>Public consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 with the City applying State Government COVID exemptions to enable extension of the advertising period from the usual maximum statutory period of 28 days to the maximum allowable 42 days.</p> <p>The City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to all properties within the proposed ACP boundary, properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP, properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included within the proposed ACP.</p> <p>The City correspondence included a plan indicating the extent of the proposed ACP, briefly outlined its implications, identified several mechanisms to find out more detail, provided a link to the ACP documentation, encouraged lodgment of a submission and provided several alternative mechanisms to do so.</p> <p>The City's correspondence was also forwarded in a City envelope with the logo clearly visible on the front. If recipients choose not to view official</p>
--	--	--	---

			correspondence from the City, that is beyond the control of the City.
93	S	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I am fully supportive of the proposal. I believe that it will reinvigorate the area, particularly will improve upon the current shopping experience.</li> <li>- I believe that it will bring additional shoppers, improve the amenity of the surrounds (particularly the current poor footpaths), and improve the land value in the surrounds</li> </ul>	Noted.
94	O	<p>Object to the increase in height for the Kardinya Shopping Centre redevelopment.</p> <p>It is our opinion that increasing the height above the existing approved 9 storey height will lead to;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Overlooking issues that our friends, who own a house and live very close to the redevelopment, are very concerned about. They are very concerned about their privacy, should the building height increase to 15 to 20 stories.</li> <li>- They are also very concerned, as we are, about the effects of the increased traffic that will eventuate should such an increase in traffic to the local streets cause additional parking issues around their home.</li> <li>- It is likely that parking requirements may increase to levels, where special parking permits will be required for visitors to the area.</li> <li>- There are many instances and regular occurrences, that when additional parking is required to service additional traffic generated from larger developments, which cause higher traffic intensities and parking issues for residents local to the development.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The recent JDAP approval restricted residential development to 9 storeys in centre. This is an indication of the preferred heights.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
95	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I am informed that the developers of the Kardinya Shopping Centre are now requesting rezoning of parts of Kardinya.</li> <li>- Let it be known that I am <b>strongly</b> opposed to any rezoning. My understanding is that part of the Kardinya S.C has been approved for 9 stories but are now requesting this be amended to 15 – 20 Stories.</li> <li>- In addition they want to rezone surrounding properties to allow an increase from between 3 to 5 stories. This is insane. I built my home 44 years ago and love this area with its leafy trees, quiet roads and low crime rate. I see all these qualities being negatively impacted.</li> <li>- Traffic will become a nightmare and the friendly family atmosphere we enjoy will disappear and property values will plunge.</li> <li>- I am appealing to your common sense and reject this outrageous proposal.</li> </ul>	<p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>The recent JDAP approval restricted residential development to 9 storeys in centre. This is an indication of the preferred heights.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>The decision maker is the Western Australian Planning Commission. The City will provide a report to the WAPC recommending a decision the City considers is appropriate report making a recommendation.</p>
96	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I strongly object to the rezoning of Kardinya.</li> <li>- I believe that we were not keep informed by the developer.</li> <li>- Our area will have a lot more traffic &amp; that is not a good thing for the area.</li> <li>- Lastly the whole demographics of the area will change which would be very sad</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted.</p>
97	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- This email is to state I strongly object to the excessive height proposed in the new re zoning of Kardinya to allow 3-5 storey apartments.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
98	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I object most strongly to the proposed development outlined in the Activity Centre Plan (ACP) for the Kardinya Shopping Centre and surrounds.</li> <li>- Firstly, I believe there has been an extremely poor consultation process by the developers with most information</li> </ul>	Noted.

		<p>about the plan coming from the Council and concerned residents.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Secondly, the proposed height for both the apartments on the shopping centre development and surrounding residential areas is excessive in the extreme. I was not even aware of the approved height of (nine) 9 storeys on the shopping centre site, and that is too high. As for 3-5 storey buildings in suburban streets, that is sheer lunacy. Build them in areas already ruined by excessive height limits. Maintain the two-storey maximum please.</li> <li>- A lack of parking and access points for vehicular traffic would impact on residents and local traffic resulting in congestion, frustration and accidents. There are quite a few elderly drivers in the area who don't appear to be confident or competent drivers, so the increased traffic and congestion will aggravate the situation.</li> <li>- The proposed development with increased height limits at the shopping centre and in the surrounding area will result in overlooking and privacy issues, as well as creating shadows on existing residences. This will result in a reduction in property values as well as annoyance and frustration.</li> <li>- The proposed development will result in a loss of tree canopy and general greening, which will impact of summer shade, birdlife and the existing natural environment which has already been depleted with infill developments.</li> <li>- This proposal if approved will cause adverse changes to the current family-friendly character of the suburb and possibly have a deleterious impact on the demographics of Kardinya, which has a great mix of family groups.</li> <li>- If the proposed development is approved and proceeds, there will be a far larger population in a compact area which will cause overcrowding. This is the last thing we need in times of pandemics which will surely become more frequent and possibly more deadly due to the increased mobility of the world population and the shrinking of green space. Open space is now more important than ever. Thank God for backyards!</li> <li>- Please, please do not approve this development and ACP.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The recent JDAP approval restricted residential development to 9 storeys in centre. This is an indication of the preferred heights.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>Noted.</p>
99	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- We wish to state our concern over the proposed alterations to the rezoning in the areas surrounding the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre redevelopment.</li> <li>- We object strongly to any building heights being increased to over 2 storeys as it is a residential area and we have a problem with privacy from overlooking buildings.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
100	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I am writing to state my objection to the rezoning of Kardinya to allow high rise apartments.</li> <li>- I feel that increasing the height of buildings in the residential area (3-5 story) and Kardinya Park shopping centre (&gt;9 story) would be excessive.</li> <li>- It could contribute to worsened traffic (which is already problematic at some of the busier junctions [south street x Gibertson drive for example]).</li> <li>- It may damage our suburbs beautiful canopies and bird life (this is my favourite part of this suburb after living in many different Perth suburbs).</li> <li>- Finally it may contribute to greater overcrowding, a changing demographic of the suburb, and potentially result in increased crime rates.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP approval restricted residential development to 9 storeys in centre. This is an indication of the preferred heights.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted.</p>
101	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I strongly object to any proposed rezoning of Kardinya for any high rise residential buildings.</li> <li>- The developers tried sneaking this thru by a poor consultation process; perhaps because they know that a majority of residents would oppose it.</li> <li>- I don't want any increase to the 9 story apartment already set to go ahead at the shopping centre.</li> <li>- I certainly don't want a three or five story apartment next to where I currently live.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The recent JDAP approval restricted residential development to 9 storeys in centre. This is an indication of the preferred heights.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>As the submitters property is outside the proposed ACP area there is no</p>



			change proposed to either density or height affecting either the submitters or neighbouring properties.
102	O	<p>There are several aspects that I will comment on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Excessive height of buildings will cause issues for neighbours.</li> <li>- I have not heard anything about these plans. When did the developer or the council advertise the proposed plans and allow time for discussions.</li> <li>- Kardinya shopping centre is a great venue to shop, do not make it unfriendly by placing too many people there. Keep the apartment building to 9 storeys or less.</li> <li>- In the residential areas do not destroy the ambience of this beautiful suburb by having building over 3 stories height in the residential areas.</li> <li>- We need more trees not less. Please ensure there are more opportunities to build on the tree canopy not less.</li> <li>- Back in 2003, I chose to live in Kardinya because of the great family friendly character of the suburb and demographics of the street I live in which continues to thrive.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Public consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 with the City applying State Government COVID exemptions to enable extension of the advertising period from the usual maximum statutory period of 28 days to the maximum allowable 42 days.</p> <p>The City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to all properties within the proposed ACP boundary, properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP, properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included within the proposed ACP. As the submitters property is not within this area a letter was not sent to that property.</p> <p>The recent JDAP approval restricted residential development to 9 storeys in centre. This is an indication of the preferred heights.</p> <p>As the submitters property is outside the proposed ACP area there is no change proposed to either density or height affecting either the submitters or neighbouring properties.</p>
103	O	<p>Once again I am writing to you as a long term resident of Kardinya expressing my concern for and objection to the proposed application by developers of the Kardinya Shopping Centre to rezone parts of our suburb, which would also, in turn, allow for an increase in the number of storeys for the apartments previously approved for that Centre.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- There appears to have been very little or poor consultation by the developers in this entire process.</li> <li>- The majority of residents of Kardinya were utterly disappointed and shattered that the original proposed redevelopment of the Kardinya Shopping Centre was approved some months ago and it would seem that the Melville Council needs to do as much as it can to protect the homes, environment and lifestyle of our suburb.</li> <li>- Having lived here for over forty years we have seen many changes to our surrounding environment with most notably the dramatic increase in traffic and subsequent effect it has had on our day to day living.</li> <li>- I most strongly object to the rezoning and the excessive height of dwellings and apartments which it would lead to.</li> <li>- We live in a pleasant residential area, one that should not be subjected to increased building heights of up to 5 storeys, if rezoned as proposed, bringing privacy issues to many of the one or two storey homes.</li> <li>- There are many trees and street canopies in our suburb which provide shade to all and are excellent corridors for our local birdlife as they move around and provide great joy to many locals, including myself. This would be dramatically impacted if houses and gardens were removed and replaced by “high-rise”.</li> <li>- As mentioned previously the increased traffic and subsequent parking issues it would bring are a grave concern and a strong basis for objection. The additional cars accessing any proposed high density areas would only add to the already existing high volume of traffic in our suburb (and more to come with the approved apartments at shopping centre).</li> <li>- Already there is a major problem with the massive amount of traffic driving through part of Kardinya along South Street as well as on North Lake Road. Even with the current shopping centre it is becoming more difficult to enter the car park or exit same due to the extreme volume of traffic driving along South Street.</li> <li>- The traffic lights at Gilbertson Road and South Street are already congested with people using the back exit (Brophy Street) from the shopping Centre rather than deal with merging into traffic at South Street.</li> <li>- There is also a high amount of traffic at this corner with the school traffic which would only multiply if rezoning allowed for increased density in the area of Kardinya, whether it is in the residential area or the shopping development apartments.</li> <li>- Certainly would lead to overcrowding of our suburb.</li> <li>- Living south of South Street we already have issues in exiting into South Street at the lights and this would multiply greatly if the area south of South Street to Pinewood Avenue was rezoned to higher density.</li> <li>- Difficult with the apartments as they are currently.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>

		In summary I would kindly ask you to consider our family friendly suburb as it is and keeping it that way, taking into account the <b>impact</b> it is already going to <b>suffer</b> with the currently approved development of the shopping centre and the apartments capped at nine storeys.	
104	O	<p>I would like to formally and overwhelming object to the proposed application by the developers of the Kardinya Shopping Centre to rezone parts of Kardinya which would affect large areas of our suburb.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- This would lead to even further congestion to our roads, area and lifestyle.</li> <li>- Moving around South Street and Gilbertson Road is already difficult and a nightmare at times.</li> <li>- The volume of cars travelling through, around and in our suburb has increased dramatically in recent years and to rezone and bring more people into the area, with multiple dwellings, would create overcrowding as well as the much higher volumes of traffic.</li> <li>- It would also adversely change the nature and character of our suburb as well as destroy some of our natural environment with the loss of trees and gardens as well as our birdlife with street canopies and corridors being reduced.</li> <li>- There should not be any increase in the height of the Kardinya residential area as proposed, nor with the apartments of the approved shopping centre redevelopment as this would certainly contribute to a loss of a more family friendly suburb.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP approval restricted residential development to 9 storeys in centre. This is an indication of the preferred heights.</p>
105	O	<p>We are writing to you regarding the Kardinya ACP. We do have some concerns with the rezoning and developments of high rise in the area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Increasing height of buildings and density of suburbs will increase traffic flow and affect safety issues.</li> <li>- It will have some adverse changes to family -friendly character of Kardinya, loss of tree canopy will affect shade, birdlife and increase temperature.</li> <li>- Kardinya is a beautiful suburb and most residents do not want high rise in residential areas.</li> </ul> <p>Pro forma letter also included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Opposing rezoning of all dwellings within the 400 metre radius surrounding the shopping centre or any high rise dwellings in the area.</li> <li>- We the residents of Kardinya have major concerns regarding the application lodged for rezoning in our area.</li> <li>- We fiercely oppose this application, we believe as home owners that zoning for our area should remain at a maximum of two storeys high.</li> <li>- The shopping centre development should not be permitted to increase the apartment height beyond the approved maximum of the 9 storeys which residents feel is more than we were hoping for.</li> <li>- We feel we have an inadequate and misleading consultation by the developers with the community, had the residents known what the repercussions were from the revamping of the shopping centre we would have opposed it, knowing now what we have learned about rezoning of the blocks in the area.</li> </ul> <p>Major concerns and issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Lack of exposure from either the developer and or the council's proposal for this rezoning to include an Activity Centre Hub around the expansion of Kardinya Shopping Centre.</li> <li>- Residents do not feel there is a benefit to them with the ACP in the area and the beneficiaries will be the developers/investors.</li> <li>- Proposed rezoning aims to increase height of buildings and density of our suburb.</li> <li>- Increased traffic flow and vehicle emissions plus traffic noise in our quiet suburb &amp; streets will adversely affect our lifestyle and enjoyment of our area.</li> <li>- Street parking will become prevalent due to higher density living.</li> <li>- Loss of trees and flora with loss of bird life</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p> <p>Noted</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Loss of privacy in our family friendly suburb will affect our lifestyle.</li> <li>- The impact on local residents if rezoning goes ahead will have an adverse affect on our lifestyle.</li> <li>- Questions if any of the apartments to be allocated / sold to the State Housing Commission.</li> </ul>	<p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted Noted</p> <p>Unknown</p>
106	O	<p>We are writing to you regarding the Kardinya ACP. We do have some concerns with the rezoning and developments of high rise in the area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Increasing height of buildings and density of suburbs will increase traffic flow and affect safety issues.</li> <li>- It will have some adverse changes to family -friendly character of Kardinya, loss of tree canopy will affect shade, birdlife and increase temperature.</li> <li>- Kardinya is a beautiful suburb and most residents do not want high rise in residential areas.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>Noted.</p>
107	O	<p>We are writing to you regarding the Kardinya ACP. We do have some concerns with the rezoning and developments of high rise in the area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Increasing height of buildings and density of suburbs will increase traffic flow and affect safety issues.</li> <li>- It will have some adverse changes to family -friendly character of Kardinya, loss of tree canopy will affect shade, birdlife and increase temperature.</li> <li>- Kardinya is a beautiful suburb and most residents do not want high rise in residential areas.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>Noted.</p>
108	O	<p>I wish to inform you of my strong objection to any increase or additional height development in the Kardinya area. I am aware that development for 9 storeys has already been approved at the Kardinya Park shopping centre precinct but that should be the limit of the height for that specific area.</p> <p>I am opposed to any &amp; all developers seeking approval for additional rezoning to allow for other high rise apartments in the Kardinya area, particularly as I am led to believe a 15-20 story application. That is plain ridiculous for a residential area that offers no river/water views.</p> <p>The Canning Highway Applecross development area has become an eyesore but at least you can understand the desire for river/water views. The only views high rise in Kardinya will be of other local resident's houses and yards thereby encroaching on current residents privacy.</p> <p>My concerns &amp; objections as a resident are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I am strongly opposed to additional height in the Kardinya area</li> <li>2. If not for the Save Kardinya letter to my mailbox I would have not known of the current developer's plans nor the consultation process, which speaks volumes of the lack of transparency on the developers part.</li> <li>3. The Kardinya Park shopping centre should be no greater than the approved 9 storeys.</li> <li>4. There should be no further development of height buildings in the Kardinya area.</li> <li>5. Stop the increase of traffic in the area by denying the height developments.</li> </ol>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The recent JDAP approval restricted residential development to 9 storeys in centre. This is an indication of the preferred heights.</p> <p>Public consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 with the City applying State Government COVID exemptions to enable extension of the advertising period from the usual maximum statutory period of 28 days to the maximum allowable 42 days.</p>

			<p>The City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to all properties within the proposed ACP boundary, properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP, properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included within the proposed ACP. As the submitters property is not within this area a letter was not sent to that property.</p> <p>The recent JDAP approval restricted residential development to 9 storeys in centre. This is an indication of the preferred heights.</p> <p>As the submitters property is outside the proposed ACP area there is no change proposed to either density or height affecting either the submitters or neighbouring properties.</p>
109	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I am not happy about the rezoning of residential homes into a concrete jungle - the suburb is quiet and safe for children and the elderly - why change the zoning, people are benefiting from splitting their blocks which in the age of making Kardinya a more densely populated area with out having high rise and crowded and noisy traffic around our streets</li> <li>- OK shopping centre needs up grading but does not need to overshadow our residential area by putting up apartments 15 -20 storeys high and definitely does not warrant having 3-5 storey buildings dotted through the area dwarfing the residential area.</li> <li>- I AM STONGLY AGAINST THE REZONING OF OUR RESIDENTIAL AREA</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The recent JDAP approval restricted residential development to 9 storeys in centre. This is an indication of the preferred heights.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
110	O	<p>I am a ratepayer in the City of Melville and reside in Kardinya. It has recently come to my attention the there are plans afoot to rezone parts of Kardinya to allow for the construction of apartments with heights of 3 – 5 storeys.</p> <p>I wish to <b><u>strongly object</u></b> to any such rezoning. My reasons for objecting are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- LACK OF CONSULTATION - There has been little or no consultation with ratepayers in this regard.</li> <li>- TRAFFIC - The traffic situation is already a concern in Kardinya. When I recollect that a similar lack of City of Melville consultation took place when the Kardinya Heights proposal was “rushed” through and the resulting (much concerning) increase in traffic that this has created in my street (Anglesey Drive).</li> <li>- VIEWS AND OVERLOOKING – I purchased this property specifically because of the views it provided and because we did not have to overlook neighbour’s properties. These features are potentially at serious risk should this rezoning proposal gain acceptance.</li> <li>- TREE CANOPY – much to my disgust 5 years ago approximately 100 mature native trees were cut down in the rear premises of the adjacent Senior Campus. The resulting loss of habitat to our native birdlife would have been horrendous. Kardinya needs to preserve as many mature trees as possible since their numbers are already sorely limited. The proposed rezoning will lead to the removal of more of our mature native trees.</li> <li>- DEMOGRAPHICS – Kardinya supports a family friendly type of culture. Families with children do not fit the multi-story demographic and as such their introduction would have an adverse effect on the prevailing Kardinya culture.</li> </ul> <p>I am a long-time resident of Kardinya and I STRONGLY OBJECT TO THE PROPOSED REZONING THAT WOULD PERMIT 3 – 5 STOREY MULTI STOREY APPARTMENTS.</p>	<p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>As the submitters property is outside the proposed ACP area there is no change proposed to either density or height affecting either the submitters or neighbouring properties.</p> <p>Public consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 with the City applying State Government COVID exemptions to enable extension of the advertising period from the usual maximum statutory period of 28 days to the maximum allowable 42 days.</p> <p>The City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to all properties within the proposed ACP boundary, properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP, properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included within the proposed ACP. As the submitters property is not within this area a letter was not sent to that property.</p>

			A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.
111	O	<p>I am a ratepayer in the City of Melville and reside in Kardinya. It has recently come to my attention the there are plans afoot to rezone parts of Kardinya to allow for the construction of apartments with heights of 3 – 5 storeys.</p> <p>I wish to <b><u>strongly object</u></b> to any such rezoning. My reasons for objecting are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- LACK OF CONSULTATION - There has been little or no consultation with ratepayers in this regard.</li> <li>- TRAFFIC - The traffic situation is already a concern in Kardinya. When I recollect that a similar lack of City of Melville consultation took place when the Kardinya Heights proposal was “rushed” through and the resulting (much concerning) increase in traffic that this has created in my street (Anglesey Drive).</li> <li>- VIEWS AND OVERLOOKING – I purchased this property specifically because of the views it provided and because we did not have to overlook neighbour’s properties. These features are potentially at serious risk should this rezoning proposal gain acceptance.</li> <li>- TREE CANOPY – much to my disgust 5 years ago approximately 100 mature native trees were cut down in the rear premises of the adjacent Senior Campus. The resulting loss of habitat to our native birdlife would have been horrendous. Kardinya needs to preserve as many mature trees as possible since their numbers are already sorely limited. The proposed rezoning will lead to the removal of more of our mature native trees.</li> <li>- DEMOGRAPHICS – Kardinya supports a family friendly type of culture. Families with children do not fit the multi-story demographic and as such their introduction would have an adverse effect on the prevailing Kardinya culture.</li> </ul> <p>I am a long-time resident of Kardinya and I STRONGLY OBJECT TO THE PROPOSED REZONING THAT WOULD PERMIT 3 – 5 STOREY MULTI STOREY APPARTMENTS.</p>	<p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>As the submitters property is outside the proposed ACP area there is no change proposed to either density or height affecting either the submitters or neighbouring properties.</p> <p>Public consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 with the City applying State Government COVID exemptions to enable extension of the advertising period from the usual maximum statutory period of 28 days to the maximum allowable 42 days.</p> <p>The City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to all properties within the proposed ACP boundary, properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP, properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included within the proposed ACP. As the submitters property is not within this area a letter was not sent to that property.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
112	S	<p>I fully support the redevelopment and rezoning of the Kardinya Shopping Centre. I live at 13a Passey Place Kardinya and as such I am a local. The redevelopment has a number of advantages:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The increase in shopping will mean an increase in local employment;</li> <li>2. An increase in the shopping centre and apartments will mean an increase for Melville City Council rates and income making the whole Melville area better for locals;</li> <li>3. An increase in shops will provide locals with a greater opportunity for variety meaning that they do not have to leave the local area or shop on line to get what they want;</li> <li>4. Having another cinema complex in the area will attract non locals to the area, further increasing Kardinya’s local income.</li> </ol> <p>I do NOT object to the increase in the proposed height increase and this is supported by reasons 1 and 2. Having an increase in local population has numerous positives.</p> <p>The developers have done an exceptional job in consultation with the local people. They have held numerous displays highlighting what, when and how the redevelopment will take place.</p>	Noted.

		<p>The increase in height of the development will only affect a few neighbours of the development. Sorry but the needs of the many out way the wishes of the few. It is only a few that have an objection to the increase in the height but they failed to think of the overall advantages for the suburb.</p> <p>The increase of local traffic will be insignificant and the proposed car parking will easily accommodate for those visiting the shopping complex. In 2016/16 the traffic that passed the Kardinya Shopping Centre was 35,058 per day Monday – Friday, whereas in 2017/18 that count increased to 37,264 per day (sourced from the Main Roads Traffic Map). That is an increase of less than ten percent and when you consider the increase in general growth of the southern suburbs that is acceptable. In fact the proposed car parking will be far superior to what is currently available. Although the current parking is somewhat disjointed and the carpark does fill up during the Christmas/New Year period I have never seen cars parked on suburban streets.</p> <p>The proposed changes will actually make the Kardinya Shopping precinct a more family friendly environment than what is currently there. The increase in social facilities like more restaurants and cinema can only make it more friendly. Whereas at the moment locals have to go to Fremantle, Cockburn Central or even further Perth for an evening meal or entertainment.</p>	<p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
113	O	<p>I am not in favour of the rezoning of residential homes in Kardinya</p> <p>I do agree the shopping centre needs attention but it should not affect surrounding homes</p> <p>I myself live and own a rear strata, three sides of my home have been built in the 1970's I am already overshadowed by trees from those neighbours. I could not stand to live with buildings that high close to my home, I would have to sell</p> <p>I AM VERY MUCH AGAINST REZONING OUR RESIDENTIAL AREA</p>	<p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
114	O	<p>We have lived in Kardinya for almost 30 years and are very content in our lifestyle here.</p> <p>We object to high-rise zoning as per the application by the developers of Kardinya Shopping Centre</p> <p>We are already subjected to increased traffic and often dangerous driving and speeding in Gilbertson Rd, and with the new development, potential for increased traffic and accidents will be high, let alone if there are numerous high rise buildings with resulting increased traffic and parking problems. We are not happy with the new development of the Kardinya Shopping Centre and object strongly to an increase in the height of the proposed apartments.</p> <p>Kardinya is a pleasant family suburb with many trees and small parks, and if these are to be reduced so will be the bird life and natural environment enjoyed by all.</p> <p>To have a 5 storey building next to our home would be disastrous, causing privacy problems and overlooking of our property, and potential to lose the beautiful view we have to the west, one of the main reasons we purchased our property, hoping never to be built out.</p> <p>We strongly object to the rezoning of Kardinya, which would lose the family friendly culture and become a high rise overpopulated suburb.</p>	<p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>

115	O	<p>In broad terms, I find the ACP and its attached reports unsatisfactory, presenting incomplete information and conclusions that appear to contradict some of the data provided or that overreach in drawing conclusions. Most importantly, the Plan appears intended to support the developer rather than the community, conceptualizing the community as consumers rather than as individuals and families who, together, make community.</p> <p><b>Recommendation:</b> The Council should reject the Plan. Any planning for the area must be community rather than consumer centred.</p> <p><b>Background</b> As a resident within the area covered by the ACP, I was astounded to read the statement at 1.4: The Kardinya ACP proposes a number of significant changes of how housing, activity and land use may change for the current and future residents of Kardinya and its surrounds. In anticipation of future statutory public consultation, it was identified that early community stakeholder consultation and communications would be critical to the success of the ACP process. ... <b>These findings formed the basis of communications distributed via an online community survey, community open house session and other online collateral....</b></p> <p>The Plan goes on to list a range of consultation mechanisms including flyers, door-knocking at hundreds of residences, FAQs distributed to stakeholders and more. I can assure Council that <b>the first consultation</b> of any kind that I have been involved with began only with the letter regarding the ACP, dated 20 July 2020. Likewise, I had no information and was not involved in any consultation regarding the redevelopment of the shopping centre.</p> <p>If there was consultation, this is not reflected in the Plan. In fact, it seems that “Community and Stakeholder Engagement” mainly relates to “[e]xtensive preliminary <i>market</i> research in early 2019...” and community online survey capturing the thoughts of 46 people. This hardly seems extensive or likely to be considered reliable or statistically significant.</p> <p><b>Purpose</b> In summary, the <b>overall impression</b> created is of a shopping centre redevelopment that is unviable without significant population increases and densification. The viability of the shopping centre appears to require the extensive redevelopment of the areas around the shopping centre to create higher population densities to support the vastly expanded commercial and retail centre.</p> <p>In this, existing residents and their current organization, life and living styles are treated as <i>impediments</i> to the viability of a private commercial and retail development. All attention is to the shopping centre and how to move people into it, with the community considered <i>only as potential consumers</i>, with the area’s parks and recreation facilities and adjacent wildlife areas are given scant consideration.</p> <p>It is envisaged that the implementation of the Plan will build “upon the existing (and any proposed) public transport infrastructure along South Street, its associated retail areas and residential frame.” In fact, little of substance is said about public transport, with no commentary from Transperth. This is a significant omission when the Plan also claims to want to “increase the patronage and viability of public transport infrastructure...”.</p> <p>The Plan makes only one (misguided) recommendation about public transport (see below). The Plan claims to want to increase “residential densities within the surrounding area to the Kardinya Park Shopping centre [and] will further contribute to the walkable catchment of this centre and increase the patronage and viability of public transport infrastructure, thereby reducing car reliance and enhancing the vitality of local businesses.” This is developer oriented, making few meaningful recommendations of how walking and cycling might be enhanced or encouraged. Most recommendations in these areas would seem to rely on residents and Council.</p> <p>The stated “vision” for the Kardinya centre is focused on the shopping centre. This would seem to be in contradiction to the State Planning Framework which sensibly argues for more community-focused services. Finally, in arguing for densification, it is stated that “Kardinya’s overall score for employment was 4.3. Kardinya has the lowest total amount of jobs of all the District Centres in the City of Melville...”. The development is (presumably) meant to change this, although all new jobs are likely to be in the redeveloped shopping centre. At the same time, this analysis of jobs is exceptionally narrow by conceptualizing Kardinya as isolated from the</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>This refers to “engagement” undertaken by the proponents, not the City.</p>
-----	---	---	--

	<p>approximately 57,500 jobs around the Murdoch transport hub, only a couple of kilometres east on South St.</p> <p><b>Densities</b></p> <p>It is somewhat incongruent that the earlier proposal for apartments at the shopping centre was reportedly reduced to 9 stories but that the same lots are now being proposed to accommodate developments of 15-20 storey above natural ground level. As well as contradicting the earlier decision, it appears that this Plan is developer-centred. No case is made why, in an area that already has grouped development, requires even greater build-outs, except to increase the “vitality of local businesses” and the revenues for developer and centre owner.</p> <p>Should the proposal be approved, the nature of the local area will be dramatically changed with market/developer pressure being used to direct residents to the centre while demanding lot size increases in the surrounding area to accommodate higher-density housing as a consumer catchment for the shopping centre.</p> <p><b>Traffic, Parking, and Public Transport</b></p> <p><i>Traffic</i></p> <p>Traffic planning downplays existing data and projections, plumping for a rather grand assumption: “it is expected that the private vehicles demand for both residents and employees within the Activity Centre will be <i>significantly reduced</i>.” Yet the information from MRWA makes it clear that this is unlikely: “The existing road use shows <i>a high volume of regional trips currently using South Street and North Lake Road</i>.</p> <p>This traffic is <b>expected to increase in the short-term future</b> as additional regional connections are made.” The Plan’s response represents a triumph of hope over data: “It is expected ... that <i>over time</i>, as population density increases and improved alternative transport modes and links are established, a shift away from the use of private car trips will result in a slowed or even a possible retraction in traffic growth over the longer term.” The bold assumption on declines in traffic is “in the order of <i>a 60% decrease in daily vehicle trip generation per household...</i>”. Unfortunately, the Cardno report, using SIDRA Analysis disagrees, predicting that <b>traffic delays will continue to increase</b> over time.</p> <p>Level of Service (LOS) calculations are a desktop model using SCATS data for the signalised intersections sourced from MRWA for Gilbertson/South St. Average Delays at signalled intersections seem unrealistic at 55-80 seconds (without a breakdown). My personal delay at Gilbertson/South St., with pedestrian traffic at the signals, can be 2-4 minutes. In afternoon peak hours, tailbacks extend from that intersection beyond Pinewood Ave. With traffic increases, this will be exacerbated. Indeed, the estimates of major increases in delays at Gilbertson/South St in Cardno’s report Table 7.14 to 7.18 are <b>more realistic</b>. Even with the minor modifications proposed, traffic is predicted to experience significantly <b>increased delays</b>.</p> <p>The unlikely predictions of reduced traffic allow for Plan proposals that require only minimal changes to the roads around the Core and Frame. The only major proposal for road changes involves widening of Gilbertson on both sides of South St to create left turns. But this remains a proposal and at one point is described only as “potential.” Missing from the analysis of the Gilbertson/South St intersection is the U-turn currently available on South St at that intersection.</p> <p>If the plan is to remove this, more vehicles wishing to head west will be forced to use Gilbertson and vehicles traveling east that need to use the U-turn to go east will need to use the shopping centre or will funnel through Pinewood to Gilbertson for a right turn. If the U-turns are removed, vehicles leaving the shopping area and heading west will be using already difficult and dangerous right turns across traffic on South Street or will be funnelled to Gilbertson, meaning more modifications will be needed.</p> <p>The report suggests no changes to the Gilbertson Road/Brophy Street intersection as it is expected to perform satisfactorily on the existing intersection layout. This seems unlikely. Gilbertson at this intersection is one lane on both sides with a median. Vehicles turning right into Brophy will have tailbacks. Likewise, the existing entries turning left into the Frame and into Brophy are unlikely to operate efficiently. The modification on the north side of Gilbertson should not ignore that the service station and spa at the intersection where both have vehicles turning into them. The changes to the BP service station appear to funnel even more traffic south into Gilbertson. It is likely that there will be tailbacks through the intersection.</p>	<p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. SPP 4.2 requires amongst other items identification of residential densities within the ACP area to deliver dwelling targets. The proposed densities are being assessed to determine the level of compliance with the requirements under SPP 4.2 which is a Sate Planning Policy that the City is required to apply.</p> <p>The recent JDAP approval restricted residential development to 9 storeys in centre. This is an indication of the preferred heights.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
--	---	---



	<p><i>Parking</i></p> <p>Parking at the shopping centre is to be <i>deliberately limited</i> despite predictions of heavy use, with full parking for several periods of the day. While there will be an almost 800 person increase in employment in the centre, it is not clear if there will be parking or whether employees must take public transport. The report states: “84% of retail employees that attended work required on-site parking, and only 8% travelled by public transport.” This suggests increased demand for parking by employees. How will this be handled?</p> <p>It is acknowledged that “the need for parking intensifies and becomes more expensive in the short term.” The outcome will be the introduction of “time limited managed parking throughout the locality both off-street and on-street will encourage rationalisation of car trips within as well as to and from the Centre and also encourages a greater use of walking, cycling and public transportation modes of transport.” In other words, the Plan and shopping centre is <b>increasing</b> demand for parking, including on neighbourhood streets, but reducing the parking provided.</p> <p>The notion that to “further minimise the impact of traffic it is proposed to allow grouped dwellings within the activity centre ...[will] have one dedicated car parking bay,” is likely to mean even more street and verge parking. Apart from the abovementioned grand assumption of reduced traffic, contradicted by traffic analysis, the notion that the redeveloped centre will generate increased pedestrian and cycling traffic seems unsupported in the document, with almost no information on such traffic or information on planned changes for these users.</p> <p>It is stated: “Shared paths exist along South Street and North Lake Road, provided <b>rudimentary</b> cycling access to and from the Site. The network of cycling facilities is <b>discontinuous</b> and <b>compromised</b> by the <b>high-speed, high volume road environments</b> of North Lake Road and South Street.” I can see no details regarding improvements for cyclist except for a statement that some footpaths may be widened. Even with a new hospital and a transport hub, at Murdoch station, the footpaths along South St have not been fully upgraded to allow a safe mix of pedestrian and cycle traffic.</p> <p><i>Public Transport</i></p> <p>Considerable emphasis is placed on public transport to reduce the need for parking. As already noted, no data or commentary is presented for Transperth. It is claimed that the centre “benefits from excellent opportunities for public transport services, with bus stops located along South Street, connecting major attractors outside of the Activity Centre. These bus stops, and the pathways in their immediate vicinity define the point of arrival for public transport trips” (p. 2, Cardno report). We are given to indication of the levels of increased demand for public transport or the ability of Transperth to meet increased demand.</p> <p>Yet the decreases in traffic are predicated on “expected improvements in public transport infrastructure, and the effects of densification and <i>parking constraint</i> on residential household behaviour.” There is no adequate discussion of what these improvements to public transportation might be or when and if they may be reasonably expected. Rather, we are provided with hopes: “The long-term plan for the ACSP reflects the Department of Transport’s Public Transport for Perth <i>in 2031</i>, anticipating a high-capacity public transport (BRT/LRT) route connecting Kardinya Centre with Murdoch Station. It is <i>expected</i> that high frequency collector bus services would then connect users to this future express service, creating an efficient and attractive service for a wide range of trip purposes.” It again seems that Murdoch station is the hub and the responsibility for proposed changes in the Plan lies with authorities with inadequate involvement in the Plan.</p> <p>One of the few suggestions made for public transport is proposed for safety: “The lack of supportive crossing represents a safety risk...”. The improvement suggested is moving a bus stop 100m west, on South St. opposite the shopping centre, which locates a bus stop in or near a turning lane onto N Lake Rd.</p> <p><i>Pedestrians</i></p> <p>The Plan is correct to note that the “pedestrian experience is very poor in local streets with a lack of footpaths provided throughout the locality.” Yet it is not clear how the Plan proposes to improve the pedestrian experience. The main contribution for pedestrians appears to be <i>within</i> the shopping centre and developer requirements for shared cost footpaths on smaller neighbourhood roads and additional street trees on these roads, presumably the responsibility of the Council.</p> <p>It is stated that the future redevelopment of the shopping centre will improve foot traffic in the area, but this is a</p>	
--	--	--

		<p>vague hope. It is claimed that by “bringing the building [shopping centre] to the edges of the site to remove the need for pedestrians to walk through car parking areas and removal of topographical constraints through new buildings fitting into the existing topography.” Yet the Plan does not explain how having no space between roads, footpath, and buildings will improve the pedestrian experience.</p> <p>While improving pedestrian and cycle access is a fine idea, it is somewhat odd that, with the existing shopping centre having been in place for many years, little has been done to encourage pedestrians or cyclists or to make their access easier or safer. There are few footpaths through the parking areas and little separation of pedestrians and vehicles.</p> <p>A further suggestion is for “larger infrastructure contributions such as underground power, street lighting etc. could be implemented via the council adopting a special area rating. This would provide a suitable mechanism to deliver these improvements whilst engaging with the community to facilitate these upgrades.” This is a cost to households with underground power already priced at high contribution rates for residents.</p> <p>A major issue for pedestrians is access across South St, and no serious proposals are entertained for improving this (see public transport above). The Plan notes that the existing intersections are “barriers” for pedestrians but makes no major suggestions on how to improve this, especially for the south side of South St.</p> <p><b>Internet/NBN</b> Internet/NBN capacity in an area where speed is already slow and copper technology and asbestos pipes is noted in the Pritchard Francis Report. There is no indication that any upgrade is required, suggesting that the already underwhelming speeds may be exacerbated.</p> <p><b>Maintenance</b> While not considered in the Plan, the idea of maintenance of the shopping centre causes some concern. To make the centre attractive, it must be maintained better than the current facility. At present, and for several years, despite a facelift, the centre appears somewhat rundown and for a time there were security concerns. Outside the centre, the carpark is often full of rubbish and little seems to be done to improve this. If that management of maintenance continues, the centre will be unattractive to its potential customers.</p> <p><b>Murdoch Health and Education Development</b> The Murdoch Health and Education Development is mentioned in the report as a driver of population growth. If it is, then the hub concept rightly belongs in that area. However, in recent days, the <i>Melville Gazette</i> has reported that the development at this hub has been <b>markedly downsized</b> in terms of apartment/residential development. Given that an area with excellent transport links is downsizing, it might be thought that this should raise serious questions regarding the Kardinya Plan.</p>	
116	O	<p>For what it's worth I would like to say, as a Kardinya resident, a few words about the proposed redevelopment.</p> <p>Kardinya is a quiet resident friendly suburb. It does not need an influx of eight, twelve or sixteen thousand new residents, with all the negative consequences that would produce. It does not need high rise residential housing producing less privacy and security. It does not need on street parking in a suburb with no pavements. Think of the added risk to mothers pushing their young ones in the road where no side- walks exist.</p> <p>Sure, the shopping centre re-development is a great idea, but must not intrude into the fabric of every day life of the surrounding residents. The developers only see the increased population as indirectly paying for their commercial investments.</p> <p>If anything is done to upgrade Kardinya, then first thoughts should go to getting rid of 150 year old technology that blots the landscape. Get rid of all the unsightly power lines. Put them underground. Avoid the annual explosions of the junction boxes that puts residents without power for up to 29 hours. This is not Mumbai or Calcutta.</p> <p>Kardinya is a great suburb, much under-rated by the real estate gurus. People like family and myself who have lived here for more than 30 years appreciate it for what it is. I (we) want it to remain this way.</p>	Noted.

117	O	<p>I strongly object to any proposed rezoning of Kardinya for any high rise residential buildings.</p> <p>The developers tried sneaking this through by a poor consultation process, perhaps because they know that a majority of residents would oppose it.</p> <p>I don't want any increase to the 9 story apartment already set to go ahead at the shopping centre.</p> <p>I certainly don't want a three or five story apartment next to where I currently live.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
118		<p>I strongly object to the excessive heights planned for Kardinya as this will cause increased traffic and parking issues on our quiet suburban streets.</p> <p>Please no increase in height of buildings in Kardinya residential area as this will result in adverse changes to the family-friendly character and demographics of the suburb.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration in this matter.</p>	<p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>As the submitters property is outside the proposed ACP area there is no change proposed to either density or height affecting either the submitters or neighbouring properties.</p>
119	O	<p>I strongly object to re-zoning Kardinya. I have lived here for 48 years, and have seen it develop into a very nice place to live.</p> <p>If development goes ahead, we can look forward to lack of privacy from high rise buildings, streets clogged with parked cars, noise from increased traffic and boy racers doing burnouts, more rubbish thrown out of car windows, more drunks and junkies and more break ins. Not to mention the loss of trees.</p> <p>I forward the comments above for your inclusion in your consideration of the adverse impacts of the Kardinya re-zoning application before you.</p>	<p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
120		<p>I would like to submit <b>my Objection</b> to the unsolicited “Activity Centre plan For Kardinya”. Privately submitted by the developers of the Kardinya Shopping Centre.</p> <p>I appears that the developers of the said shopping centre are trying to ensure that their Shopping centre does not fail by increasing the population and housing density of the Kardinya area.</p> <p>The areas to the North of Bel airs Road and Sainsbury and Pinewood Road behind the BP service station, bounded by South street- there are only three access roads into the area off South Street, - being Gilbertson Road, Windelya and Pinewood Road. The increased volume in traffic would be significant. Also to the area, North, behind the existing shopping centre, again traffic volume increase would be sizable. This is not acceptable.</p> <p><b>NO</b> increase in height of Apartments already approved at 9 storey, at the new development at Kardinya shopping centre. Privacy of home owners would be compromised.</p> <p><b>NO</b> increase to building height in the Kardinya residential area.</p> <p>Any approval from this private submission would surely change the demographics of the Kardinya area – there are <b>NO positives</b> for the area from this submission.</p> <p>I have been a resident of Kardinya for 32 years.</p>	<p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>

			As the submitters property is outside the proposed ACP area there is no change proposed to either density or height affecting either the submitters or neighbouring properties.
121	O	<p>I am writing to express my opposition to the proposed changes to the R codes relating to building heights in the 400 metres surrounding the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre.</p> <p>I have been a resident of this address for the past 44 years and have strong views regarding keeping the suburb as is. Kardinya is a lovely family orientated leafy suburb enjoyed by many generations. In fact it is not uncommon to find three generations of the same family living here. If the changes currently proposed by the developer of the shopping centre were to go through they would impact the nature of the suburb in a negative way. The suburb has many small cul de sacs such as the one where I live and these do not lend to high rise living. The small streets would not be able to handle the increased traffic. Plus the fact the water table here means underground parking areas on buildings would not be feasible with the outcome being a literal nightmare with small cul de sacs being clogged with parked cars.</p> <p>It is said the proposal complies with the state government's push for infill in suburbs to counteract the urban sprawl. Currently Kardinya has a high rate of infill already, you only need to drive around the suburb to verify this, it is happening all over. Plus, with an approved 9 storey apartment complex to be built at the shopping centre, looming over our homes, surely this must count towards the infill argument. Kardinya is already doing its bit!</p> <p>It is a proven fact that leafy suburbs benefit in many ways, such as reduced temperatures in the hot summer months, habitat for bird life, shade, as well as the aesthetic value. Currently when parked at the lights on North Lake Road at the South Street intersection the lovely tree canopy of the suburb of Kardinya can be viewed with the shopping centre currently below street level. This canopy would be destroyed if 3-5 storey apartment complexes were permitted to be built surrounding the shopping centre.</p> <p>I hope the Council agrees with by far the vast majority of those <u>living</u> in the suburb and rejects the proposal of the developer of the shopping centre who is only wanting an increased population as a means to make more money for himself and doesn't have any concern for the residents at all and the overcrowding his proposal will cause plus the mayhem on the streets!</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>SPP 4.2 identifies a dwelling target for the ACP area and ultimate densities should support this target. The ACP will be assessed to determine whether it meets or exceeds these targets.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
122	O	<p>I received a circular this week in my mailbox concerning the proposed rezoning of my suburb Kardinya. I do not know if this is true as I certainly have not been consulted in this matter. The circular encourages comments to the City of Melville. The following are my comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I am strongly against any re-zoning that would result in the building of apartments, especially multi storey ones, in Kardinya. This includes those for residential as well as commercial purposes.</li> <li>- Kardinya has been a safe, peaceful, friendly and quiet suburb where my wife and I love. We plan to spend the rest of our twilight years here. Recently, with the passing of some elderly neighbours and others moving on to other parts of Australia, we have already seen many new and younger families with young children moving in. Just to be clear - we have nothing against young families because we have young married children of our own. But the noise level in the neighbourhood has already increased significantly. So has the traffic and worst, the cars parking on our narrow streets, road corners and even on my house's verge are now regular occurrences.</li> <li>- There are boats parked on front lawns or verges. When turning out of our street nowadays, our views are often obstructed by cars parked either on the street or on house fronts. Small children are riding their bikes on the streets, and sometimes, footballs were kicked across the streets from one house to another. There is clearly an accident waiting to happen.</li> <li>- And I am just talking about a handful of young families moving in. I cannot imagine what will happen when apartments and high rise commercial blocks start appearing in this suburb. The risks will multiply a hundred fold. So will the noise level, the increase in traffic and so on. Essentially, our peaceful and safe neighbourhood will</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p>

		<p>disappear in no time.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- With high rise residential blocks, inevitably our privacy will disappear too. Nobody enjoys having our personal activities in our private lawns becoming visible to others living in a neighbouring high rise.</li> <li>- In short, my wife and I are extremely concerned that our quiet, peaceful, private and safe lifestyle will be gone once re-zoning is approved,</li> <li>- Another significant impact would be the fall in our property values. In a densely populated suburb with reduced privacy, road safety and peace, this will surely happen.</li> </ul> <p>For the above reasons, I am strongly against the re-zoning of Kardinya.</p>	<p>As the submitters property is outside the proposed ACP area there is no change proposed to either density or height affecting either the submitters or neighbouring properties.</p>
123	O	<p>The shopping centre proposal is fine but I do not agree with greater than double storey outside of the shopping centre precinct</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>As the submitters property is outside the proposed ACP area there is no change proposed to either density or height affecting either the submitters or neighbouring properties.</p>
124	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I am a resident/home-owner of Kardinya and love my suburb.</li> <li>- I live in close proximity to the shopping centre and while I am happy the shopping centre is being redeveloped and can embrace the need for some apartments at the site - I want to register my strong objection to granting extra permission to allow 15-20 story apartment blocks in my area.</li> <li>- I also object to making it possible to have more high rise apartment blocks in my suburb. I bought into a quiet, green suburb and would prefer it to remain so.</li> <li>- I am also concerned about the additional traffic many apartments will bring to an already busy South St and surrounding local streets.</li> <li>- I am also concerned about the impact of large apartment blocks on the value of my property and am concerned such large towers will turn Kardinya into a rough looking suburb - while at present it is mainly a quiet family suburb.</li> <li>- Please consider the many families already paying taxes in this area - our views should matter too.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>As the submitters property is outside the proposed ACP area there is no change proposed to either density or height affecting either the submitters or neighbouring properties.</p>
125	O	<p>I am writing to express my strong objections to the proposed plan for the Kardinya Activity Plan in particular the re-zoning to allow 3-4 storey building in the residential area. Tall buildings also created large shadows, wind tunnels and poor street environment. The surrounding streets are not design for the higher traffic volume and parking the proposed rezoning (3-4 storeys) would create. Infill development is not what the community in this area wants.</p> <p>We purchased our property in the Kardinya area almost 30 years ago due to its peaceful location opposite a park with a north facing aspect. Our family has grown up in this safe location and we hoped that future generations could enjoy the family orientated suburb.</p> <p>Over the years, we have undertaken numerous renovations to our property to improve our living standard and to provide more environmentally friendly options and sustainability through the installation of solar panels, Tesla battery, aquaponics and a vegetable garden. All of these green initiatives will be impacted by the proposed development.</p> <p>We have invested, both emotionally and financially, ensuring it is well maintained as have all of our neighbours and the streetscape and character has benefited immensely.</p>	<p>Noted. SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p>

		<p>The proposed developments do not suit the suburb. The prospect of the exorbitant height of multistory dwellings overlooking our property would not only devalue our property financially and aesthetically, it would impact on our privacy, overshadow our property and our solar panels and impact on the natural aspects of the community.</p> <p>At a recent meeting, the council informed us that the R25 code was applied to properties in our area in 2016. Some properties have been redeveloped accordingly which has increased infill in Kardinya. As this is only a recent change, I feel that if the codes were altered to reflect multi storey dwellings, it would be detrimental to the suburb causing traffic congestion, parking issues, blocked driveways, noise issues, antisocial behavior and increased crime. Only gradual changes to suburbs within the Melville area as a whole should take place, not a small targeted area. There is already substantial infill.</p> <p>Brutal interfaces between residential low density and high density allowing 4 and 5 storey apartments have come about by the up-zonings imposed by The Planning Minister and WA Planning Commission. It does not protect existing residential homes and the push for transitioning residential areas to higher density which favours developers.</p> <p>The process of the Kardinya Activity Plan has not been desirable as there has been no consultation or contact from the developer. It is intrusive to the residents and there has been no transition. This proposal would be financially beneficial to the developer but detrimental to the homeowners.</p> <p>This is a relaxed, casual and family-oriented area and this type of development would be unprecedented on the suburb's environment. Home owners who decide to stay in the area could be landlocked by development making their properties less desirable for resale in the future.</p> <p>According to the City of Melville website:  <i>"The City of Melville is working towards measuring and reducing its greenhouse gas emissions and adapting to changes in our climate. Trees absorb carbon dioxide and lock it up so deforestation is another major climate change problem. The City of Melville is committed to measuring and reducing its greenhouse gas emissions, as well as making sure our community is adapted to the effects of climate change."</i></p> <p>Increased infill in the area contradicts this as there would a reduction in trees and the efforts of residents to provide an environmentally friendly neighbourhood will be destroyed by this development.</p> <p>I request Council ceases any proposed rezoning activities for this area and submit my OBJECTIONS.</p>	<p>SPP 4.2 identifies a dwelling target for the ACP area and ultimate densities should support this target. The ACP will be assessed to determine whether it meets or exceeds these targets.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The City has a statutory obligation to consider the ACP proposal and provide a report with a recommendation to the WAPC which is the determining authority.</p>
126	O	With reference to the above, which we have been advised by a pamphlet dropped to our residence here in Kardinya, in particular, regarding the disturbance of an area from the BP service station due west to Pinewood	SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable

		<p>Avenue is to be allowed to be rezoned for flats. Why disturb a naturally large area, which the residents are now going to have to face blocks of flats, overlooking properties from that particular area.</p> <p>We have been informed by the medical authorities throughout Australia that these pandemics arise particularly from over crowding of flats, as the people have nowhere else to go than to imbibe with alcohol at their nearest local pub. Providing a vaccine for the Corona virus may be quite sometime before it can be manufactured - as we have been told.</p> <p>When the developers informed us some time ago there was to be redevelopment of the shopping centre there was no indication whatsoever that most of the rezoning would be of 3 - 5 high rise apartments in residential areas within this designated area. I believe that there should be no increase in the height of the buildings above residential houses of no more than 2 storeys. With the increase of traffic, parking issues on quiet suburban streets - is it not unreasonable to assume that this would allow thieves and the stealing of vehicle parked in this type of area.</p>	<p>catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>It is noted that much of the area referenced in the submitter's comments is already an existing R80 density coding and that the ACP does not propose any change to that density coding.</p> <p>As the submitters property is also outside the proposed ACP area there is no change proposed to either density or height affecting either the submitters or neighbouring properties.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
127	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- My concerns are the affect on traffic along local arteries such as Gilbertson road, which is already used as a 'rat run' from Somerville Blvd to Kardinya Plaza.</li> <li>- Also the lack of verge trees and what high rise will do in relation to the loss of the canopy.</li> <li>- High rise development outside of the upgraded plaza itself will increase traffic, congestion and social issues. It will affect privacy and increase noise and emissions from vehicles. Consider greening the top of the centre with rooftop vegetation.</li> </ul>	<p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
128	O	<p>I am extremely opposed to the proposed changes in zoning in the within Kardinya and the proposed Kardinya District Centre as I feel that the proposal is rushed and is missing the following critical information:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Proper detailed traffic assessment needs to be undertaken that is accessible to the public as part of this request for submissions that addresses the impact on the street network - especially smaller side streets and car parking within the area, not just the major intersections and parking within the shopping centre. The smaller narrow streets of Kardinya are not designed to accommodate such an influx of residents. The increase in traffic congestion and noise will also impact existing residents.</li> <li>2. Increases in density must be accompanied by increases to public open space provision to ensure that health and well being impacts on existing residents area not compromised. Plans of increased open space must be made available to support this request for submissions. The introduction of native plants to border these new properties as is outlined in this proposal will not compensate for the loss of tree canopy that provide homes and shelter to our native fauna and as well as other benefits such as the cooling effect they provide in summer.</li> <li>3. Strict design guidelines must also be provided as part of this request for submissions that highlights clearly the requirement to maintain and improve the built form character of the area.</li> </ol> <p>In the current retail climate and the uncertainty we are facing with how we do business and the retail sector waning, a thorough and measured approach is required prior to giving a green light to such a drastic proposal that affects current residents in such a negative way.</p> <p>In light of the above, I feel the current zoning is sufficient and should remain in place – all residential areas should remain at the maximum height of two storeys and the Kardinya Shopping Centre Development should not be permitted to increase the apartment building height beyond the currently approved nine storeys</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>Noted.</p>

			<p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p>
129	O	<p>I am writing this to express my objection to an application by developers of Kardinya Shopping Centre to re-zone.</p> <p>I have been living in Kardinya since 1974. I do strongly object to the excessive height in our residential area. Past rezoning in Kardinya has already caused increased traffic and parking issues on quiet suburban streets. Continuation of overcrowding in this way will cause more invasion of privacy.</p> <p>I would sincerely hope that Melville council will consider my objection.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
130	O	<p>I am writing to you regarding the Kardinya Park Shopping centre development and the proposed rezoning application that the City of Melville has received from the developers.</p> <p>As a local resident and land/property owner within the suburb of Kardinya, I strongly object to this proposed rezoning on the following basis;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• I object to the excessive height being proposed for the apartments at Kardinya shopping centre;</li> <li>• Increased population density without any additional public infrastructure;</li> <li>• Increased traffic which will result from the rezoning; and</li> <li>• Potential privacy issues as a result of having elevated neighbours</li> <li>• The likely change in demographics away from a family-friendly neighbourhood</li> </ul> <p>Further to this, I have been disappointed that the developers have made no attempt to consult with myself as a local resident on this matter.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted.</p>
131	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I strongly object to the excessive height of apartments (no 3-5 story buildings).</li> <li>- I am concerned with increased traffic and parking issues on quiet suburban streets.</li> <li>- I am concerned about overlooking/privacy issues, overcrowding and changes to the family friendly character and demographics of the suburb.</li> <li>- Please listen to my concerns as it affects me personally.</li> <li>- Kardinya is a family friendly suburb we want to keep.</li> </ul>	<p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
132	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I'm sending this email to object to rezoning application by the developers of the Kardinya shopping centre to build high rise apartments in the area.</li> <li>- Firstly the consultation on this issue has been poor. I knew of the intention to extend the shopping centre</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that</p>



		<p>but unaware of the application for high rise apartments from 9 storeys to 15 plus storeys.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I feel this will give rise to overcrowding traffic congestion and parking issues</li> <li>- This is a family friendly suburb and feel strongly that this proposed rezoning will impact our everyday life in a negative way</li> </ul>	<p>ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Public consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 with the City applying State Government COVID exemptions to enable extension of the advertising period from the usual maximum statutory period of 28 days to the maximum allowable 42 days.</p> <p>The City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to all properties within the proposed ACP boundary, properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP, properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included within the proposed ACP. As the submitters property is not within this area a letter was not sent to that property.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
133	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I'm sending this email in response to the application to rezone areas of Kardinya to include 15-20 storey apartments I strongly object to this application as a resident that has lived in this suburb for over 30 years.</li> <li>- Firstly the consultation has been very poor I had no idea about this application until it was brought to my attention on the weekend.</li> <li>- These high rise apartments will create excess traffic congestion overcrowding noise and privacy issues This has been a family friendly suburb and want it to stay that way.</li> <li>- There already is enough diversity in residential buildings with units, sub dividable blocks, low rise apartments and aged care facilities.</li> <li>- The original 9 storey apartment approval is enough and no addition rezoning to create more apartments should be approved.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Public consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 with the City applying State Government COVID exemptions to enable extension of the advertising period from the usual maximum statutory period of 28 days to the maximum allowable 42 days.</p> <p>The City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to all properties within the proposed ACP boundary, properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP, properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included within the proposed ACP. As the submitters property is not within this area a letter was not sent to that property.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p>
134	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- There should be no height increase in buildings in fact nine storeys is too high around the shopping centre.</li> <li>- The traffic is bad enough as it is let alone MORE!!!</li> <li>- Privacy issues are becoming more and more with double storey buildings the loss of trees will greatly impact on birdlife heat and the total environment.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I have lived here because of the peace from 1972 to 1987 and returned to live here in 1999 because of peace.</li> </ul>	<p>an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>As the submitters property is outside the proposed ACP area there is no change proposed to either density or height affecting either the submitters or neighbouring properties.</p>
135	O	<p>I write to strongly object to the proposed rezoning of the Kardinya Activity Centre, on the following basis:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Consultation process by the developers has been inadequate and misleading. The majority of affected residents have been unaware of the excessive height and density of multi storey buildings proposed under the plan. No three to five storey buildings should be permitted.</li> <li>- Clearly there would be a massive increase in traffic and parking issues in quiet suburban streets. These areas were never designed for this burden. The approval for the nine storey apartment building and shopping centre expansion already signifies an undesirable increase in traffic in surrounding areas. Thousands more vehicles will be congesting narrow, suburban streets.</li> <li>- Loss of tree canopy and bird life with excessive density will be detrimental to the entire area. This is obviously at odds with Council’s purported ethos of “making sure our community is adapted to the effects of climate change”.</li> <li>- The proposed rezoning would be adversely detrimental to current residents, particularly those in the precinct of the proposed changes. I strongly urge Council to demonstrate that it is not dictated to by moneyed developers, but listens to the voting ratepayer. Please reject the proposed rezoning.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>The City has a statutory obligation to consider the ACP proposal and provide a report with a recommendation to the WAPC which is the determining authority.</p>
136	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The Developers say this development is for the health and the well being of the Residence is stupid.</li> <li>- I can only say it causes me stress and despair. This will destroy this wonderful Neighbourhood.</li> <li>- What about single level and 4 level homes next to each other?</li> <li>- I totally object to this rezoning and request the area to stay as it is.</li> <li>- I hope you are taking the objections and the well being of the Residence in consideration and reject this proposal.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>The City has a statutory obligation to consider the ACP proposal and provide a report with a recommendation to the WAPC which is the determining authority.</p>
137	O	<p>It has come to my attention that there has been an application by developers to rezone parts of Kardinya, to include 3-5 storey high rise apartments in residential areas, and also to increase the potential height of the apartment complex in the new shopping centre from 9 to 15-20 storeys.</p> <p>I would like to object to both of these proposal for many reasons, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- poor consultation process by the developers,</li> <li>- increased traffic and parking issues on suburban streets,</li> <li>- overlooking/privacy issues, and</li> <li>- overcrowding.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p>

			A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.
138	O	<p>With reference to the above, which we have been advised by a pamphlet dropped to our residence here in Kardinya, in particular, regarding the disturbance of an area from the BP service station due west to Pinewood Avenue is to be allowed to be rezoned for flats. Why disturb a naturally large area, which the residents are now going to have to face blocks of flats, overlooking properties from that particular area.</p> <p>We have been informed by the medical authorities throughout Australia that these pandemics arise particularly from over crowding of flats, as the people have nowhere else to go than to imbibe with alcohol at their nearest local pub. Providing a vaccine for the Corona virus may be quite sometime before it can be manufactured - as we have been told.</p> <p>When the developers informed us some time ago there was to be redevelopment of the shopping centre there was no indication whatsoever that most of the rezoning would be of 3 - 5 high rise apartments in residential areas within this designated area. I believe that there should be no increase in the height of the buildings above residential houses of no more than 2 storeys. With the increase of traffic, parking issues on quiet suburban streets - is it not unreasonable to assume that this would allow thieves and the stealing of vehicle parked in this type of area.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>It is noted that much of the area referenced in the submitter's comments is already an existing R80 density coding and that the ACP does not propose any change to that density coding.</p> <p>As the submitters property is also outside the proposed ACP area there is no change proposed to either density or height affecting either the submitters or neighbouring properties.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
139	O	<p>We write to you to express our concern at the possibility of apartments in Kardinya Park Shopping Centre going even higher than the original proposed plans.</p> <p>If it was an apartment block with a shopping centre built after, it would be one thing. Having had the Shopping Centre there all these years and now adding residential apartments' makes for a different dynamic. It can be good, providing it's not too big, too high with too many people.</p> <p>Please leave apartment height at current 9 storeys.</p> <p>We do not want 3-5 storey buildings in the suburb of Kardinya. Our trees in Kardinya are special and traffic is manageable currently.</p> <p>Please focus on free things to keep young people occupied, not more density. Build a Youth Centre; focus on the things that really matter. We do not need rezoning in Kardinya.</p>	<p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
140	O	<p>I cannot believe the deceitful way this rezoning has been presented. The book I saw put out by Element talked of January 2020 and then the letter so called sent to the residents on Monday 20th July 2020 which I also had not seen talked of an Activity Centre not a single mention of a rezoning to help the shopping centre become more lucrative. When people hear Activity centre it gives the impression of like a Child centre or a Sports centre when it actually is a rezoning application. To totally try and deceive the residents into believing otherwise is disgusting. It is purely a rezoning plan. If Kardinya residents wanted to live in Northbridge or Perth city they would. Kardinya has already been having appropriate infilling to benefit family life and excessive high density would destroy that. Many residents have been paying rates for the last twenty to forty years and they are having no say because they don't know about it. Who is explaining what is on the developer's agenda to those who may not understand?</p>	<p>SPP 4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m SPP4walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>The previous proposal was a development application was for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre property only. This has been approved by</p>

	<p>Developers care nothing about long term implications just their current profit. Developers who get approved for a 9 storey apartment then want it to go to a 12 or 15 storeys by rezoning the area around are dishonest from the start and assume councils are stupid and walkovers. This needs to stop.</p> <p>The car park at Kardinya shopping centre is already really busy so I cannot imagine how the parking is going to be with the approved shopping centre. The amount of land there appears to be less than Bullcreek shopping centre. Gilbertson Road is already having increased traffic which with the shopping centre changes and the apartment that has been approved will further increase the amount of traffic. Increasing the number of buses on this narrow road is not good either. There is no way the roundabout at Somerville Boulevard at the other end of Gilbertson will be able to take the traffic also. Even Northlake road and South Street are already busy as they lead to many suburbs.</p> <p>The infilling taking place is more than enough. There is no need for multi storey. The streets of the suburb could not take mega high rise buildings. All residential areas should remain at a maximum of two storeys. If apartments for foreign students are needed put them near the uni. It's time Australians were looked after, not the opposite. This is a great area for families.</p> <p>High rise will impact having a car as there is no way multi high rises could accommodate having two cars and allow for visitors cars. The current ACP, rezoning suggestion says only one car for a grouped dwelling, Even Burswood which is not as compacted as proposed is difficult to find parking near the apartments. Going to Subiaco near the hospital they are not high storey but on bin collection day I couldn't walk on the footpath in front of the buildings because there were about fifteen bins all over the footpath in front of one building.</p> <p>With Covid having occurred shows one of the dangers of forcing a lot of people onto public transport. In your Activity Plan book it mentioned car issues with the message being they couldn't care less if Australians had difficulty owning a car in a huge density area. Most Australians love to travel by car in their state and I am sure the developers proposing this squashed in people area would not be putting up with what they are expecting Kardinya residents to cope with. Not all residents are able to cycle or walk when it is extremely hot or it is raining especially with children. Others who have disabilities are also being overlooked.</p> <p>We are lucky enough to see the Red Tailed Parrot in this area . Loss of trees would change a lot of the birdlife we currently have. Dotting high rise near parks or ocean or rivers is one thing but concentrating a whole area of high rise is like turning Kardinya into a concrete city. Booragoon doesn't have that.</p> <p>Kardinya has attracted people as a safe place to raise children who need a small backyard to play in which is safer than allowing them to go to a park unsupervised. I had trouble many years ago when I took my children to the park and was lucky to have been with them as one of them was targeted by older teenage boys until they realised I was there.</p> <p>The necessity for road widening at the intersection of Gilbertson road and South Street to help the shopping centre development. Compensation does not take away from the invasion to existing residents to further developer's expansion and profit. South St is already highly busy and adding many more cars from Gilbertson will be detrimental.</p> <p>Developers who are probably not from this area should not be allowed to come in and change rezoning to so many properties to suit their own purpose when it is detrimental to the current residents.</p> <p>No increase in height above two storeys would stop all potential problems and keep the Australian way of life for many Australians. Current infilling as it stands is enough especially when infilling is happening in every suburb in the city of Melville.</p>	<p>JDAP.</p> <p>The current proposal is for an Activity Centre Plan for the district centre (which includes the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre property) and its surrounding catchment as per the requirements of SPP 4.2.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
--	---	--

			<p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
141	O	<p>I understand that the council has received a proposal from a commercial developer to change the zoning of Kardinya to enable development of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- a 15 to 20 storey apartment block on the Kardinya Park site, where the council has already approved a 9-storey block of 99 units, although totally out of keeping with the neighbourhood; and</li> <li>- 3- to 5-storey apartments in residential areas of Kardinya.</li> </ul> <p>I should point out that I did not learn of these proposals from the council nor from the developer, neither of which has, to my knowledge, informed, consulted with or involved local residents in developing these proposals. If my second-hand understanding of the proposals is accurate, I find it shameful and undemocratic, that the people most affected by the proposals are kept in the dark. There has been no direct consultation and the proposal has been disguised under the apparently innocuous heading “Activity Centre Plan” on the council’s website, with no mention of rezoning, until further granular digging into the site.</p> <p>As a long term resident of Melville, since 1987, and Kardinya in particular, since 1998, I wish to notify council of my total opposition to these proposals, or indeed to any further rezoning of Kardinya (which was previously rezoned without detailed consultation with residents):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- As noted, the consultation process by both council and the developer has been very poor.</li> <li>- The proposal to transform the family-oriented suburb of Kardinya into a mishmash of high-density high-rise apartments (without any overall planning control) is misconceived and will destroy the amenity of residents, noting that the council has already approved 99 apartments at the Kardinya Park redevelopment, with subsequent increase in traffic through neighbouring suburban roads: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The current road network through Kardinya is totally unsuited to high-density inner-city living both in terms of the amount of traffic that would be generated and in terms of the availability of parking, creating inconvenience and danger to residents.</li> <li>• The height of the current development at Kardinya Park should not be increased as it would be totally out of keeping with the character and demographics of the area and would cause massive dislocation of traffic on busy North Lake Road and South Street, as well as local residential streets, at peak times.</li> <li>• The current R20/R25 zoning of Kardinya should not be changed to permit residences over 2 stories. Any height increase will result in overlooking/loss of privacy and shading of neighbouring properties an irrevocably change the character and demographics of what is a very family-friendly suburb, where we know and interact with all of our neighbours and which has established greenery and tree cover, providing habitat for birds and wildlife.</li> <li>• Kardinya is crowded enough under the current R20/R25 zoning. Kardinya is not “inner-city” and is not</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Public consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 with the City applying State Government COVID exemptions to enable extension of the advertising period from the usual maximum statutory period of 28 days to the maximum allowable 42 days.</p> <p>The City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to all properties within the proposed ACP boundary, properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP, properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included within the proposed ACP. As the submitters property is not within this area a letter was not sent to that property.</p> <p>SPP 4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m SPP4walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>The submitter is incorrect. The JDAP and not the City was the approving agency for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre redevelopment- The City did not support approval of the proposed development at the time.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>

		<p>suitable for high-density development.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Permitting development of 3-5 storey apartment blocks in residential areas will destroy property values of existing residents.</li> </ul> <p>I note that the proposal does not involve the “posh” Somerville area of Kardinya, even though a good part of it falls within the 400 metre radius of the “Activity Centre Plan”.</p> <p>I call on the council not to approve these proposals and not to continue to be beholden to a coterie of property developers.</p>	<p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The proposed boundary of the ACP is being assessed to determine its compliance with SPP 4.2.</p> <p>The City has a statutory obligation to consider the ACP proposal and provide a report with a recommendation to the WAPC which is the determining authority.</p>
142	O	<p>I wish to object to the ACP proposal by the developers of the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre.</p> <p>My major objection is changing the current R codes which would allow developers to build 3-5 storey apartment buildings in amongst the current single and 2 storey residences that would completely change the family friendly character and alter the demographics of the suburb.</p> <p>Kardinya is already subject to a large increase in housing density with many existing blocks being either subdivided or battle axed. With the majority of these new dwellings being single or two storey this is in keeping with the current character of the suburb. Also, in the future with the haphazard uptake of the 3-5 storey developments, residents of the neighbouring single and two storey houses will be subject to overshadowing and overlooking/privacy issues as well as increased traffic and parking issues.</p> <p>You must also keep in mind that those people who have built on a subdivided block inside the 400 metre zone will probably not be wanting to sell their house to a developer so we will see the situation occurring where there will be a mix of single storey houses interspersed with 3-5 storey buildings. This is not a good outcome for the single storey residence as it will reduce the value of their house to land value only, not a nice prospect.</p> <p>The developer on a poster display inside the shopping centre asks the question “What benefit could an ACP bring to the local area?” One of the answers states “to provide a density that will support the viability of the centre”. In other words he is saying that without a large increase in the local population my shopping centre won’t be viable. So the ACP is self-serving really, with no benefit for the local residents apart from the redeveloped shopping centre.</p> <p>Another cause of great concern with the proposal is the changing of the R codes to allow for 15-20 storey apartments in the current Aldi/Tavern/Chicken Treat site. This is just plain ridiculous and way over the top for this location in our suburb. High rise of this size should be kept for locations closer to the Perth CBD.</p> <p>Hopefully the Council will see sense in this matter and not bow to the wishes of a developer but look after the residents it serves.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>SPP 4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m SPP4walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future</p>

			<p>development of the district centre and surrounding catchment.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>The City has a statutory obligation to consider the ACP proposal and provide a report with a recommendation to the WAPC which is the determining authority.</p>
143	S	<p>I reside in the City of Melville and write in support of the proposed Kardinya District Centre Activity Centre Plan (ACP).</p> <p>The reasons for my support are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The ACP will allow for greater housing diversity within the precinct.</li> <li>2. It will also assist in supporting the redevelopment of the retail and commercial properties within the centre.</li> <li>3. It will bring long-term infrastructure improvements including: footpaths, street trees, public transport and lighting improvements.</li> <li>4. The attached analysis by Colliers reveals the potential for value-uplift in surrounding areas. The submission attaches a report prepared by Colliers International on behalf of the proponents of the ACP which in summary states: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The proposed redevelopment and expansion of the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre will provide a significant uplift in amenity to the area</li> <li>• The presence of an entertainment precinct centred around a new cinema complex, food and beverage offering, medical precinct, additional supermarket and specialty retail tenancies will provide an additional attraction to the catchment area.</li> <li>• The benefit from completion of the centre redevelopment proximity and the resultant increase in amenity is expected to positively affect values to the immediate surrounding area.</li> <li>• The Activity Centre Plan, as provided, generally affords an increased densification from the existing zoning which will also assist to accommodate the sector of the market that values co-location and proximity to the revitalized and expanded centre.</li> <li>• The analyzed Activity Plan and Structure Plan areas comprising the Riseley Centre Structure Plan, Melville District Activity Centre and Melville City Centre Structure Plan, have predominantly reflected increases in property values over the period from initial public notification to final endorsement by the Western Australian Planning Commission.</li> <li>• The value outcomes for properties within these areas varied, dependent on the previous zoning and the final approved zoning, the highest and best use of the site and the ability to offer contiguous allotments to ‘unlock’ a suitable development outcome.</li> <li>• Strat titled residential properties showed value uplifts of up to 20%, with single residences, located on green titled allotments and having development potential, showed values uplifts of up to 60%.</li> </ul> </li> <li>5. It is likely to hasten the redevelopment of the “old Lot 16” neighbouring property to the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre.</li> </ol>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>It is noted that the other Activity/Structure plans referenced do not promote densities as high as has been designated in the proposed Kardinya ACP with the highest density being R100 in the Melville City Centre Structure Plan and lower densities in more comparable ACP’s at Melville District and Riseley Centre.</p>
144	O	<p>I object strongly to the proposals contained in the Kardinya Activity Centre Plan, to rezone parts of Kardinya to permit the developers to increase the height and density of apartment blocks - both the proposed 15-20 storey tower at Kardinya Park and the 3-5 storey blocks proposed to be built in residential areas nearby.</p> <p>My objections relate to:</p>	<p>Noted.</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- very limited and poorly planned consultation with local residents</li> <li>- excessive height out of character with the district</li> <li>- resulting increase in traffic on suburban roads and the major intersection of North Lake Road and South Street</li> <li>- overcrowding &amp; changed demographics (not for the better - loss of family-friendly character)</li> <li>- loss of amenity &amp; environment</li> <li>- -decrease in property values.</li> </ul> <p>Please do not give in to pressure from the developer - reject this disproportionate and misconceived proposal.</p>	<p>Public consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 with the City applying State Government COVID exemptions to enable extension of the advertising period from the usual maximum statutory period of 28 days to the maximum allowable 42 days.</p> <p>The City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to all properties within the proposed ACP boundary, properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP, properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included within the proposed ACP. As the submitters property is not within this area a letter was not sent to that property.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>The City has a statutory obligation to consider the ACP proposal and provide a report with a recommendation to the WAPC which is the determining authority.</p>
145	N	<p>1. Cycle paths within 5km radius from activity center to Murdoch university, and nearly train stations.</p> <p>2. Planting appropriate height of trees and native shrubs between the cycle, pedestrian paths.</p> <p>3. All carparks should have angle parking bays.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>
146	O	<p>I have concerns about the proposed ACP because of the inadequate and unclear communication/consultation with the community.</p> <p>My specific concerns are in relation to the proposed height and density changes.</p> <p>I tried to review the ACP, but found the 174 pages full of complex language difficult to understand. I am supportive of the new retail development, but I am NOT supportive of multi-story buildings being allowed to be built within residential streets.</p>	<p>Public consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 with the City applying State Government COVID exemptions to enable extension of the advertising period from the usual maximum statutory period of 28 days to the maximum allowable 42 days.</p> <p>The City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to all properties within the proposed ACP boundary, properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP, properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included within the proposed ACP. As the submitters property is not within this area a letter was not sent to that property.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including</p>



			whether they are appropriate.
147	O	<p>I would like to register my objection to the rezoning of the Kardinya Shopping Centre Precinct and the relevant surrounding areas for the following reasons:</p> <p>1) The focus on increasing the housing density (and by extension the density of the local population) may negatively impact traffic congestion in and around the nearby schools (potentially posing a risk to students who are walking or riding to school), as well as cause delays during peak hour commuting along the major infrastructure in the suburb (notably the regional roads of North Lake Road and South Street)</p> <p>2) The proximity to a proposed cinemas and various food and beverage areas is designed to increase social activity, which may result in more frequent demonstrations of anti-social behaviour (such as noise complaints and drunk/violent behaviour)</p> <p>3) The proposed capacity to develop high-rise residential buildings of 5 storeys is far too high in relation to the surrounding residential buildings (outside the development area), which are only at one to two storeys. This means that the views of those properties will be reduced (e.g. blocked or distracted by the nearby towering structures), and the overall feeling of privacy in the smaller housing will be eroded</p> <p>4) The local wildlife and use of natural energy (such as solar power) may also be negatively affected if property owners were allowed to introduce high-rise apartments (e.g. birds may be disrupted, and solar power may be affected by the shadow cast by apartments).</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
148	O	<p>We bought into this property due the amenity, location of the north facing block and park location.</p> <p>This location has afforded myself and family of a quite peaceful lifestyle, one that we appreciate every day. I am now very anxious with the proposed Activity Plan which will destroy all that we have worked hard to achieve. We have invested, both emotionally and financially ensuring it is well maintained as have all of our neighbours and the streetscape and character has benefited immensely.</p> <p>During a recent meeting, the council informed us that the R25 code was applied to properties in our area in 2016. The current R25 to R40 code is well suited to all residential properties within the City of Melville to assist further infill requirements without causing so much destruction of people's life's and homes in such a small target area.</p> <p>As this is a recent change I feel it would be detrimental to the suburb and that the properties have been unfairly targeted to bolster the business plan for the Kardinya Shopping Precinct.</p> <p>The precinct map has been designed to fit density more predominate into one area, allowing the western side isolated, however clearly in the walkable 400 metres zone. I request Council to address this issue.</p> <p>Brutal interfaces between residential low density and high density allowing 4 and 5 storey apartments have come about by the up-zonings imposed by WA Planning Commission. It does not protect existing residential homes and the push for transitioning residential areas to higher density which favours developers.</p> <p><u>The intrusive and intense scale of ACP density and height built form is inconsistent for any residential area and strongly disagree for our property and surrounding streets and view as unjust.</u></p> <p>The process of the Kardinya Activity Plan has not been desirable and as there has been no consultation or contact from the developer. This proposal would be financially beneficial to the developer but detrimental to the homeowners. Homeowners who decide to stay in the area could be landlocked by the proposed Activity plan making properties less desirable for resale in the future.</p> <p>Council should further engage the community to allow understanding of the ACP and how online community feedback can affect and damage a small group of residents. Infill and density targets should be fairly driven by creating and expanding more broadly the opportunity in allowing all residential blocks subdivision potential – 2 storey above natural ground level for a further 10 years to offer more natural streetscape and sensitive infill conducive to family</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The proposed boundary of the ACP is being assessed to determine its compliance with SPP 4.2.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed</p>

	<p>block residential living.</p> <p>I request Council facilitates further consultation on behalf of all affected residents and <b>submit my following and OBJECTIONS:-</b></p> <p><b>COMMUNICATION</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Lack of effective communication on changes and lack of transitional time from <u>our current R codes</u> to allow more <u>residential infill being conducive and sympathetic for current owners</u>.</li><li>• Reconsider and engage community consultation on the future preparation of this plan for the City and residents affected.</li><li>• Request Council to recommend a change to the ACP Plans of its aim to recommend a broader residential catchment to achieve 3 dwellings per gross hectare by 2050 for this submission and scope.</li><li>• Look at expanding 2 storey above natural ground level for all residential areas and not just a 400 walkable distance from a shopping centre.</li><li>• Western Australian has seen a decline due to people population movement being static and Sate economy in decline.</li><li>• Increase of unemployment with many home owners to default of their homes, adding stock to the real estate market.</li></ul> <p><b>AMENITY</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Resident’s loss of family –orientated and quiet and calm amenity loss. Personally I feel very anxious and overwhelmed.</li><li>• Not enough transition time from the current R codes into high density coding - have taken away <u>natural rights (justice) that other residents in Melville have been afforded</u>.</li><li>• Strong potential of antisocial behaviour of foot traffic.</li><li>• Not enough open space to cater for high volume number of units developments.</li><li>• Increase of residential noise from what is currently valued by the affected residents.</li></ul> <p><b>DENSITY: total objection as follows</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Scale of the ACP higher density R codes and height.</li><li>• Strong potential for landlock properties destroying our current lifestyle and property values.</li><li>• Built form: Bulk and Height impact on visual streetscape and privacy issues.</li><li>• Potential and intrusive Shadow and Overlooking.</li></ul> <p><b>Infill Density Targets:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Kardinya and the broader City of Melville community have <u>contributed to infill over the last 20 years which should be taken into consideration</u> with this Activity Plan for infill requirements and recommendations.</li><li>• Potential of land lock properties destroying and intrusive on our current lifestyle and property values.</li></ul> <p><b>Street Parking:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <u>Cul de sac road design– not for unit development and create high impact on street parking</u>.</li><li>• Parking on streets that have not been designed for street parking.</li><li>• Residents will have <u>trouble accessing if reversing trailer/caravan/boats into their properties</u>.</li><li>• Car Bay allocation for a group dwelling environment will create overspill and street parking issues.</li><li>• With above this would require street parking signage creating another visual impact.</li></ul> <p><b>Property Values:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Many of the original homes have had substantial improvements both internally and externally to suite family living.</li><li>• <u>Scale is inconsistent for any residential area</u> and strongly disagree for our property and surrounding streets and unjust. <i>As a long standing owner we took the decision purchase to into this area for the quite peaceful amenity with its natural streetscape appeal. Potential for land lock residential properties looking completely out of character with no street scape appeal. Lost value on homes would occur. Feel that a small residential pocket that has been well kept and loved by the owners are being the sacrificial lamb in this ACP.</i></li><li>• Strong potential of property values decline and a precedent for future proposals viewed as directed and</li></ul>	<p>densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>Public consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 with the City applying State Government COVID exemptions to enable extension of the advertising period from the usual maximum statutory period of 28 days to the maximum allowable 42 days.</p> <p>City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to all properties within the proposed ACP boundary, properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP, properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included within the proposed ACP.</p> <p>Should modifications be proposed to the ACP it is expected that these modifications will also be further advertised before a final recommendation is forwarded to the WAPC.</p> <p>Noted.</p>
--	---	--

		unfair consequence of this proposal.	<p>Kardinya centre is identified as a district centre in SPP 4.2 which requires amongst other items identification of residential densities within the ACP area to deliver dwelling targets. The proposed densities are being assessed to determine the level of compliance with these requirements under SPP 4.2 which is a State Planning Policy that the City is required to apply.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted.</p>
149	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Firstly I'd like to say that in general I love the idea of the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre (KPSC) being redeveloped.</li> <li>- However I have concerns about the ACP, which expands on the original proposal for KPSC. I think 15 storeys is too high for the apartments in the KPSC (stick to the originally proposed 9 storeys) and that the redeveloped area around the KPSC should only be a maximum of 3 storey's high. Too much high density housing starts to feel cramped and oppressive.</li> <li>- I am also worried that there will be a loss of tree canopy due to the development which is a major problem across many local councils. Environmental issues need to be a higher priority across all developments. I want more trees along footpaths, roads and outdoor areas. Not less. Extensive tree canopy will provide habitat while encouraging people to walk or cycle to KPSC.</li> <li>- Also I think that a library would be a great addition to the KPSC at some point. The nearest library is too far away. Having a library at KPSC would help activate the space while supporting and engaging the local community.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
150	O	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I strongly oppose the excessive height in Kardinya. Also it is ridiculous I oppose the 9 storey apartment complex at the shopping centre site.</li> <li>2. The consultation process by developers – very poor – non existent.</li> <li>3. No increase in height of homes or buildings in Kardinya residential area.</li> <li>4. Traffic is bad enough on South street without more traffic.</li> <li>5. I do not want to have apartments overlooking my home destroying my privacy.</li> <li>6. Overcrowding.</li> <li>7. I, for one, will not be shopping at the new complex – too large – it is bad enough now coming out of the complex onto South Street.</li> <li>8. I would never have bought in the area if I knew high rise buildings were the go. Kardinya as it is, is a great place to live.</li> </ol>	<p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>

		DO NOT LET THIS HAPPEN. IT IS UNFAIR AND UNAUSTRALIAN.	Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.
151	O	<p>I wish to express my concern about a submission from the developers of the Kardinya Shopping Centre, to rezone parts of Kardinya.</p> <p>My main concerns relate to the possibility of having 3 to 5 storey buildings in residential areas, which could cause privacy or overlooking issues.</p> <p>I'm hoping you will consider my concerns, when the final decision has to be made.</p>	<p>SPP 4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m SPP4walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The City has a statutory obligation to consider the ACP proposal and provide a report with a recommendation to the WAPC which is the determining authority.</p>
152	O	<p>I wish to express my concern about a submission from the developers of the Kardinya Shopping Centre, to rezone parts of Kardinya.</p> <p>My main concerns are;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- relate to the possibility of having 3 to 5 storey buildings in residential areas, which could cause privacy or overlooking issues.</li> <li>- loss of tree canopy, which will impact on the natural environment.</li> <li>- adverse changes to the family friendly character and demographics of Kardinya</li> <li>- concerns over resulting increased traffic and parking issues.</li> <li>- the possibility of the developers of the Kardinya Shopping Centre having permission to increase the 9 storey apartment complex to 15 storeys.</li> </ul> <p>I know this is unlikely to get the council's approval because it is <u>so unwarranted</u>.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>The City has a statutory obligation to consider the ACP proposal and provide a report with a recommendation to the WAPC which is the determining authority.</p>
153	S	This is an email of support for the Draft Kardinya District Centre Activity Centre Plan which we believe will be good for the area and good for the centre. We trade from Kardinya Park Shopping Centre and have been a tenant for 20 years.	Noted.
154	O	<p>I have read the proposed Activity Plan for the Kardinya Shopping Centre Development and have some serious concerns.</p> <p>The proposed plan seeks rezoning approval for 15-20 storey apartments on the original 9 storey apartment complex height. It also proposes rezoning residential areas so 3-5 storey buildings could then be built. These heights are excessive, and would have serious negative effects on our suburb and surrounds.</p> <p>I strongly object to any and all proposed changes that would allow an increase in the height of the apartments at the shopping centre to over nine storeys, and the height of buildings in Kardinya residential area to three storeys and higher.</p> <p>Residential areas should remain at a maximum of two storeys. An increase in the height and density of buildings in Kardinya would have a detrimental effect. There would be increased traffic flow and parking issues on our small, quiet</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p>

		<p>streets. Privacy/overlooking issues would develop, as well as potential overcrowding. There would be a loss of tree canopy which will negatively impact on shade, birdlife, the natural environment, and increase temperatures. Kardinya is currently a family-friendly, quiet and picturesque suburb, and these demographics would adversely change if the proposed rezoning was approved.</p> <p>I would also like you to note that to date, the consultation process by the developers has been very poor. There has been inadequate and misleading communication from the developers to our community. This plan will have serious, negative effects to our suburb and community, and I feel the developers have given a substandard effort to open an honest and forthright dialogue with Kardinya residents about their intentions.</p> <p>I think the shopping centre redevelopment is a positive thing for Kardinya - the cinema, food court and revamped and expanded shopping centre will do good things for our area.</p> <p>However, I do NOT agree with the additional rezoning proposal for the apartment and residential Kardinya - it should be rejected. There should be absolutely no rezoning in Kardinya - i.e. all residential areas should remain at a maximum height of two storeys, and the Kardinya Shopping Centre Development should not be permitted to increase the apartment building height beyond the currently approved nine storeys.</p>	<p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>SPP 4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m SPP4walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p>
155	O	<p>I have read the proposed Activity Plan for the Kardinya Shopping Centre Development and have some serious concerns.</p> <p>The proposed plan seeks rezoning approval for 15-20 storey apartments on the original 9 storey apartment complex height. It also proposes rezoning residential areas so 3-5 storey buildings could then be built. These heights are excessive, and would have serious negative effects on our suburb and surrounds.</p> <p>I strongly object to any and all proposed changes that would allow an increase in the height of the apartments at the shopping centre to over nine storeys, and the height of buildings in Kardinya residential area to three storeys and higher.</p> <p>Residential areas should remain at a maximum of two storeys. An increase in the height and density of buildings in Kardinya would have a detrimental effect. There would be increased traffic flow and parking issues on our small, quiet streets. Privacy/overlooking issues would develop, as well as potential overcrowding. There would be a loss of tree canopy which will negatively impact on shade, birdlife, the natural environment, and increase temperatures. Kardinya is currently a family-friendly, quiet and picturesque suburb, and these demographics would adversely change if the proposed rezoning was approved.</p> <p>I would also like you to note that to date, the consultation process by the developers has been very poor. There has been inadequate and misleading communication from the developers to our community. This plan will have serious, negative effects to our suburb and community, and I feel the developers have given a substandard effort to open an honest and forthright dialogue with Kardinya residents about their intentions.</p> <p>I think the shopping centre redevelopment is a positive thing for Kardinya - the cinema, food court and revamped and expanded shopping centre will do good things for our area.</p> <p>However, I do NOT agree with the additional rezoning proposal for the apartment and residential Kardinya - it should be rejected. There should be absolutely no rezoning in Kardinya - i.e. all residential areas should remain at a maximum</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>

		height of two storeys, and the Kardinya Shopping Centre Development should not be permitted to increase the apartment building height beyond the currently approved nine storeys.	SPP 4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m SPP4walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.
156	O	<p>My objections are as follows;</p> <p>1. I object to the re-zoning of parts of Kardinya for 3-5 storey buildings. It is a residential area that I feel would be ruined by such development not only in community but traffic congestion and all that comes with so many additional residents. There are ample apartment options already in the council area - Kardinya does not want or need these. There has already been housing developments that have failed in the area and not fully sold. This does not make sense.</p> <p>2. Strongly object to the underhanded attempt to increase the already approved 9 storey build to 15-20. This is excessive most definitely not necessary and will destroy the residential feel of the area.</p> <p>3. Clearly the residents are passionate about our environment as shown through the strong community objections to Roe 8 resulting in a newly elected a premier. Apartment living will certainly impact on bird life, with loss of tree canopy and increased pollution through increasing dramatically our community population.</p> <p>4. The reasons people choose to live in Kardinya are its family friendly atmosphere lovely local schools quiet suburban streets and community feel. Very different to the reasons people choose the more affluent suburbs of Melville. If these new applications are approved it will certainly destroy what has been a beautiful family suburb for generations.</p> <p>I hope that our community and my objections will be heard. This will certainly impact on the way all in the community view our elected members.</p>	<p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p> <p>The City has a statutory obligation to consider the ACP proposal and provide a report with a recommendation to the WAPC which is the determining authority.</p>
157	O	While the Kardinya Shopping Centre development has already been approved, I would like to express my objection to any further re-zoning and/or development of high rise buildings in and around the Kardinya Shopping Centre Precinct because this would not be consistent with the current aesthetic appeal of the suburb.	<p>Noted.</p> <p>SPP 4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m SPP4walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p>

			<p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
158	O	<p>We write to you to express our concern at the possibility of apartments in Kardinya Park Shopping Centre going even higher than the original proposed plans of 9 storey.</p> <p>We don’t want 3-5 storey buildings in the suburb of Kardinya and we don’t want to see rezoning in Kardinya.</p>	<p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
159	O	<p>1. No increase in height in apartments at the shopping centre 2. No increase in height of buildings in Kardinya Residential area 3. The consultation process has been very poor.</p>	<p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>Public consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 with the City applying State Government COVID exemptions to enable extension of the advertising period from the usual maximum statutory period of 28 days to the maximum allowable 42 days.</p> <p>City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to all properties within the proposed ACP boundary, properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP, properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included within the proposed ACP. As the submitters property is not within this area a letter was not sent to that property.</p>
160	O	<p>I object to the proposal because:</p> <p>1. No increase in the height of apartments at the shopping centre 2. No increase in heights of buildings in Kardinya residential area 3. Increase in traffic a problem</p>	<p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
161		<p>Re: Application by developers of the Kardinya Shopping Centre to re-zone parts of Kardinya.</p> <p>We would like to express our concerns about changes to the re-zoning of parts of Kardinya and the application to build 15-20 storey apartments on the shopping centre site, also the significant change to Rezoning to include 3 – 5 storey high rise apartments in residential areas. Large areas of Kardinya will be affected and will change the family friendly</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides</p>

		<p>character of the suburb.</p> <p>SEE WHATS PLANNED AROUND YOUR NEW SHOPPING CENTRE</p> <p>We went to have our say at Kardinya Shopping Centre on the 6th August, the response by the people running the community drop in session did not want to listen to anything we had to say especially on the objection to high rise apartments and to re-zone parts of Kardinya, their answer was we have a lot of support for the apartments and to re-zone, I asked if they could actually prove this as everyone I know objects to their proposal. They really weren't interested in what we had to say, we support a re-development of the shopping centre but not to the extent that is planned, we believe that there will be shops not occupied and left empty, but it is really the re-zoning that we object to.</p> <p>The Developers state:</p> <p>*Increase in property values – We feel that what they propose will reduce property values.</p> <p>Our objections are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Strongly object to excessive height.</li> <li>2. Consultation process by Developers has been very poor.</li> <li>3. No increase to the height of apartments at the shopping centre (stay at 9 storeys).</li> <li>4. No increase in height of buildings in Kardinya residential area (no 3-5 storey).</li> <li>5. Increased traffic and parking issues on suburban streets.</li> <li>6. Overlooking / privacy issues.</li> <li>7. Loss of tree canopy which will impact on shade and birdlife.</li> <li>8. Adverse changes to the family friendly character of the suburb.</li> <li>9. Overcrowding.</li> </ol>	<p>an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
162		<p>Re: Application by developers of the Kardinya Shopping Centre to re-zone parts of Kardinya.</p> <p>We would like to express our concerns about changes to the re-zoning of parts of Kardinya and the application to build 15-20 storey apartments on the shopping centre site, also the significant change to Rezoning to include 3 – 5 storey high rise apartments in residential areas. Large areas of Kardinya will be affected and will change the family friendly character of the suburb.</p> <p>SEE WHATS PLANNED AROUND YOUR NEW SHOPPING CENTRE</p> <p>We went to have our say at Kardinya Shopping Centre on the 6th August, the response by the people running the community drop in session did not want to listen to anything we had to say especially on the objection to high rise apartments and to re-zone parts of Kardinya, their answer was we have a lot of support for the apartments and to re-zone, I asked if they could actually prove this as everyone I know objects to their proposal. They really weren't interested in what we had to say, we support a re-development of the shopping centre but not to the extent that is planned, we believe that there will be shops not occupied and left empty, but it is really the re-zoning that we object to.</p> <p>The Developers state:</p> <p>*Increase in property values – We feel that what they propose will reduce property values.</p> <p>Our objections are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Strongly object to excessive height.</li> <li>2. Consultation process by Developers has been very poor.</li> <li>3. No increase to the height of apartments at the shopping centre (stay at 9 storeys).</li> <li>4. No increase in height of buildings in Kardinya residential area (no 3-5 storey).</li> <li>5. Increased traffic and parking issues on suburban streets.</li> <li>6. Overlooking / privacy issues.</li> </ol>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>Noted.</p>



		<p>7. Loss of tree canopy which will impact on shade and birdlife.</p> <p>8. Adverse changes to the family friendly character of the suburb.</p> <p>9. Overcrowding.</p>	<p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
163	O	<p>I wish to express my dissatisfaction at your plan of rezoning parts of Kardinya to include 3 to 5 storey high rise apartments. The thought of walking out my backdoor to find a multi-storey building overlooking my back fence is appalling.</p> <p>Please reconsider this idea.</p>	<p>The ACP has not been prepared by the City but by a private landowner. The City has a statutory obligation to consider the proposal and to provide a report to the WAPC recommending a decision the City considers is appropriate. The WAPC is the determining authority.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
164	O	<p>Re proposal to rezone close to Stanbury Close ;</p> <p>I would object to this on the basis of the number of units, flats etc. now in the area, ,and increased traffic further disrupting residents.</p> <p>Enough units proposed in the shopping centre complex.</p>	<p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
165	O	<p>Kardinya Shopping Centre development rezoning.</p> <p>1) I strongly object to the excessive height the developer is seeking approval for</p> <p>2) The Developer’s consultative process has been very poor</p> <p>3) There must be no increase in the nine storeys previously approved</p> <p>4) There must be no increase in the height of buildings in the Kardinya residential area</p> <p>5) I am concerned about the increase in traffic and parking issues on quiet suburban streets that this proposal will bring</p> <p>6) There will be considerable overlooking issues resulting in loss of privacy</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
166	O	<p>1) I strongly object to the excessive height the developer is seeking approval for</p> <p>2) The Developer’s consultative process has been very poor</p> <p>3) There must be no increase in the nine storeys previously approved</p> <p>4) There must be no increase in the height of buildings in the Kardinya residential area</p> <p>5) I am concerned about the increase in traffic and parking issues on quiet suburban streets that this proposal will bring</p> <p>6) There will be considerable overlooking issues resulting in loss of privacy</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
<b>167</b>			
168	O	<p>Concerns over resulting increased traffic &amp; parking issues, loss of tree canopy which will impact on shade, bird life and natural environment</p>	<p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted.</p>

169	S	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Any increase in density will help business</li> <li>- Wealth effect will be positive</li> <li>- Improvements and modernization of surrounding area will be positive</li> <li>- Only concern is business survival during construction esp parking and shoppers are restricted.</li> </ul>	Noted.
170	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Opposing rezoning of all dwellings within the 400 metre radius surrounding the shopping centre or any high rise dwellings in the area.</li> <li>- We the residents of Kardinya have major concerns regarding the application lodged for rezoning in our area.</li> <li>- We fiercely oppose this application, we believe as home owners that zoning for our area should remain at a maximum of two storeys high.</li> <li>- The shopping centre development should not be permitted to increase the apartment height beyond the approved maximum of the 9 storeys which residents feel is more than we were hoping for.</li> <li>- We feel we have an inadequate and misleading consultation by the developers with the community, had the residents known what the repercussions were from the revamping of the shopping centre we would have opposed it, knowing now what we have learned about rezoning of the blocks in the area.</li> </ul> <p>Major concerns and issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Lack of exposure from either the developer and or the Councils proposal for this rezoning to include an Activity Centre Hub around the expansion of Kardinya Shopping Centre.</li> <li>- Residents do not feel there is a benefit to them with the ACP in the area and the beneficiaries will be the developers/investors.</li> <li>- Proposed rezoning aims to increase height of buildings and density of our suburb.</li> <li>- Increased traffic flow and vehicle emissions plus traffic noise in our quiet suburb &amp; streets will adversely affect our lifestyle and enjoyment of our area.</li> <li>- Street parking will become prevalent due to higher density living.</li> <li>- Loss of trees and flora with loss of bird life</li> <li>- Loss of privacy in our family friendly suburb will affect our lifestyle.</li> <li>- The impact on local residents if rezoning goes ahead will have an adverse affect on our lifestyle.</li> <li>- Questions if any of the apartments to be allocated / sold to the State Housing Commission.</li> </ul>	<p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted Noted</p> <p>Unknown</p>
171	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Opposing rezoning of all dwellings within the 400 metre radius surrounding the shopping centre or any high rise dwellings in the area.</li> <li>- We the residents of Kardinya have major concerns regarding the application lodged for rezoning in our area.</li> <li>- We fiercely oppose this application, we believe as home owners that zoning for our area should remain at a maximum of two storeys high.</li> <li>- The shopping centre development should not be permitted to increase the apartment height beyond the approved maximum of the 9 storeys which residents feel is more than we were hoping for.</li> <li>- We feel we have an inadequate and misleading consultation by the developers with the community, had the residents known what the repercussions were from the revamping of the shopping centre we would have opposed it, knowing now what we have learned about rezoning of the blocks in the area.</li> </ul> <p>Major concerns and issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Lack of exposure from either the developer and or the councils proposal for this rezoning to include an Activity Centre Hub around the expansion of Kardinya Shopping Centre.</li> <li>- Residents do not feel there is a benefit to them with the ACP in the area and the beneficiaries will be the developers/investors.</li> </ul>	<p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Noted</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Proposed rezoning aims to increase height of buildings and density of our suburb.</li> <li>- Increased traffic flow and vehicle emissions plus traffic noise in our quiet s uburb &amp; streets will adversely affect our lifestyle and enjoyment of our area.</li> <li>- Street parking will become prevalent due to higher density living.</li> <li>- Loss of trees and flora with loss of bird life</li> <li>- Loss of privacy in our family friendly suburb will affect our lifestyle.</li> <li>- The impact on local residents if rezoning goes ahead will have an adverse affect on our lifestyle.</li> <li>- Questions if any of the apartments to be allocated / sold to the State Housing Commission.</li> </ul>	<p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted Noted</p> <p>Unknown</p>
172	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Opposing rezoning of all dwellings within the 400 metre radius surrounding the shopping centre or any high rise dwellings in the area.</li> <li>- We the residents of Kardinya have major concerns regarding the application lodged for rezoning in our area.</li> <li>- We fiercely oppose this application, we believe as home owners that zoning for our area should remain at a maximum of two storeys high.</li> <li>- The shopping centre development should not be permitted to increase the apartment height beyond the approved maximum of the 9 storeys which residents feel is more than we were hoping for.</li> <li>- We feel we have an inadequate and misleading consultation by the developers with the community, had the residents known what the repercussions were from the revamping of the shopping centre we would have opposed it, knowing now what we have learned about rezoning of the blocks in the area.</li> </ul> <p>Major concerns and issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Lack of exposure from either the developer and or the councils proposal for this rezoning to include an Activity Centre Hub around the expansion of Kardinya Shopping Centre.</li> <li>- Residents do not feel there is a benefit to them with the ACP in the area and the beneficiaries will be the developers/investors.</li> <li>- Proposed rezoning aims to increase height of buildings and density of our suburb.</li> <li>- Increased traffic flow and vehicle emissions plus traffic noise in our quiet s uburb &amp; streets will adversely affect our lifestyle and enjoyment of our area.</li> <li>- Street parking will become prevalent due to higher density living.</li> <li>- Loss of trees and flora with loss of bird life</li> <li>- Loss of privacy in our family friendly suburb will affect our lifestyle.</li> <li>- The impact on local residents if rezoning goes ahead will have an adverse affect on our lifestyle.</li> <li>- Questions if any of the apartments to be allocated / sold to the State Housing Commission.</li> </ul>	<p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted Noted</p> <p>Unknown</p>

173	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Opposing rezoning of all dwellings within the 400 metre radius surrounding the shopping centre or any high rise dwellings in the area.</li> <li>- We the residents of Kardinya have major concerns regarding the application lodged for rezoning in our area.</li> <li>- We fiercely oppose this application, we believe as home owners that zoning for our area should remain at a maximum of two storeys high.</li> <li>- The shopping centre development should not be permitted to increase the apartment height beyond the approved maximum of the 9 storeys which residents feel is more than we were hoping for.</li> <li>- We feel we have an inadequate and misleading consultation by the developers with the community, had the residents known what the repercussions were from the revamping of the shopping centre we would have opposed it, knowing now what we have learned about rezoning of the blocks in the area.</li> </ul> <p>Major concerns and issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Lack of exposure from either the developer and or the councils proposal for this rezoning to include an Activity Centre Hub around the expansion of Kardinya Shopping Centre.</li> <li>- Residents do not feel there is a benefit to them with the ACP in the area and the beneficiaries will be the developers/investors.</li> <li>- Proposed rezoning aims to increase height of buildings and density of our suburb.</li> <li>- Increased traffic flow and vehicle emissions plus traffic noise in our quiet s uburb &amp; streets will adversely affect our lifestyle and enjoyment of our area.</li> <li>- Street parking will become prevalent due to higher density living.</li> <li>- Loss of trees and flora with loss of bird life</li> <li>- Loss of privacy in our family friendly suburb will affect our lifestyle.</li> <li>- The impact on local residents if rezoning goes ahead will have an adverse affect on our lifestyle.</li> <li>- Questions if any of the apartments to be allocated / sold to the State Housing Commission.</li> </ul>	<p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted Noted</p> <p>Unknown</p>
174	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Opposing rezoning of all dwellings within the 400 metre radius surrounding the shopping centre or any high rise dwellings in the area.</li> <li>- We the residents of Kardinya have major concerns regarding the application lodged for rezoning in our area.</li> <li>- We fiercely oppose this application, we believe as home owners that zoning for our area should remain at a maximum of two storeys high.</li> <li>- The shopping centre development should not be permitted to increase the apartment height beyond the approved maximum of the 9 storeys which residents feel is more than we were hoping for.</li> <li>- We feel we have an inadequate and misleading consultation by the developers with the community, had the residents known what the repercussions were from the revamping of the shopping centre we would have opposed it, knowing now what we have learned about rezoning of the blocks in the area.</li> </ul> <p>Major concerns and issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Lack of exposure from either the developer and or the councils proposal for this rezoning to include an Activity Centre Hub around the expansion of Kardinya Shopping Centre.</li> <li>- Residents do not feel there is a benefit to them with the ACP in the area and the beneficiaries will be the developers/investors.</li> <li>- Proposed rezoning aims to increase height of buildings and density of our suburb.</li> <li>- Increased traffic flow and vehicle emissions plus traffic noise in our quiet s uburb &amp; streets will adversely affect our lifestyle and enjoyment of our area.</li> <li>- Street parking will become prevalent due to higher density living.</li> </ul>	<p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Loss of trees and flora with loss of bird life</li> <li>- Loss of privacy in our family friendly suburb will affect our lifestyle.</li> <li>- The impact on local residents if rezoning goes ahead will have an adverse affect on our lifestyle.</li> <li>- Questions if any of the apartments to be allocated / sold to the State Housing Commission.</li> </ul>	<p>ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted Noted</p> <p>Unknown</p>
175	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Opposing rezoning of all dwellings within the 400 metre radius surrounding the shopping centre or any high rise dwellings in the area.</li> <li>- We the residents of Kardinya have major concerns regarding the application lodged for rezoning in our area.</li> <li>- We fiercely oppose this application, we believe as home owners that zoning for our area should remain at a maximum of two storeys high.</li> <li>- The shopping centre development should not be permitted to increase the apartment height beyond the approved maximum of the 9 storeys which residents feel is more than we were hoping for.</li> <li>- We feel we have an inadequate and misleading consultation by the developers with the community, had the residents known what the repercussions were from the revamping of the shopping centre we would have opposed it, knowing now what we have learned about rezoning of the blocks in the area.</li> </ul> <p>Major concerns and issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Lack of exposure from either the developer and or the councils proposal for this rezoning to include an Activity Centre Hub around the expansion of Kardinya Shopping Centre.</li> <li>- Residents do not feel there is a benefit to them with the ACP in the area and the beneficiaries will be the developers/investors.</li> <li>- Proposed rezoning aims to increase height of buildings and density of our suburb.</li> <li>- Increased traffic flow and vehicle emissions plus traffic noise in our quiet suburb &amp; streets will adversely affect our lifestyle and enjoyment of our area.</li> <li>- Street parking will become prevalent due to higher density living.</li> <li>- Loss of trees and flora with loss of bird life</li> <li>- Loss of privacy in our family friendly suburb will affect our lifestyle.</li> <li>- The impact on local residents if rezoning goes ahead will have an adverse affect on our lifestyle.</li> <li>- Questions if any of the apartments to be allocated / sold to the State Housing Commission.</li> </ul>	<p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted Noted</p> <p>Unknown</p>
176	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Opposing rezoning of all dwellings within the 400 metre radius surrounding the shopping centre or any high rise dwellings in the area.</li> <li>- We the residents of Kardinya have major concerns regarding the application lodged for rezoning in our area.</li> <li>- We fiercely oppose this application, we believe as home owners that zoning for our area should remain at a</li> </ul>	<p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required</p>

		<p>maximum of two storeys high.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The shopping centre development should not be permitted to increase the apartment height beyond the approved maximum of the 9 storeys which residents feel is more than we were hoping for.</li> <li>- We feel we have an inadequate and misleading consultation by the developers with the community, had the residents known what the repercussions were from the revamping of the shopping centre we would have opposed it, knowing now what we have learned about rezoning of the blocks in the area.</li> </ul> <p>Major concerns and issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Lack of exposure from either the developer and or the councils proposal for this rezoning to include an Activity Centre Hub around the expansion of Kardinya Shopping Centre.</li> <li>- Residents do not feel there is a benefit to them with the ACP in the area and the beneficiaries will be the developers/investors.</li> <li>- Proposed rezoning aims to increase height of buildings and density of our suburb.</li> <li>- Increased traffic flow and vehicle emissions plus traffic noise in our quiet s uburb &amp; streets will adversely affect our lifestyle and enjoyment of our area.</li> <li>- Street parking will become prevalent due to higher density living.</li> <li>- Loss of trees and flora with loss of bird life</li> <li>- Loss of privacy in our family friendly suburb will affect our lifestyle.</li> <li>- The impact on local residents if rezoning goes ahead will have an adverse affect on our lifestyle.</li> <li>- Questions if any of the apartments to be allocated / sold to the State Housing Commission.</li> </ul>	<p>to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted Noted</p> <p>Unknown</p>
177	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Opposing rezoning of all dwellings within the 400 metre radius surrounding the shopping centre or any high rise dwellings in the area.</li> <li>- We the residents of Kardinya have major concerns regarding the application lodged for rezoning in our area.</li> <li>- We fiercely oppose this application, we believe as home owners that zoning for our area should remain at a maximum of two storeys high.</li> <li>- The shopping centre development should not be permitted to increase the apartment height beyond the approved maximum of the 9 storeys which residents feel is more than we were hoping for.</li> <li>- We feel we have an inadequate and misleading consultation by the developers with the community, had the residents known what the repercussions were from the revamping of the shopping centre we would have opposed it, knowing now what we have learned about rezoning of the blocks in the area.</li> </ul> <p>Major concerns and issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Lack of exposure from either the developer and or the councils proposal for this rezoning to include an Activity Centre Hub around the expansion of Kardinya Shopping Centre.</li> <li>- Residents do not feel there is a benefit to them with the ACP in the area and the beneficiaries will be the developers/investors.</li> <li>- Proposed rezoning aims to increase height of buildings and density of our suburb.</li> <li>- Increased traffic flow and vehicle emissions plus traffic noise in our quiet s uburb &amp; streets will adversely affect our lifestyle and enjoyment of our area.</li> <li>- Street parking will become prevalent due to higher density living.</li> <li>- Loss of trees and flora with loss of bird life</li> <li>- Loss of privacy in our family friendly suburb will affect our lifestyle.</li> <li>- The impact on local residents if rezoning goes ahead will have an adverse affect on our lifestyle.</li> <li>- Questions if any of the apartments to be allocated / sold to the State Housing Commission.</li> </ul>	<p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A</p>

			<p>separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted Noted</p> <p>Unknown</p>
178	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Opposing rezoning of all dwellings within the 400 metre radius surrounding the shopping centre or any high rise dwellings in the area.</li> <li>- We the residents of Kardinya have major concerns regarding the application lodged for rezoning in our area.</li> <li>- We fiercely oppose this application, we believe as home owners that zoning for our area should remain at a maximum of two storeys high.</li> <li>- The shopping centre development should not be permitted to increase the apartment height beyond the approved maximum of the 9 storeys which residents feel is more than we were hoping for.</li> <li>- We feel we have an inadequate and misleading consultation by the developers with the community, had the residents known what the repercussions were from the revamping of the shopping centre we would have opposed it, knowing now what we have learned about rezoning of the blocks in the area.</li> </ul> <p>Major concerns and issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Lack of exposure from either the developer and or the councils proposal for this rezoning to include an Activity Centre Hub around the expansion of Kardinya Shopping Centre.</li> <li>- Residents do not feel there is a benefit to them with the ACP in the area and the beneficiaries will be the developers/investors.</li> <li>- Proposed rezoning aims to increase height of buildings and density of our suburb.</li> <li>- Increased traffic flow and vehicle emissions plus traffic noise in our quiet suburb &amp; streets will adversely affect our lifestyle and enjoyment of our area.</li> <li>- Street parking will become prevalent due to higher density living.</li> <li>- Loss of trees and flora with loss of bird life</li> <li>- Loss of privacy in our family friendly suburb will affect our lifestyle.</li> <li>- The impact on local residents if rezoning goes ahead will have an adverse affect on our lifestyle.</li> <li>- Questions if any of the apartments to be allocated / sold to the State Housing Commission.</li> </ul>	<p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted Noted</p> <p>Unknown</p>
179	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Opposing rezoning of all dwellings within the 400 metre radius surrounding the shopping centre or any high rise dwellings in the area.</li> <li>- We the residents of Kardinya have major concerns regarding the application lodged for rezoning in our area.</li> <li>- We fiercely oppose this application, we believe as home owners that zoning for our area should remain at a maximum of two storeys high.</li> <li>- The shopping centre development should not be permitted to increase the apartment height beyond the approved maximum of the 9 storeys which residents feel is more than we were hoping for.</li> <li>- We feel we have an inadequate and misleading consultation by the developers with the community, had the</li> </ul>	<p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to</p>

		<p>residents known what the repercussions were from the revamping of the shopping centre we would have opposed it, knowing now what we have learned about rezoning of the blocks in the area.</p> <p>Major concerns and issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Lack of exposure from either the developer and or the councils proposal for this rezoning to include an Activity Centre Hub around the expansion of Kardinya Shopping Centre.</li> <li>- Residents do not feel there is a benefit to them with the ACP in the area and the beneficiaries will be the developers/investors.</li> <li>- Proposed rezoning aims to increase height of buildings and density of our suburb.</li> <li>- Increased traffic flow and vehicle emissions plus traffic noise in our quiet s uburb &amp; streets will adversely affect our lifestyle and enjoyment of our area.</li> <li>- Street parking will become prevalent due to higher density living.</li> <li>- Loss of trees and flora with loss of bird life</li> <li>- Loss of privacy in our family friendly suburb will affect our lifestyle.</li> <li>- The impact on local residents if rezoning goes ahead will have an adverse affect on our lifestyle.</li> <li>- Questions if any of the apartments to be allocated / sold to the State Housing Commission.</li> </ul>	<p>consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted Noted</p> <p>Unknown</p>
180	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Opposing rezoning of all dwellings within the 400 metre radius surrounding the shopping centre or any high rise dwellings in the area.</li> <li>- We the residents of Kardinya have major concerns regarding the application lodged for rezoning in our area.</li> <li>- We fiercely oppose this application, we believe as home owners that zoning for our area should remain at a maximum of two storeys high.</li> <li>- The shopping centre development should not be permitted to increase the apartment height beyond the approved maximum of the 9 storeys which residents feel is more than we were hoping for.</li> <li>- We feel we have an inadequate and misleading consultation by the developers with the community, had the residents known what the repercussions were from the revamping of the shopping centre we would have opposed it, knowing now what we have learned about rezoning of the blocks in the area.</li> </ul> <p>Major concerns and issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Lack of exposure from either the developer and or the councils proposal for this rezoning to include an Activity Centre Hub around the expansion of Kardinya Shopping Centre.</li> <li>- Residents do not feel there is a benefit to them with the ACP in the area and the beneficiaries will be the developers/investors.</li> <li>- Proposed rezoning aims to increase height of buildings and density of our suburb.</li> <li>- Increased traffic flow and vehicle emissions plus traffic noise in our quiet s uburb &amp; streets will adversely affect our lifestyle and enjoyment of our area.</li> <li>- Street parking will become prevalent due to higher density living.</li> <li>- Loss of trees and flora with loss of bird life</li> <li>- Loss of privacy in our family friendly suburb will affect our lifestyle.</li> <li>- The impact on local residents if rezoning goes ahead will have an adverse affect on our lifestyle.</li> <li>- Questions if any of the apartments to be allocated / sold to the State Housing Commission.</li> </ul>	<p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by</p>



			<p>Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted Noted</p> <p>Unknown</p>
181	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Opposing rezoning of all dwellings within the 400 metre radius surrounding the shopping centre or any high rise dwellings in the area.</li> <li>- We the residents of Kardinya have major concerns regarding the application lodged for rezoning in our area.</li> <li>- We fiercely oppose this application, we believe as home owners that zoning for our area should remain at a maximum of two storeys high.</li> <li>- The shopping centre development should not be permitted to increase the apartment height beyond the approved maximum of the 9 storeys which residents feel is more than we were hoping for.</li> <li>- We feel we have an inadequate and misleading consultation by the developers with the community, had the residents known what the repercussions were from the revamping of the shopping centre we would have opposed it, knowing now what we have learned about rezoning of the blocks in the area.</li> </ul> <p>Major concerns and issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Lack of exposure from either the developer and or the councils proposal for this rezoning to include an Activity Centre Hub around the expansion of Kardinya Shopping Centre.</li> <li>- Residents do not feel there is a benefit to them with the ACP in the area and the beneficiaries will be the developers/investors.</li> <li>- Proposed rezoning aims to increase height of buildings and density of our suburb.</li> <li>- Increased traffic flow and vehicle emissions plus traffic noise in our quiet s uburb &amp; streets will adversely affect our lifestyle and enjoyment of our area.</li> <li>- Street parking will become prevalent due to higher density living.</li> <li>- Loss of trees and flora with loss of bird life</li> <li>- Loss of privacy in our family friendly suburb will affect our lifestyle.</li> <li>- The impact on local residents if rezoning goes ahead will have an adverse affect on our lifestyle.</li> <li>- Questions if any of the apartments to be allocated / sold to the State Housing Commission.</li> </ul>	<p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted Noted</p> <p>Unknown</p>
182	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Opposing rezoning of all dwellings within the 400 metre radius surrounding the shopping centre or any high rise dwellings in the area.</li> <li>- We the residents of Kardinya have major concerns regarding the application lodged for rezoning in our area.</li> <li>- We fiercely oppose this application, we believe as home owners that zoning for our area should remain at a maximum of two storeys high.</li> <li>- The shopping centre development should not be permitted to increase the apartment height beyond the approved maximum of the 9 storeys which residents feel is more than we were hoping for.</li> <li>- We feel we have an inadequate and misleading consultation by the developers with the community, had the residents known what the repercussions were from the revamping of the shopping centre we would have opposed it, knowing now what we have learned about rezoning of the blocks in the area.</li> </ul> <p>Major concerns and issues:</p>	<p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Lack of exposure from either the developer and or the councils proposal for this rezoning to include an Activity Centre Hub around the expansion of Kardinya Shopping Centre.</li> <li>- Residents do not feel there is a benefit to them with the ACP in the area and the beneficiaries will be the developers/investors.</li> <li>- Proposed rezoning aims to increase height of buildings and density of our suburb.</li> <li>- Increased traffic flow and vehicle emissions plus traffic noise in our quiet suburb &amp; streets will adversely affect our lifestyle and enjoyment of our area.</li> <li>- Street parking will become prevalent due to higher density living.</li> <li>- Loss of trees and flora with loss of bird life</li> <li>- Loss of privacy in our family friendly suburb will affect our lifestyle.</li> <li>- The impact on local residents if rezoning goes ahead will have an adverse affect on our lifestyle.</li> <li>- Questions if any of the apartments to be allocated / sold to the State Housing Commission.</li> </ul>	<p>Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted Noted</p> <p>Unknown</p>
183	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Opposing rezoning of all dwellings within the 400 metre radius surrounding the shopping centre or any high rise dwellings in the area.</li> <li>- We the residents of Kardinya have major concerns regarding the application lodged for rezoning in our area.</li> <li>- We fiercely oppose this application, we believe as home owners that zoning for our area should remain at a maximum of two storeys high.</li> <li>- The shopping centre development should not be permitted to increase the apartment height beyond the approved maximum of the 9 storeys which residents feel is more than we were hoping for.</li> <li>- We feel we have an inadequate and misleading consultation by the developers with the community, had the residents known what the repercussions were from the revamping of the shopping centre we would have opposed it, knowing now what we have learned about rezoning of the blocks in the area.</li> </ul> <p>Major concerns and issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Lack of exposure from either the developer and or the councils proposal for this rezoning to include an Activity Centre Hub around the expansion of Kardinya Shopping Centre.</li> <li>- Residents do not feel there is a benefit to them with the ACP in the area and the beneficiaries will be the developers/investors.</li> <li>- Proposed rezoning aims to increase height of buildings and density of our suburb.</li> <li>- Increased traffic flow and vehicle emissions plus traffic noise in our quiet s uburb &amp; streets will adversely affect our lifestyle and enjoyment of our area.</li> <li>- Street parking will become prevalent due to higher density living.</li> <li>- Loss of trees and flora with loss of bird life</li> <li>- Loss of privacy in our family friendly suburb will affect our lifestyle.</li> <li>- The impact on local residents if rezoning goes ahead will have an adverse affect on our lifestyle.</li> <li>- Questions if any of the apartments to be allocated / sold to the State Housing Commission.</li> </ul>	<p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>

			<p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Unknown</p>
184	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Opposing rezoning of all dwellings within the 400 metre radius surrounding the shopping centre or any high rise dwellings in the area.</li> <li>- We the residents of Kardinya have major concerns regarding the application lodged for rezoning in our area.</li> <li>- We fiercely oppose this application, we believe as home owners that zoning for our area should remain at a maximum of two storeys high.</li> <li>- The shopping centre development should not be permitted to increase the apartment height beyond the approved maximum of the 9 storeys which residents feel is more than we were hoping for.</li> <li>- We feel we have an inadequate and misleading consultation by the developers with the community, had the residents known what the repercussions were from the revamping of the shopping centre we would have opposed it, knowing now what we have learned about rezoning of the blocks in the area.</li> </ul> <p>Major concerns and issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Lack of exposure from either the developer and or the councils proposal for this rezoning to include an Activity Centre Hub around the expansion of Kardinya Shopping Centre.</li> <li>- Residents do not feel there is a benefit to them with the ACP in the area and the beneficiaries will be the developers/investors.</li> <li>- Proposed rezoning aims to increase height of buildings and density of our suburb.</li> <li>- Increased traffic flow and vehicle emissions plus traffic noise in our quiet s uburb &amp; streets will adversely affect our lifestyle and enjoyment of our area.</li> <li>- Street parking will become prevalent due to higher density living.</li> <li>- Loss of trees and flora with loss of bird life</li> <li>- Loss of privacy in our family friendly suburb will affect our lifestyle.</li> <li>- The impact on local residents if rezoning goes ahead will have an adverse affect on our lifestyle.</li> <li>- Questions if any of the apartments to be allocated / sold to the State Housing Commission.</li> </ul>	<p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Unknown</p>
185	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Opposing rezoning of all dwellings within the 400 metre radius surrounding the shopping centre or any high rise dwellings in the area.</li> <li>- We the residents of Kardinya have major concerns regarding the application lodged for rezoning in our area.</li> <li>- We fiercely oppose this application, we believe as home owners that zoning for our area should remain at a maximum of two storeys high.</li> <li>- The shopping centre development should not be permitted to increase the apartment height beyond the approved maximum of the 9 storeys which residents feel is more than we were hoping for.</li> <li>- We feel we have an inadequate and misleading consultation by the developers with the community, had the residents known what the repercussions were from the revamping of the shopping centre we would have opposed it, knowing now what we have learned about rezoning of the blocks in the area.</li> </ul> <p>Major concerns and issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Lack of exposure from either the developer and or the council’s proposal for this rezoning to include an Activity Centre Hub around the expansion of Kardinya Shopping Centre.</li> <li>- Residents do not feel there is a benefit to them with the ACP in the area and the beneficiaries will be the developers/investors.</li> </ul>	<p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Noted</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Proposed rezoning aims to increase height of buildings and density of our suburb.</li> <li>- Increased traffic flow and vehicle emissions plus traffic noise in our quiet suburb &amp; streets will adversely affect our lifestyle and enjoyment of our area.</li> <li>- Street parking will become prevalent due to higher density living.</li> <li>- Loss of trees and flora with loss of bird life</li> <li>- Loss of privacy in our family friendly suburb will affect our lifestyle.</li> <li>- The impact on local residents if rezoning goes ahead will have an adverse affect on our lifestyle.</li> <li>- Questions if any of the apartments to be allocated / sold to the State Housing Commission.</li> </ul> <p>Berboutis does not even live in area but is inflicting a development on it.</p>	<p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted Noted</p> <p>Unknown</p>
186	O	<p>I would like to register my objection to the proposed Kardinya District Centre “Activity Centre Plan”.</p> <p>The plan, which proposes to change the height limits and residential density of the area surrounding the Kardinya Shopping Centre, is not in keeping with the amenity of the suburb. In general, the proposed new height limits will seek to alter the Kardinya suburb from a quiet, family home-style residential area to a busy, intensive, urban style of living. I am sure the majority of the residents chose to live in this area because of its quiet charm and suburban lifestyle, and the ACP will potentially change that completely.</p> <p>On a personal level, I am a resident of Dalston Crescent, my house being directly opposite the Kardinya Shopping Centre. The ACP proposes to change the residential density and height limits of my street to R160 with a height limit of 5 storeys. This could potentially lead to my property being surrounded by 5 storey apartment buildings that overlook my house and backyard, or ultimately to my family feeling pressured to sell our home in order to avoid this scenario.</p> <p>In addition to the height limits proposed for the residential area, the maximum height limits proposed for the Centre Core and Centre Frame are excessive and certainly do not fit into the surrounding area. Whilst I understand that the Kardinya Shopping Centre Redevelopment plan has now been approved at a maximum of 9 storeys, the ACP proposes heights of up to 20 storeys. The nearest location with buildings of that height would be Applecross – a riverfront suburb, close to the City with some long-established mid to low height apartment complexes and a Commercial sector. Kardinya is some 15kms from the Perth CBD and is a mostly single-storey residential area, with indirect links to the City and little commercial appeal. The need for such tall buildings in our area is not established or demonstrated. I am also concerned about the impact the increased living density will have on the quiet suburban streets. The streets are already under pressure due to traffic flow to the shopping centre. Increased residential traffic will only add to this pressure and negatively impact upon existing residents.</p> <p>There seems to have been little consideration given to the existing Kardinya residents in the development of this plan. It has been designed by an organisation who's interested extends only as far as how much profit can be garnered in the long term. Proposed height limit increases could potentially impact upon hundreds of family homes, thousands of residents, and completely change the landscape of the area. A more inclusive, considered activity plan needs to be developed that respects and compliments the suburb and shows consideration to current property owners and residents. This can be achieved by an actual and real consultation process with the residents, unlike the consultation undertaken so far, which has been almost non-existent and certainly a token attempt at best.</p> <p>I strongly urge the Council to consider the points raised here and respect the rights and wishes of the current ratepayers of Kardinya.</p>	<p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
187	O	<p>I'm a bit concerned about the proposal for increasing residential building heights and increasing the population density in our suburb. It's a really lovely environment currently, lovely and green and quiet, and I feel that it would lose that</p>	<p>Noted.</p>

		<p>with the proposed developments.</p> <p>In addition, there won't be adequate parking available for these high rise apartments, which means the already narrow streets will become parking spots for all these new residents. Together with the increased traffic flow so many new additions to the suburb will bring, navigating the roads will be a headache.</p> <p>I think the redevelopment at the Kardinya shops, particularly the car park will be fantastic.</p>	<p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
188	O	<p>I strongly object to the re-zoning of Kardinya with specific regard to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No increase in the existing height restrictions of buildings in residential areas</li> <li>• No increase in the existing height restrictions at the shopping centre (already excessive)</li> <li>• Grave concerns over parking on residential streets</li> <li>• Grave concerns over the increase in traffic on, previously relatively quiet, residential streets</li> <li>• Grave concerns over the inevitable resultant changes of use of from residential to commercial properties</li> </ul> <p>I understand that Kardinya and other surrounding areas will undoubtedly come under pressure from developers with the close proximity of Fiona Stanley and St John of God hospitals alone. I also understand that developers of high-rise residential, office or large complex commercial developments have only their own interests at heart.</p> <p>The consultative process by the City of Melville with the residents of Kardinya in particular, and Melville in general, leaves a lot to be desired.</p> <p>I do not believe that people who choose to reside in quiet residential suburbs should be periodically put under pressure to protect or defend their way of life due to the persistent greed of developers.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p> <p>Public consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 with the City applying State Government COVID exemptions to enable extension of the advertising period from the usual maximum statutory period of 28 days to the maximum allowable 42 days.</p> <p>The City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to all properties within the proposed ACP boundary, properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP, properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included within the proposed ACP. As the submitters property is not within this area a letter was not sent to that property.</p>
189	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Opposing rezoning of all dwellings within the 400 metre radius surrounding the shopping centre or any high rise dwellings in the area.</li> <li>- We the residents of Kardinya have major concerns regarding the application lodged for rezoning in our area.</li> <li>- We fiercely oppose this application, we believe as home owners that zoning for our area should remain at a maximum of two storeys high.</li> <li>- The shopping centre development should not be permitted to increase the apartment height beyond the approved maximum of the 9 storeys which residents feel is more than we were hoping for.</li> <li>- We feel we have an inadequate and misleading consultation by the developers with the community, had the residents known what the repercussions were from the revamping of the shopping centre we would have opposed it, knowing now what we have learned about rezoning of the blocks in the area.</li> </ul> <p>Major concerns and issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Lack of exposure from either the developer and or the councils proposal for this rezoning to include an Activity</li> </ul>	<p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides</p>

		<p>Centre Hub around the expansion of Kardinya Shopping Centre.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Residents do not feel there is a benefit to them with the ACP in the area and the beneficiaries will be the developers/investors.</li> <li>- Proposed rezoning aims to increase height of buildings and density of our suburb.</li> <li>- Increased traffic flow and vehicle emissions plus traffic noise in our quiet s uburb &amp; streets will adversely affect our lifestyle and enjoyment of our area.</li> <li>- Street parking will become prevalent due to higher density living.</li> <li>- Loss of trees and flora with loss of bird life</li> <li>- Loss of privacy in our family friendly suburb will affect our lifestyle.</li> <li>- The impact on local residents if rezoning goes ahead will have an adverse affect on our lifestyle.</li> <li>- Questions if any of the apartments to be allocated / sold to the State Housing Commission.</li> </ul>	<p>an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted Noted</p> <p>Unknown</p>
190	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Opposing rezoning of all dwellings within the 400 metre radius surrounding the shopping centre or any high rise dwellings in the area.</li> <li>- We the residents of Kardinya have major concerns regarding the application lodged for rezoning in our area.</li> <li>- We fiercely oppose this application, we believe as home owners that zoning for our area should remain at a maximum of two storeys high.</li> <li>- The shopping centre development should not be permitted to increase the apartment height beyond the approved maximum of the 9 storeys which residents feel is more than we were hoping for.</li> <li>- We feel we have an inadequate and misleading consultation by the developers with the community, had the residents known what the repercussions were from the revamping of the shopping centre we would have opposed it, knowing now what we have learned about rezoning of the blocks in the area.</li> </ul> <p>Major concerns and issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Lack of exposure from either the developer and or the council’s proposal for this rezoning to include an Activity Centre Hub around the expansion of Kardinya Shopping Centre.</li> <li>- Residents do not feel there is a benefit to them with the ACP in the area and the beneficiaries will be the developers/investors.</li> <li>- Proposed rezoning aims to increase height of buildings and density of our suburb.</li> <li>- Increased traffic flow and vehicle emissions plus traffic noise in our quiet suburb &amp; streets will adversely affect our lifestyle and enjoyment of our area.</li> <li>- Street parking will become prevalent due to higher density living.</li> <li>- Loss of trees and flora with loss of bird life</li> <li>- Loss of privacy in our family friendly suburb will affect our lifestyle.</li> <li>- The impact on local residents if rezoning goes ahead will have an adverse affect on our lifestyle.</li> <li>- Questions if any of the apartments to be allocated / sold to the State Housing Commission.</li> </ul> <p>As I own a lawn mowing business, this will impact my livelihood and the beauty of the area.</p>	<p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted</p>

			<p>Noted</p> <p>Unknown</p>
191	O	<p>The proposal to build a high rise apartment block at the Kardinya Shopping Centre is causing concern to many and we question the endeavour made by the developer to resolve the problems introduced.</p> <p>We have previously written to the Council about our objection to this project. The nine storey apartment building approved for the Kardinya Park re-development is out of line with the character of the suburb and its height and design will ensure it will be a prominent eyesore. It will be the tallest building for kilometres and currently only matched by Fiona Stanley Hospital's nine storeys.</p> <p>It is a major understatement to say the consultation process for this major development has been poor. We became aware of this project through a notice posted inside the shopping centre and noted comments in favour of the project were posted on fliers stuck to a notice board. We were hardly surprised to see many had come from visitors to the centre who did not actually live in the suburb.</p> <p>To accommodate this project, an area adjacent to the apartments and extending radially 400 metres rezoning is now sought for a range of housing densities far in excess of the currently approved zoning. This is causing worry and stress to nearby residents who bought into an area which less than a year ago was considered a quiet, desirable suburb to live with the potential for subdivision to R25. The rezoning proposal will cause significant short and long term disruption (noise, loss of privacy) to residents as re-development will take place in a haphazard way with lots turned into multi-story buildings in an ad-hoc manner. They will receive no compensation for this.</p> <p>Contrary to Melville Council's strategy document for high density housing which states a preference for townhouses and two/three bed apartments consistent with existent zoning, the new proposal will lead to all the usual problems caused by poor planning and overcrowding such as excessive traffic for the one main thoroughfare in the area (Gilbertson Road), lack of parking and crime.</p> <p>Kardinya house prices have struggled more than most with house prices falling significantly over the past 5 years. Contrary to adjacent suburbs, Kardinya is showing no sign of recovery and it is logical to think this development is not only contributing to this but will cause sustained damage to house prices in the suburb.</p> <p>Many of these concerns could be eliminated if the apartment block earmarked for the Kardinya Shopping Centre was relocated to the South Street side of the shopping complex (The area currently earmarked for carpark).</p> <p>This would largely eliminate the intrusion issue and the need to re-zone as all of the Kardinya houses would be separated from the apartments by either South Street, North Road or the shopping complex.</p> <p>We strongly urge you to consider this alternative.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p> <p>Public consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 with the City applying State Government COVID exemptions to enable extension of the advertising period from the usual maximum statutory period of 28 days to the maximum allowable 42 days.</p> <p>The City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to all properties within the proposed ACP boundary, properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP, properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included within the proposed ACP. As the submitters property is not within this area a letter was not sent to that property.</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>The location of the proposed 9 storey apartment building has been confirmed as part of the approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site recently issued by the JDAP.</p>

			The City has a statutory obligation to consider the ACP proposal and provide a report with a recommendation to the WAPC which is the determining authority.
192	O	<p>I have a number of concerns regarding the proposed rezoning of Kardinya.</p> <p>The density of housing proposed for the residential area surrounding the shopping centre with three to five storey buildings is excessive. While I don't object to high-rise apartments on the shopping centre site itself, I think the maximum height for residential buildings beyond the shopping centre site should be two storeys.</p> <p>Four storeys overlooking Morris Buzacott Reserve would surely impact community enjoyment at the park, with people overlooking the space, not to mention the impact to the natural plant and animal life.</p> <p>Additionally, the increased population density if three to five storey housing were to be approved would significantly increase traffic and parking issues on our quiet suburban streets. Please reconsider the building height for the areas surrounding the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site and cap these at two storeys maximum.</p>	<p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
193	S	<p>Please consider this correspondence as support for the proposed Activity Centre Plan (ACP) for the Kardinya Park Town Centre and as presently lodged with the City of Melville. Metway Real Estate are the managers of the Centre which is owned by Dato Holdings Pty Ltd ('Dato').</p> <p>We also manage a significant number of other properties, both commercial and residential in the immediate areas relevant) which all sit within the proposed ACP boundaries.</p> <p>We are aware that the centre has written to you directly in support of the proposal. We endorse all eight reasons of support as detailed by 'Dato', being:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. As a District Centre, under SPP 4.2 – Activity Centres for Perth and Peel, density performance targets for each District Centre within the metropolitan area are specified and are required to be met.</li> <li>2. The present catchment area of the proposed ACP has a residential ratio of 9.4 dwellings per hectare. Ideally this should be closer to 30 dwellings per hectare.</li> <li>3. The City of Melville's own Local Planning Strategy (LPS) also references the need for an increase in density, particularly along transit corridors such as North Lake Road and South Street.</li> <li>4. The ACP has been co-sponsored with the adjacent "Aldi &amp; Kardinya Tavern" lot. We also manage premises in that complex. This will provide the planning framework to provide value uplift to these lots.</li> <li>5. The attached report by Colliers reveals that in similar ACP's in the City, strata titled residential properties benefited in "value uplifts of up to 20 per cent, while single residences - located on green titled allotments and having development potential - could show value uplifts of up to 60 per cent". In summary this advises: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The proposed redevelopment and expansion of the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre will provide a significant uplift in amenity to the area</li> <li>• The presence of an entertainment precinct centred around a new cinema complex, food and beverage offering, medical precinct, additional supermarket and specialty retail tenancies will provide an additional attraction to the catchment area.</li> <li>• The benefit from completion of the centre redevelopment proximity and the resultant increase in amenity is expected to positively affect values to the immediate surrounding area.</li> <li>• The Activity Centre Plan, as provided, generally affords an increased densification from the existing zoning which will also assist to accommodate the sector of the market that values co-location and proximity to the revitalized and expanded centre.</li> <li>• The analyzed Activity Plan and Structure Plan areas comprising the Riseley Centre Structure Plan, Melville District Activity Centre and Melville City Centre Structure Plan, have predominantly reflected increases in property values over the period from initial public notification to final endorsement by the Western Australian Planning Commission.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	Noted.



		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The value outcomes for properties within these areas varied, dependent on the previous zoning and the final approved zoning, the highest and best use of the site and the ability to offer contiguous allotments to ‘unlock’ a suitable development outcome.</li><li>• Strat titled residential properties showed value uplifts of up to 20%, with single residences, located on green titled allotments and having development potential, showed values uplifts of up to 60%.</li></ul> <p>6. The ACP will allow for greater housing diversity within and surrounding the centre.</p> <p>7. The ACP will also assist in supporting the redevelopment of the retail and commercial properties within the centre.</p> <p>8. The ACP will bring long-term infrastructure improvements including footpaths, street trees, public transport and lighting improvements.</p>	<p>It is noted that the other Activity/Structure plans referenced do not promote densities as high as has been designated in the proposed Kardinya ACP with the highest density being R100 in the Melville City Centre Structure Plan and lower densities in more comparable ACP's at Melville District and Riseley Centre.</p>
194	O	<p>Please find attached a submission by myself and my colleague, Dr Jill Shephard, in regards to the proposed Kardinya District Activity Centre Plan. We have been involved in undertaking research on black cockatoos in the Perth-Peel Coastal Plain over the last ten years and have serious concerns regarding the impacts that the proposed ACP would have on the existing tree canopy in Kardinya and associated impacts on threatened black cockatoos that utilize the habitat in this area.</p> <p>Our submission strongly urges the City of Melville to reject the proposed ACP, which conflicts with the City's Urban Forest Strategic Plan. This Strategic Plan clearly outlines the social, economic and environmental benefits of trees, in particular large trees, for biodiversity conservation and community well-being.</p> <p>.....</p> <p>Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback regarding the Activity Centre Plan (ACP) for the Kardinya District Centre, particularly the planned re-zoning to increase the density of housing within the Residential area. This letter provides information to demonstrate that re-zoning to increase urban density in this area would be inconsistent with efforts by Perth councils (including the City of Melville), the state government and Western Australian communities to halt and reverse the declines towards extinction of Western Australia's iconic and threatened black cockatoos.</p> <p>We represent the Conservation Medicine Program in the School of Veterinary Medicine at Murdoch University. Our research group has been studying the health, ecology and demographics of Western Australia's three species of black cockatoos for 10 years. We have strong collaborative research ties with the state Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA), Kaarakin Black Cockatoo Conservation Centre, WA Museum, World Wide Fund for Nature, Birdlife Australia, industry groups in the mining and housing sectors, and Perth Zoo. Our research projects include health monitoring of Carnaby's cockatoo nestlings throughout the species' breeding range, disease screening of injured black cockatoos treated at the Perth Zoo Veterinary Department for rehabilitation back to the wild, and large-scale ecological research using satellite PTT and GPS tracking of all three black cockatoo species across their distribution ranges, to identify key habitat areas and migratory movements, about which much remains unknown. Collectively, our research addresses several major Actions and Objectives of the national Recovery Plans for all three species.</p> <p>Through our research, we have observed that despite dedicated efforts of Recovery Teams, as well as efforts of research teams studying the species and community groups fighting to save habitat and rehabilitate debilitated birds back to the wild, all three species remain in grave danger of continued and catastrophic population decline. This is particularly the case given that the human population of Western Australia is set to grow rapidly in the next few decades, with increasing risks to cockatoos from land clearing, vehicle strikes and other human-related threats. The biggest threat to these birds remains the ongoing clearing of their breeding, feeding and roosting habitat, which occurred throughout much of the last century and appears to have escalated again in recent times, meaning that the populations of all three species are still in decline.</p> <p>Our ongoing black cockatoo movement and ecology research includes both satellite PTT and GPS tracking, as well as direct on-ground observations, of flocks of black cockatoos using remnant small areas of foraging and roosting habitat</p>	<p>Noted.</p>

	<p>in the Perth urban environment. We have used the information we have obtained from our research to provide the comments below regarding the ACP for the Kardinya District Centre, particularly the planned re-zoning. Our flock movement data emphasize the importance of retaining existing housing densities, canopy area and open spaces (even small ones such as suburban gardens) for flocks of threatened black cockatoos in Kardinya. The proposed development is clearly at odds with the goals of the City of Melville’s Urban Forest Strategy, and likewise at odds with key objectives in the City’s Local Planning Strategy, as we outline below. As described below, the Kardinya District Centre, and Kardinya overall, contains important foraging, roosting and creching habitat for Western Australia’s threatened black cockatoos, and it is vital for the City of Melville to reject the development and the proposed re-zoning in order to protect this habitat and ensure the long term viability of these iconic species.</p> <p>Below, we include information regarding (a) black cockatoo roost locations within the area proposed for re-zoning; (b) black cockatoo foraging habitat in Kardinya: use of green spaces and private gardens; (c) how the proposed re-zoning is in opposition to goals in the City of Melville’s Urban Forest Strategic Plan; (d) how the proposed re-zoning is in opposition to key objectives in the City of Melville’s Local Planning Strategy; (e) use of suburban garden trees in the ACP area by Carnaby’s cockatoos to creche their young; (f) how the proposed re-zoning will increase the risk of black cockatoo injury and mortality from increased traffic flow; (g) the value of urban habitat patches for habitat connectivity and to provide ‘movement corridors’; (h) concerns regarding the skewed ACP area; and (i) the importance of considering of Cumulative Impacts in planning decisions.</p> <p>Black cockatoo roost locations within the area proposed for re-zoning: Importance of retaining roosting habitat and nearby foraging habitat Figure 1 identifies three known roost sites for black cockatoo flocks within or just outside the area proposed for re-zoning (unpubl. data, Murdoch University). Black cockatoo flocks require sufficient foraging habitat within a few kilometres of their roosts; which highlights the importance of the existing levels of foraging habitat and canopy cover in this area of Kardinya. To retain this foraging habitat near known roosts, it will be important to reject any re-zoning proposal that would lead to higher housing density, as the proposed amalgamation of blocks for high-rise apartment blocks would invariably lead to increased loss of garden vegetation/space, including vegetation that represents foraging habitat for black cockatoos (Figure 4 below further highlights the importance of retaining larger blocks to provide for black cockatoos in urban landscapes). It is interesting to note that despite the high level of infill with medium/high density housing associated with subdivision that currently exists in Kardinya; the suburb has retained a significant tree canopy. A critical point for protection and retention of black cockatoo flocks in urban areas is that within Perth, foraging habitat for black cockatoos is already insufficient – and this is the primary cause of their continuing declines. Any remaining foraging vegetation – particularly patches in urban areas near roosts, such as this site – may be important for the persistence of the flocks that depend on them.</p> <p>Black cockatoo foraging habitat in Kardinya: use of green spaces and private gardens In Figure 2, we present GPS data showing flock movements for three separate flocks of forest red-tailed black cockatoos that contained individual birds which had been rehabilitated following injury, and released into these wild flocks with GPS/satellite tags attached. Our research has shown that these birds socially integrate well into wild flocks and serve as markers for the movements and habitat use of their flocks. The data cover several weeks in August and September 2015 (the time span of the tags remaining operational in this area). Movements of the three tagged black cockatoos, each in a separate flock, are indicated by the red, yellow and blue dots in Figure 2. Flock counts ranged from 50-70 birds per flock (unpubl. data, Murdoch University). As can be seen in Figure 2, these flocks spend time foraging around Morris Buzacott Reserve/ Kardinya Primary School, as well as in private gardens. It is important to note that these are data for forest red-tailed black cockatoos, of which there are several flocks in the City of Melville, including flocks for which we do not have data. We know that Carnaby’s cockatoos similarly use the habitat around these reserves and suburban gardens, but we did not have any tagged Carnaby’s cockatoos in the City of Melville to act as markers for their flocks, so we do not have data on flock movements for the local Carnaby’s cockatoos in this area. It is important to note that in the absence of sufficient native food sources for black cockatoo flocks in the Perth area, pine trees are also a very important food source for local flocks. Our flock follows indicate that the pine trees south-east of the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre which surround Alan Edwards Reserve, and those that surround Morris Buzacott Reserve are important food sources which are used by local Carnaby’s cockatoo flocks each year; the Morris Buzacott Reserve also contains a large number of jarrah and marri trees, which are preferred native food species for black cockatoos.</p> <p>Separate forest red-tailed black cockatoo flocks that contained individual birds that had been rehabilitated following injury, and released into wild flocks with satellite and GPS tags attached, to serve as markers for the movements and habitat use of the flocks. The data cover several weeks in Aug-Sept 2015. The coloured dots (red, yellow, blue [blue is single dot near top right of reserve]) represent the tagged birds, each in a separate flock (the green lines are associated with a separate flock that moved through the area).</p>	<p>Reference to the City’s Urban Forest Strategic plan is acknowledged. This plan, however, only relates to land owned by/under the control of the City and does not apply to privately owned land. As a consequence the proposals under the ACP do not impact upon land to which the Urban Forest Strategic Plan applies as the ACP does not contain any proposals to alter City controlled land.</p> <p>It is also noted that the State Planning Framework, including SPP 4.2 takes precedence over the City’s Urban Forest Strategic Plan.</p>
--	--	---

	<p>It is important to emphasise that these flock movement data represent a snapshot in time, and the pattern of habitat use will change with the seasons, depending on which food sources within the City are being targeted. In Page 4 regards to the figures above our research has shown that there are other times of year such as earlier in the year when there would be heavier use of suburban vegetation due to the seasonal availability of food sources and the migratory movements of Carnaby’s cockatoos and seasonal range shifts of forest-red tailed black cockatoos.</p> <p>Our movement data for black cockatoo flocks throughout the urban areas of Perth demonstrate clearly the importance of retention of tree canopy and vegetation, including during subdivision. Figures 3 and 4 below provide examples of black cockatoo movements in other suburbs in Perth, including the loss of black cockatoos from areas where development has been poorly designed and managed, as occurred in Alkimos</p> <p>(Figure 3). Together these two figures highlight the importance of retained green spaces and corridors for black cockatoo flocks in urban landscapes. Figure 4 in particular highlights the importance of retaining larger suburban blocks, with associated vegetation, which is more likely to occur in areas zoned for residential housing and standard subdivision, in order to maintain black cockatoo foraging activity and support the continued existence of black cockatoo flocks in these area</p> <p>For Kardinya in particular, and for the ACP area proposed for re-zoning, we can see that the existing density of housing which currently contains a high level of infill through subdivision has enabled important tree canopy to be retained not only in Public Open Spaces but, critically, in private suburban gardens (Figures 5 and 6). Figure 6 shows the existing tree canopy in the northern and eastern catchments of the suburb, which are now being proposed to be re-zoned for high density development. The photographs in Figure 6 were taken looking over Kardinya Park Shopping Centre, and reveal a ‘sea of tree canopy’– representing large, old trees – many of which are jarrah and marri, and which have been retained with the current infill through subdivision. These trees, or many of them, would be lost with the proposed plans to amalgamate blocks for high rise apartment development.</p> <p>Kardinya already has a medium/high level of density, due to high infill through subdivision which has been occurring over the last couple of decades. But as Figure 6 shows, the development so far has been appropriate and effective for maintaining the old, mature trees, both native and non-native, which provide habitat, shade and canopies that enable black cockatoos to survive in the area; and also provide a range of other benefits for local human communities. The flow-on impacts from the proposed re-zoning will inevitably reduce the amount of tree canopy that could be retained. This will be particularly detrimental to local black cockatoo flocks, as we know that Carnaby’s cockatoos forage, roost and creche their young in the tree canopy in the northern and eastern catchments – and these are the areas proposed for greatest development. To maintain biodiversity in Kardinya and the wider Perth-Peel Coastal Plain, it is important to maintain the tree canopy that we currently have in Kardinya, rather than aim for denser goals. It is also important to note that maintaining biodiversity in our suburban environments is not only critical for environmental conservation, but also is essential for human well-being and ensuring that we have physically and mentally healthy communities.</p> <p>The proposed re-zoning is in opposition to key goals in the City of Melville’s Urban Forest Strategic Plan.</p> <p>The City of Melville has acknowledged the social, economic and environmental benefits of trees, particularly of large trees, in its Urban Forest Strategic Plan. The City of Melville has a stated commitment to retaining its existing level of tree canopy (no net loss of urban forest canopy on City land over the period of the plan’; Goal 1 of the City of Melville’s Urban Forest Strategic Plan, Part A, 2017-2036; Executive Summary page v). The city’s other goals in the Plan include striving for ‘excellent urban forest management’ (Goal 3), and ‘integrat[ion of] urban forest protection into urban planning’ (Goal 4). To best accommodate these goals, the City will need to strive to ensure not only no net loss of canopy on City-owned land, but also on private blocks in suburban areas. Clearly, high density re-zoning that will reduce the canopy cover would be in opposition to the City of Melville’s Urban Forest Strategic Plan.</p> <p>The proposed re-zoning is in opposition to key objectives in the City of Melville’s Local Planning Strategy. The City of Melville’s Urban Forest Strategic Plan is developed to align with the City’s Local Planning Strategy (February 2016); and in particular, with the environmental objectives of the Planning Strategy. The objectives of the City’s Local Planning Strategy include to (1) Ensure that the natural environmental values of the City are protected and conserved for existing and future generations, and to (2) Contribute to the maintenance and enhancement of biodiversity for the preservation of our natural flora and fauna. To meet these objectives with respect to the long-term viability of threatened black cockatoos, again the City will need to achieve a goal of not only no net loss of canopy on City land, but no net loss of canopy and habitat in suburban blocks and gardens (and arguably, net gain). The need for the City to</p>	
--	--	--

		<p>focus on protecting existing black cockatoo habitat on private blocks (through, for example, avoiding high density re-zoning in residential areas that could encourage this loss) is brought into stark relief in the Executive Summary of the Urban Forest Strategic Plan. The Executive Summary warns that over the next 1-2 decades, up to 50% of the City's current street trees will have been lost (due to age-related mortality without effective succession planning), with significant impacts for up to two decades in some areas. These imminent large losses of City-managed trees emphasise the necessity of City planning and decisions that do everything possible to protect existing canopy and habitat in suburban blocks and gardens.</p> <p>If the proposed re-zoning of the ACP occurs and the height of buildings in the suburban streets is increased, another major negative impact on canopy cover will be the increased driveway and parking requirements, which will restrict the numbers of street trees in the area. This impact has been identified in the City of Melville's Urban Forest Strategic Plan, (Executive Summary, page v), which states that:  'The increased driveway and parking requirements associated with increased redevelopment and residential density constrain the number and size of street trees that can be accommodated in some streets...'</p> <p>To safeguard black cockatoo foraging habitat in Kardinya, the proposed ACP should not be supported, and residential areas as well as the planned redevelopment of the shopping amenities at the Kardinya Shopping Centre should remain at their current approved maximum heights. This will support the retention of large trees on existing residential blocks throughout Kardinya, to provide resting and foraging habitat (Figure 7) and creche habitat (Figure 8) for threatened black cockatoos.</p> <p>Use of suburban garden trees in the ACP area by Carnaby's cockatoos to creche their young Individual trees and canopy cover are important to retain in Kardinya suburban gardens for another reason: endangered Carnaby's cockatoos use them to creche their young when the parents fly further afield to forage after foraging in the immediate area around Kardinya. We have identified several residential areas in the northern and eastern catchments, within the area proposed for re-zoning, where young Carnaby's cockatoos are creched in trees (Figure 8). All photographs of creche trees in Figure 8 were taken on streets that are within or adjacent to the area proposed for re-zoning. We have observed that the young are creched during the day while the adults fly further on foraging trips. We know that flocks and individual birds have cultural knowledge of key trees in the landscape, and we have observed birds creching their young and foraging in trees in the same area within Kardinya over multiple years. Interestingly, one of the properties containing a creche tree is a subdivided block; indicating the value of subdivision which retains trees and canopy structure. Trees such as these are at clear risk of being lost under the proposed high-density plans, but are essential for black cockatoo flocks in this area, as flocks are dependent on suitable trees in which to leave their young safely during the day while the adult birds forage.</p> <p>The proposed re-zoning will increase the risk of black cockatoo injury and mortality from increased traffic flow near Public Open Spaces that are used by black cockatoos</p> <p>A decision to re-zone the area to increase the residential density would present another risk to black cockatoos in association with increased traffic flow. Black cockatoos often forage alongside roads. Given they are heavy birds, they cannot easily avoid cars, and increased vehicle traffic will pose additional risk of injuries and mortalities in local flocks (Figure 9). This would be particularly the case around areas that are known to be heavily used by Kardinya's black cockatoo flocks, including the Public Open Spaces at Morris Buzzacott Reserve and Alan Edwards Reserve which are both used for foraging and for creching young. It is therefore particularly concerning that there is a proposal to increase density (and associated road use) around these two reserves. Young black cockatoos are less agile than adults, and will be particularly vulnerable to mortality from increased vehicle traffic.  Value of habitat patches in urban areas for habitat connectivity and to provide 'movement corridors'</p> <p>An important and under-considered point is the importance of small remnant habitat patches for maintaining habitat connectivity for black cockatoos in fragmented landscapes. The Perth-Peel Coastal Plain contains highly fragmented habitat, including many foraging sites which together create a network of foraging sources. It is vital not to break the connectivity of a foraging network, and removal of even a few important trees/ patches can affect the connectivity of 'corridors' between foraging sites, thereby affecting foraging overall.</p> <p>Kardinya is likely to contain important corridor habitat between other key neighbouring areas for black cockatoos, including providing connectivity between important foraging and roosting habitat at Piney Lakes, Murdoch Page 10 University (Murdoch campus) and Beeliar Regional Park. Murdoch University is a roost site for hundreds of black cockatoos; the highest counts for the Murdoch roost were 441 forest red-tailed black cockatoos in 2018 and 700 Carnaby's cockatoos in 2010. The Murdoch roost site is of major importance within the Perth area and across the</p>	<p>SPP 4.2 identifies a dwelling target for the ACP area and ultimate densities should support this target.</p>
--	--	--	---

	<p>Perth-Peel Coastal Plain; this roost had the second-highest cumulative count of forest red-tailed black cockatoos of all Great Cocky Count Perth-Peel locations (across six years of counts) (Great Cocky Count, Birdlife Australia). Black cockatoos need foraging near their roosts, as well as stop-off areas between their roosts and other foraging sites, and Kardinya currently provides small patches of green spaces and vegetation corridor to serve as corridor habitat to and from this major roost site at Murdoch University.</p> <p>Concerns re skewed ACP area</p> <p>We note that the ACP area proposed for re-zoning in this proposal is highly skewed, which is both unusual and concerning. Instead of a standard circular 400m ‘catchment’ as is typical of ACP plans, this ACP area and overall shape have been skewed to increase the distance substantially in one direction – apparently so that it can ‘capture’ and include the Morris Buzzacott Reserve within the ACP area (Figure 10). This appears to be an attempt to skew and artificially increase the amount of Public Open Space in the proposal. If this is true, it is both misleading and highly inappropriate.</p>	<p>The Local Planning Strategy (LPS) is adopted under the State Planning Framework and approved by the WAPC. It is consequently required to reflect State planning policies and strategies including SPP 4.2. A key element of the LPS is appropriate levels of increased density/diversity at/around centres enabling retention of larger areas of low density throughout the City which supports greater tree retention/protection over a wider area.</p> <p>Accommodating more people within existing urban areas is also recognized as a means of reducing pressure for clearing native vegetation, including black cockatoo habitat, on the urban fringe of the metropolitan area for new urban development.</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to</p>
--	---	--

			consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.
--	--	--	---

			<p>The proposed boundary of the ACP is being assessed to determine its compliance with SPP 4.2.</p>
195	O	<p>As a rate payer and resident I strongly oppose the ACP proposal for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Increased density in the suburb</li> <li>- increased traffic flow on currently quiet streets (we chose this area to raise our young family based on the current layout)</li> <li>- Residential building height should remain at a maximum of two storeys.</li> </ul> <p>I hope that this feedback is taken in to consideration and would expect it to be consistent with other long time residents to Kardinya.</p>	<p>SPP 4.2 identifies a dwelling target for the ACP area and ultimate densities should support this target.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
196	O	<p>I have just looked over this document and I am very disappointed that a local land owner is able to write proposed local planning policy! It's an enormous conflict of interest to let the shopping centre owner determine the zoning of the land around their own shopping centre.</p> <p>Five storeys in this huge section of Kardinya would be a poor outcome for this section of Kardinya. The Williamson road and Gilbertson Road corner is already too busy and can not handle more cars. Please don't reduce this residential area to traffic chaos, lack of vegetation and more poor quality apartments such as those next to me at 70 Gilbertson Road. Let the local planners, councilors and people of Kardinya decide not the wealthy shopping centre owner. I'm not against increased density near shopping centres and transport hubs at all but I am against R100 in quiet suburban street and cul-de-sacs.</p>	<p>The Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 allow for a private landowner or the local government to prepare an ACP.</p> <p>Where an ACP is prepared by a private landowner and submitted to the City, the City is required under the regulations to advertise the proposed ACP, undertake an assessment of the ACP against the planning framework and make a recommendation to the WAPC which makes the ultimate determination of the ACP.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
197	O	<p>I don't agree with the proposed ACP as it will cause an increase in traffic in our suburban streets and change the character of our neighbourhood.</p>	<p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
198	O	<p>I am writing to express my concerns with high rise development in the Kardinya District.</p> <p>One concern is the increase in the traffic on South Street and Gilbertson Road. More traffic lights stop the flow of traffic. Already in Kardinya when a dwelling is demolished, we have three places (units) built. Most places have more than one vehicle.</p> <p>Already we have approval for nine storey apartments (units) in the shopping area. Does everyone want to live on major roads? I believe that there are many apartments vacant between here and Fremantle.</p> <p>For the council or planning committee to approve three level apartments on the average block in Kardinya will certainly change the character of Kardinya and not for the better.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>

			The City has a statutory obligation to consider the ACP proposal and provide a report with a recommendation to the WAPC which is the determining authority.
199	O	<p>I recently found out about the application of the Developers of the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre to seek approval through rezoning to build a 15-25 Storey Apartment Block.</p> <p>This is in addition to the development that has already been approved to build a 9 storey apartment complex.</p> <p>Firstly, if it wasn't for information I received from anonymous person I wouldn't have known about the development that has already been approved to build a 9 storey apartment complex.</p> <p>I would hereby like to express my utter dismay about the lack of consultation by the Developers and also by the City of Melville, and I would hereby like to raise the following concerns related to the rezoning of Kardinya.</p> <p>Over the past 15 years I have seen massive changes to our neighbourhood such as a increase in the volume of traffic on South Street, and I foresee a massive increase in parking issues on surrounding streets, as well as a further increase in traffic noise for the residents of Kardinya that have properties bordering on South Street.</p> <p>An increase in the number of storeys would have an imminent impact on privacy of residents bordering on the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre.</p> <p>As a Rate Payer I am begrudged and would like to express my dissatisfaction with the Council of the City of Melville.</p> <p>Before approving this application, please put yourself in the position of the Rate-Payers of Kardinya</p>	<p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p> <p>Public consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 with the City applying State Government COVID exemptions to enable extension of the advertising period from the usual maximum statutory period of 28 days to the maximum allowable 42 days.</p> <p>The City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to all properties within the proposed ACP boundary, properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP, properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included within the proposed ACP. As the submitters property is not within this area a letter was not sent to that property.</p> <p>The City has a statutory obligation to consider the ACP proposal and provide a report with a recommendation to the WAPC which is the determining authority.</p>
200	O	<p>I would like to submit my strong objection to the rezoning of the Kardinya area submitted by the developers of the Kardinya shopping centre.</p> <p>Increase in traffic would be enormous.</p> <p>The demographics of the area will change with high rise developments as will the socio economic profile of the area change. This would not be conducive to the already established community environmental profile of the area.</p> <p>This change would also devalue our homes.</p> <p>I strongly object to this submission in its entirety.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted.</p>
201	S	<p>I am a Melville Ratepayer and write in support of the proposed Kardinya District Centre Activity Centre Plan (ACP).</p> <p>The reasons for my support are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The ACP will allow for greater housing diversity within the precinct.</li> <li>2. It will also assist in supporting the redevelopment of the retail and commercial properties within the centre.</li> </ol>	Noted.



		<p>3. It will bring with it long-term infrastructure improvements including: footpaths, street trees, public transport and lighting improvements.</p> <p>4. The attached analysis by Colliers reveals the potential for value-uplift in surrounding areas. In summary this advises;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The proposed redevelopment and expansion of the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre will provide a significant uplift in amenity to the area</li> <li>• The presence of an entertainment precinct centred around a new cinema complex, food and beverage offering, medical precinct, additional supermarket and specialty retail tenancies will provide an additional attraction to the catchment area.</li> <li>• The benefit from completion of the centre redevelopment proximity and the resultant increase in amenity is expected to positively affect values to the immediate surrounding area.</li> <li>• The Activity Centre Plan, as provided, generally affords an increased densification from the existing zoning which will also assist to accommodate the sector of the market that values co-location and proximity to the revitalized and expanded centre.</li> <li>• The analyzed Activity Plan and Structure Plan areas comprising the Riseley Centre Structure Plan, Melville District Activity Centre and Melville City Centre Structure Plan, have predominantly reflected increases in property values over the period from initial public notification to final endorsement by the Western Australian Planning Commission.</li> <li>• The value outcomes for properties within these areas varied, dependent on the previous zoning and the final approved zoning, the highest and best use of the site and the ability to offer contiguous allotments to 'unlock' a suitable development outcome.</li> <li>• Strat titled residential properties showed value uplifts of up to 20%, with single residences, located on green titled allotments and having development potential, showed values uplifts of up to 60%.</li> </ul> <p>5. It is likely to hasten the redevelopment of the "old Lot 16" neighbouring property to the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre.</p> <p>Please note that my firm 'Metway Real Estate' manages both the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre and also premises in the commercial complex at 19 South St, Kardinya.</p>	<p>It is noted that the other Activity/Structure plans referenced do not promote densities as high as has been designated in the proposed Kardinya ACP with the highest density being R100 in the Melville City Centre Structure Plan and lower densities in more comparable ACP's at Melville District and Riseley Centre.</p>
202	O	<p>I am very much against rezoning and to the excessive height of buildings, and overlooking privacy issue results from high buildings in residential areas like Kardinya and surrounding suburbs.</p> <p>Developers look after there own interests and then go to their next location, all they are interested in is to make money at the expense of local people and family friendly areas.</p> <p>As usual a poor consultation process by developers so they have less resistance to do what they want not what the people and the area wants, the increase in the number of residence and traffic is also a concern for a family friendly environment.</p>	<p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
203	O	<p>I strongly object to the rezoning of parts of Kardinya as outlined by the developers of the Kardinya Shopping Centre in their application for an Activity Centre Plan for the Kardinya District Centre.</p> <p>The reasons for my objection are as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The proposed rezoning will increase the height of the buildings in the suburb and therefore the density of the suburb</li> <li>2. There will be increased traffic flow on small, quiet suburban streets</li> <li>3. The suburb will lose portions of tree canopies that will impact shade, birdlife, the natural environment and increase temperatures</li> <li>4. There will be adverse changes to the family-friendly character and demographics of Kardinya</li> <li>5. There will be overlooking and privacy issues as a result of the higher buildings</li> </ol>	<p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>

		<p>I have lived in Kardinya for the past nine years and have loved being part of such a quiet suburb. I have had my three children while living in my house and place great value on them growing up in Kardinya the way it currently is. I do not wish for these changes to take place and ask that you please strongly consider my objections.</p>	<p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted.</p>
204	O	<p>I am a resident and rate payer of the City of Melville and live in Kardinya.</p> <p>I strongly object to the rezoning of parts of Kardinya as outlined by the developers of the Kardinya Shopping Centre in their application for an Activity Centre Plan for the Kardinya District Centre.</p> <p>The reasons for my objection are as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The proposed rezoning will increase the height of the buildings in the suburb and therefore the density of the suburb</li> <li>2. There will be increased traffic flow on small, quiet suburban streets</li> <li>3. The suburb will lose portions of tree canopies that will impact shade, birdlife, the natural environment and increase temperatures</li> <li>4. There will be adverse changes to the family-friendly character and demographics of Kardinya</li> <li>5. There will be overlooking and privacy issues as a result of the higher buildings</li> </ol> <p>I have lived in Kardinya for the past nine years and have loved being part of such a quiet suburb. I have had my three children while living in my house and place great value on them growing up in Kardinya the way it currently is. I do not wish for these changes to take place and ask that you please strongly consider my objections.</p>	<p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted.</p>
205	O	<p>I just found out about the plans for Kardinya Shopping Centre that have been approved and the plan to re-zone parts of my suburb, Kardinya, without reasonable consultation over an extended period of time. I am very angry that you have kept these proposed changes relatively quiet - each Kardinya resident should have received a letter to announce proposals. I certainly did not receive notification; this is a grave lack of care and I would wonder why things are being done this way.</p> <p>I understand that the developers of Kardinya Shopping Centre are not satisfied with their greed, and hope to develop the shopping centre site with a possible 15-20 storey apartment development which they might be able to do, contingent if parts of Kardinya are rezoned. It appears that the rezoning of parts of Kardinya to include 3- 5 storey high rise apartments in our residential areas is concern for the profits of developers rather than the health, safety and atmosphere of Kardinya residents. I have only just heard about this rezoning. I believe you have not fulfilled your obligation to let Kardinya residents know of this change and it should be the residents who are affected who should decide, not councilors who couldn't care as they live in areas unaffected. See what would happen if you chose some areas of Applecross away from Canning Highway, or other suburbs distant from either Leach Highway or Canning Highway - they would want to preserve their own environments free from developer encroachment. I am not happy with what you plan and how you have gone about it.</p> <p>I am totally opposed to rezoning Kardinya, aside from what has already been approved for the development of Kardinya Shopping Centre, with a limit of 9 storeys to the apartment complex there.</p> <p>My reasons are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Overcrowding which leads to the degradation of the environment.</li> <li>2. More noise from the street and cars.</li> <li>3. Once this rezoning has occurred, we shall be fodder for developers and that will change the nature and feeling of the suburb. Look at the ugly mess in Victoria Park.</li> <li>4. Parking will become an issue, especially with an increase in visitors to these apartment blocks.</li> <li>5. We still have patches of nature - rezoning means the further degradation of our environment, especially of bird life and important shade.</li> </ol>	<p>Public consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 with the City applying State Government COVID exemptions to enable extension of the advertising period from the usual maximum statutory period of 28 days to the maximum allowable 42 days.</p> <p>The City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to all properties within the proposed ACP boundary, properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP, properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included within the proposed ACP. As the submitters property is not within this area a letter was not sent to that property.</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>

		<p>6. I do not believe that developers care about the residents, and this greed will take off with further degradation for the sake of money. It doesn't look like you are concerned about this.</p> <p>I am totally opposed to the rezoning of Kardinya in any way. Also, you had plenty of time to connect with the ratepayers of our area, and you haven't done so in a timely way to allow true transparency. Would you like a 5 storey apartment block to be built next to you with almost no consultation? I hardly think so. Melville had a reputation for caring for its residents; I have doubts now.</p>	<p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p>
206	O	<p>I am strongly against this proposed ACP. We chose to build a new family home here after careful consideration and our decision was based on this being a quiet, family friendly area of Kardinya where we felt safe. Proposed dwellings of up to 5 storeys high do not in any way fit into this neighbourhood and would cause a huge increase in traffic congestion and pollution to say the least. Myself and my family do NOT support this project.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
207	O	<p>I am writing to express my strong objections to the proposed Kardinya Activity Plan, specifically the re-zoning to allow 4 storey building in the residential area.</p> <p>I moved to Kardinya when I was 6-weeks old and my family found, not only a house, but a street to call home. I was lucky enough to grow up in Hodgson place, a street with a wonderful cluster of trees, grass oval and now a small playground on my doorstep.</p> <p>Growing up, the parks of Kardinya were an extension of my back yard. I rode my bike around the streets with friends; I walked to Kardinya Primary School and have played at Melville City Hockey Club since I was 5. My street and its surroundings are peaceful, and parents let their children play in the park with their neighbours constantly, with full knowledge that they are safe.</p> <p>The proposed Kardinya Activity Plan will completely alter the atmosphere of Kardinya, from the one that we all love.</p> <p>The building of 4 storey apartment blocks and dwellings will lock in the homes of so many residents and the lives they have built in the community. My family has rebuilt on our block, adding further renovations such as solar panels and a pool, in order remain in the street we love. If the planned proposals go ahead, these will be over-shadowed and in plain view of multi-story dwellings, directly affecting our right to privacy and removing the sustainable contributions we have made.</p> <p>Currently, we have a suburb of greenery and beautiful clusters of trees filled with birds. The increased development in the suburban streets will replace the regular sounds of kookaburras with that of increased road traffic and anti-social behavior. The increased road traffic and parking requirements, as a result of this intense development, not only exceeds the capacity of current streets but also endangers children as they play in the local streets and parks. My family has not only created a home at number 7 Hodgson place but sense of community among our neighbours. For Anzac Day we held an intimate ceremony, which included a street orchestra, with some of the streets oldest members wearing their medals alongside residents still in kindergarten. Last week our street had a community barbeque with mulled wine in a resident’s driveway while watching the children play basketball in the street.</p> <p>That is the community Kardinya is and the one that we all love. The proposed Kardinya Activity Plan not only jeopardizes the emotional and financial investments that my family has made in our home at number 7 Hodgson Place,</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>

		<p>but also in the broader community that our little street is only a small part of.</p> <p>I request that the Council ceases any proposed rezoning activities for this area and submit my objections.</p>	<p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>The City has a statutory obligation to consider the ACP proposal and provide a report with a recommendation to the WAPC which is the determining authority.</p>
208	O	<p>I am worried about the scale of this development; I think the apartments shouldn't be as high as planned in the ACP.</p> <p>So many high buildings will make the area feel crowded and dark. I also think the scale of the development will result in a loss of tree canopy in the area. Kardinya needs more trees, not less.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p>
209	O	<p>The proposal to rezone parts of Kardinya will greatly impact our lives negatively and we strongly oppose due to the reasons stated below:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Increased population density will change the demographic of Kardinya being a family-friendly and quiet neighbourhood. High density zones worsen traffic conditions, reduce air quality and increases noise pollution. All of these have long-lasting impacts on our health. Our local area has a relatively high proportion of elderlies and the planning committee must take into consideration the impacts on their wellbeing.</li> <li>2. Buildings above double storeys would change the natural environment/landscape in our neighbourhood and also affect the privacy of the occupants. This affects our sense of freedom and indirectly, our mental health.</li> <li>3. Overcrowding of suburban streets will further remove space available for recreational use. Recently subdivision activities have already led to reduction in green spaces and tree coverage as lot size gets smaller. At times increased traffic and parking issues are already problematic on local streets. The plan does not at all address environment offsets.</li> </ol> <p>We feel that the Melville Council and the developers have not allowed for sufficient time for local residents to express their concerns and the consultation process has been non-existent and opaque. There has been no targeted communications with the residents in the zone.</p>	<p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Public consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 with the</p>

		<p>The Melville Council cannot even fix power outages that are becoming more frequent every year. The solution is not to introduce more power users.</p>	<p>City applying State Government COVID exemptions to enable extension of the advertising period from the usual maximum statutory period of 28 days to the maximum allowable 42 days.</p> <p>The City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to all properties within the proposed ACP boundary, properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP, properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included within the proposed ACP.</p> <p>This is not a matter under the control of the City.</p>
210	O	<p>I have reviewed the proposed rezoning of Kardinya have a number of concerns.</p> <p>Firstly, I consider that the consultation process by the developers has been poor at best. I saw adverts on Facebook and in the centre itself that proposal development was underway and then was sent the proposal today. While I would like to see the shopping centre, which is a bit dilapidated, redeveloped I do not see the need to increase the height of the building beyond nine storeys. That would already be the tallest building in the immediate surroundings and taller than buildings in large institutions like Murdoch University and Fiona Stanley Hospital and the Health Campus. Increasing the height to build more apartments would increase traffic and noise and create parking issues, overcrowd the suburb and possibly exceed the capacity of local schools (particularly Kardinya Primary).</p> <p>My main objection, however, is the proposed increase in the height of buildings outside of the shopping centre and in the quiet, low-density residential area. I think the maximum height for residential buildings beyond the shopping centre site should be two storeys. There is extensive scientific literature on the positive benefits of greenspace and 'boxing in' the greenspace with buildings in excess of the current two storeys would significantly diminish the social amenity of the park. It would also have a detrimental impact on the floral and fauna communities.</p> <p>Please reconsider the building height for the areas surrounding the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site and cap these at two storeys maximum to maintain the family friendly and relaxed atmosphere of the greenspaces, shopping centre and the suburb in general.</p>	<p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
211	O	<p>I am writing to advise you of my concerns over the planned redevelopment of Kardinya.</p> <p>I live on a small subdivided block in Tanner Place and I brought this house because it was in a quiet, safe location. I have been in this house for 20 years and am not looking to move.</p> <p>My block is currently private but if the plans submitted are approved there is the potential for the property to be overshadowed and overlooked on 3 and a half sides.</p> <p>I don't want to lose my natural sunlight inside the house and nor do I want people looking in on my family through windows, in our garden and swimming pool.</p> <p>My main concerns are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• loss of privacy</li> <li>• being over shadowed and losing natural light</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• new house being built right on the fence lines</li> <li>• increase in traffic and too many cars parking in the back streets</li> <li>• difficulty pulling out onto Gilbertson Rd due to the increased traffic</li> <li>• increase in crime</li> </ul> <p>I think Kardinya shops should be re-developed but not with a 20 story apartment block. This is too large for this area was many people have spent good money already redeveloping properties.</p> <p>Please keep the apartment block at the shops to a maximum of 9 stories and the surrounding streets behind the shops to a maximum of 2 stories only.</p>	<p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p>
212	S	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Support the ACP.</li> <li>- Will allow for greater housing diversity in the precinct.</li> <li>- Will support the redevelopment of properties in the centre.</li> <li>- Will bring long term infrastructure improvements including footpaths, street trees, public transport and lighting.</li> <li>- Attached report by Colliers reveals potential uplift for area. In summary this indicates that; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The proposed redevelopment and expansion of the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre will provide a significant uplift in amenity to the area</li> <li>• The presence of an entertainment precinct centred around a new cinema complex, food and beverage offering, medical precinct, additional supermarket and specialty retail tenancies will provide an additional attraction to the catchment area.</li> <li>• The benefit from completion of the centre redevelopment proximity and the resultant increase in amenity is expected to positively affect values to the immediate surrounding area.</li> <li>• The Activity Centre Plan, as provided, generally affords an increased densification from the existing zoning which will also assist to accommodate the sector of the market that values co-location and proximity to the revitalized and expanded centre.</li> <li>• The analyzed Activity Plan and Structure Plan areas comprising the Riseley Centre Structure Plan, Melville District Activity Centre and Melville City Centre Structure Plan, have predominantly reflected increases in property values over the period from initial public notification to final endorsement by the Western Australian Planning Commission.</li> <li>• The value outcomes for properties within these areas varied, dependent on the previous zoning and the final approved zoning, the highest and best use of the site and the ability to offer contiguous allotments to ‘unlock’ a suitable development outcome.</li> <li>• Strat titled residential properties showed value uplifts of up to 20%, with single residences, located on green titled allotments and having development potential, showed values uplifts of up to 60%.</li> </ul> </li> <li>- Density increases should be focused on activity and transport nodes such as Kardinya Park Shopping Centre.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>It is noted that the other Activity/Structure plans referenced do not promote densities as high as has been designated in the proposed Kardinya ACP with the highest density being R100 in the Melville City Centre Structure Plan and lower densities in more comparable ACP’s at Melville District and Riseley Centre.</p>
213	S	<p>Please consider this a letter of support for the Draft Kardinya District Centre Activity Centre Plan.</p> <p>Any constructive initiative that may result in more trade has my support; it is my understanding this will result in more people living near the centre.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>
214	O	<p>I’m writing to you as I’m concern over the increase traffic issues on Gilbertson Road, which is already fairly busy as a residential street. With the Kardinya ACP, and expected increase in living density, what are the plans to ensure Gilbertson Road’s traffic is sustainable?</p>	<p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
215	O	<p>The rezoning to build multi storey apartments will affect the suburb in many negative ways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Increased traffic to quiet suburban streets, thus increasing the pollution in the suburb.</li> <li>- Destruction to natural flora/and green spaces surrounding the suburb. Negatively affecting the native wildlife and harming the habitats of the endangered Carnaby Black Cockatoo; listed by both the Federal and State Government.</li> <li>- An increase to population will also see a rise in crime, damaging the safe reputation Kardinya has.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>

		I implore you, the Melville City Council, to listen to these objections and to the residents of Kardinya.	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The City has a statutory obligation to consider the ACP proposal and provide a report with a recommendation to the WAPC which is the determining authority.</p>
216	O	<p>I am writing today to strongly object to Kardinya being rezoned.</p> <p>I don't believe that the apartments at the new shopping centre should be more than 9 storeys.</p> <p>The residential area should never be over 2 storeys high.</p> <p>This is not why us locals live in Kardinya, if we wanted to live in high rises we would live in the city.</p>	<p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
217	O	<p>I write to oppose the proposed Kardinya Activity Centre, both because of the damage it will do to our community and because it represents a destructive precedent for community planning in Western Australia. I understand that State Government legislation permits developers to submit such proposals. Unquestionably, that unfortunate provision will have to be dealt with as an issue in the next state election. In the interim, however, Melville City Council has an obligation to live up to its commitment to residents to promote community wellbeing rather than the profit-oriented interests of property developers. My specific objections to the proposal itself and the procedures through which it has been put forward are set out below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The 'consultation' process for the Kardinya Activity Centre was both inadequate and inappropriate. The information sessions held by the proponents were promotional not consultative. Information provided was biased toward the purported advantages of the development and excluded consideration of the massive impact it would have on the character of the community and the overdevelopment of an area already experiencing heavy levels of traffic congestion along South Street and substantial intensification of residential development through local subdivision and medium density housing estates nearby (Kardinya Heights and Gallery).</li> <li>2) The literature and presentations by the developers suggest that they are acting on behalf of Melville City and fulfilling a requirement for urbanising the suburb. In fact, the information letter from the City of Melville is worded in such a way as to suggest that such cooperation between the City and developers may indeed be the case. The letter from the City of Melville dated 20 July, 2020 states that: "The City has received a proposed Activity Centre Plan (ACP) for the Kardinya District Centre. Upon finalisation this will form the main town planning document for this centre and will provide the planning framework to guide future development of the District Centre." In my view the letter gives an entirely improper impression that this document or some modified version of the proposed ACP 'will' form the basis of Melville City Planning for our community. Such privileging of private interests cannot be a legitimate basis for community planning and decision-making.</li> <li>3) The fact that the initial Kardinya Shopping Centre and apartment complex was approved prior to consideration of the Activity Centre Plan for Kardinya is another disturbing procedural issue that reflects the general marginalisation of public participation in planning. Again, that development proposal was poorly publicised, with only a very short period to make submissions. The developers pitched redevelopment of the Kardinya shopping centre, which most residents who were aware of the project approved, but underplayed the inclusion of the high rise apartment complex, which many of those who supported the shopping centre</li> </ol>	<p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Public consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 with the City applying State Government COVID exemptions to enable extension of the advertising period from the usual maximum statutory period of 28 days to the maximum allowable 42 days.</p> <p>The City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to all properties within the proposed ACP boundary, properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP, properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included</p>

		<p>redevelopment did not wish for their suburb.</p> <p>Despite deputation on behalf of residents - and what I understood from listening to the deliberations of the Joint Development Assessment Panel (JDAP) was Melville Council's recommendation that consideration of the development be deferred until an Activity Plan could be determined - the development was approved. Notably the vote was 3 to 2, with one of Melville's own Councillors, Matthew Woodall, casting a vote which resulted in approval. While he indicated some ambivalence during the discussion, he finally justified support on the supposed concession of a very minor reduction in apartment complex height from 12 to 9 storeys, which is entirely out of scale for a suburban area. Unlike duplex, unit or low rise apartment complexes (maximum 3 storeys), high rise developments are not appropriate for families, are not in keeping with friendly neighbourhood relationships that underpin genuine communities, and will undermine the natural aesthetic of the Kardinya area which borders important wetlands, and has an exceptional canopy of mature and old growth native vegetation.</p> <p>It is the role of our elected Council and officials employed by the City to provide for community wellbeing. The main objective of property developers is to maximise profits. These are two fundamentally different and often incompatible values.</p> <p>I sincerely request that the City of Melville oppose the Activity Centre proposal in the interests of the community of Kardinya, and also that the City undertake a thorough review of policies and practices on consultation and District Centre planning.</p>	<p>within the proposed ACP.</p> <p>As an ACP is required for a district centre under SPP 4.2 the City letter referred to is simply informing that when an ACP is adopted for the Kardinya district centre (whatever form it might take) it will then be the main guiding document for the district centre as per the requirements of SPP 4.2 as this is what the State Planning Framework requires.</p> <p>The City has a statutory obligation to consider the ACP proposal and provide a report with a recommendation to the WAPC which is the determining authority and is simply following the processes required under the regulations.</p> <p>District centre [planning is controlled by the above referenced regulations and the wider State Planning Framework, in particular State Planning Policy 4.2. Responsibility for these rests with the State Government and the City is required to apply and comply with these in planning for district centres.</p>
218	O	<p>Having lived in Kardinya for the past thirty three years and we wish to register our strong objection to the proposed re-zoning of a large part of Kardinya to allow 3-5 storey apartments in what are currently residential areas.</p> <p>Firstly we wish to point out that the developers proposing these changes have had a minimal community consultation process and clearly are not representative of the ratepayers and residents of Kardinya. We seek to have no further increase in the height of the shopping centre apartments above the already allowed nine storeys. Similarly we believe there should be increase in the height of buildings in the Kardinya residential area.</p>	<p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>



		<p>Currently these are pleasant, green, rather narrow suburban streets with substantial tree coverage. Building 3-5 storey apartments will lead issues with increased traffic congestion, parking issues and major problems with privacy due to overlooking considerations. The loss of tree canopy will affect the natural environment reducing shade and birdlife.</p> <p>The family friendly character and demographic of Kardinya will be changed to a more urbanised and overcrowded zone. Fundamentally we do not believe the current layout of the streets can satisfactorily accomodate 3-5 storey buildings and that a simpler infill process will be more acceptable while achieving a satisfactory level of increased urban density as the population gradually increases. Please note that most recent predictions of population growth are substantially down from predictions of a few years ago.</p> <p>We urge rejection of the current proposal in favour of a much more inclusive community consultation about the future of Kardinya with a greater contribution from the current residents and ratepayers and much less from developers who have so far shown little understanding of the needs and aspirations of the Kardinya community.</p>	<p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p>
219	O	<p>I am writing today to strongly object to Kardinya being rezoned.</p> <p>I don't believe that the apartments at the new shopping centre should be more than 9 storeys.</p> <p>The residential area's should never be over 2 storeys high.</p> <p>This is not why us locals live in Kardinya, if we wanted to live in high rises we would live in the city.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
220	N	<p>We would like to be considered for the re-zoning R80 to the area due the proposal put forward from the owners of the Kardinya Shopping Center. If the proposal was done the City of Melville we would have been considered and included but due it been privately done we have not been included. So due to this reason we are asking to be added to the re-zoning of the area.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The submitter’s property is located approximately 445m west of the district centre.</p>
221	O	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Opposing rezoning of all dwellings within the 400 metre radius surrounding the shopping centre or any high rise dwellings in the area.</li> <li>- We the residents of Kardinya have major concerns regarding the application lodged for rezoning in our area.</li> <li>- We fiercely oppose this application, we believe as home owners that zoning for our area should remain at a maximum of two storeys high.</li> <li>- The shopping centre development should not be permitted to increase the apartment height beyond the approved maximum of the 9 storeys which residents feel is more than we were hoping for.</li> <li>- We feel we have an inadequate and misleading consultation by the developers with the community, had the residents known what the repercussions were from the revamping of the shopping centre we would have opposed it, knowing now what we have learned about rezoning of the blocks in the area.</li> </ul> <p>Major concerns and issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Lack of exposure from either the developer and or the councils proposal for this rezoning to include an Activity Centre Hub around the expansion of Kardinya Shopping Centre.</li> <li>- Residents do not feel there is a benefit to them with the ACP in the area and the beneficiaries will be the developers/investors.</li> <li>- Proposed rezoning aims to increase height of buildings and density of our suburb.</li> <li>- Increased traffic flow and vehicle emissions plus traffic noise in our quiet s uburb &amp; streets will adversely affect our lifestyle and enjoyment of our area.</li> <li>- Street parking will become prevalent due to higher density living.</li> <li>- Loss of trees and flora with loss of bird life</li> <li>- Loss of privacy in our family friendly suburb will affect our lifestyle.</li> <li>- The impact on local residents if rezoning goes ahead will have an adverse affect on our lifestyle.</li> <li>- Questions if any of the apartments to be allocated / sold to the State Housing Commission.</li> </ul>	<p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p>

			<p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted Noted</p> <p>Unknown</p>
222	S	<p>Please consider this a letter of support for the Draft Kardinya District Centre Activity Centre Plan; most particularly we support increased population density near the centre.</p>	Noted.
223	O	<p>I would like to register my objections to the Melville Council approving multi storey developments and rezoning within the Melville area. Already rezoning has occurred allowing dividing of blocks within the shire therefore I feel there is no need for more multi storey apartments to be built.</p> <p>I have been advised that the development in Kardinya is looking for approval of 15-20 storey apartments. I believe this is totally unacceptable in Melville.</p> <p>My reasons objections are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- NO PRIVACY Very intrusive of privacy with 100's of verandah's looking down on the existing homes.</li> <li>- NOISE Especially at night time whilst tenants are sitting out on their verandah socialising /smoking.</li> <li>- LIGHT Large buildings will put homes around them in the shade for most of the day.</li> <li>- VALUES Values of surrounding homes will fall.</li> <li>- FIRE RISK How will the Fire Department cope with a multi storey building – as in the UK Grenfell Tower they could not reach the top of the building.</li> <li>- TRAFFIC More traffic in a very busy part of South Street and North Lake Road.</li> </ul> <p>I believe there is no need for this in Melville and think no one including all the councilors and developers would like this to be built near them. This is just a form of revenue raising.</p>	<p>A private landowner has prepared the proposed ACP. The City has a statutory obligation to consider all ACP applications. The decision maker is the Western Australian Planning Commission. The City will provide a report to the WAPC recommending a decision the City considers is appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p>
224	O	<p>Do not support the proposed ACP seeking to expand residential zoning increases to the dramatic extent sought by the proponent which serves to only justify the increase in retail NLA (RNLA) sought within the shopping centre site. Please refer to attached document outlining basis for objection.</p> <p>Request that the proposal is amended to:</p> <p>Modify the proposed R-Code Plan to reduce the expected residential dwelling yield by 50%. I.e. 1,216 dwellings →608 dwellings;</p> <p>Amend to the total RNLA cap being sought over the shopping centre site to reflect the reduced forecast growth in floorspace need in the trade area. We expect this would require the City to perform their own independent Retail Needs Assessment to review the assumptions made in justifying the increase in RNLA.</p> <p>Traffic Impact</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Kardinya centre is identified as a district centre in SPP 4.2 which requires amongst other items identification of residential densities within the ACP area to deliver dwelling targets. The proposed densities are being assessed to determine the level of compliance with these requirements under SPP 4.2.</p> <p>A RSA was prepared and subjected to a peer review process as part of the JDAP determination of the application for redevelopment of the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site.</p>

		<p>We submit that the increase in traffic volumes generated by the substantial extent and nature of anticipated expansion and development on the surrounding road network will be contrary to reasonable expectation of residents given road widening on Gilbertson Road is tabled in the ACP to manage traffic impact. The impact on traffic safety, pedestrian convenience and amenity of residential area surrounding the shopping centre is likely to exacerbate existing inadequacies and congestion of Gilbertson Road.</p> <p>The applicant TIA analysis concludes that the intersection of South Street and Gilbertson Road may deteriorate due to the increase in regional traffic. The applicant's response is to require the widening of Gilbertson Road with land taking of private land being the appropriate response to facilitate the additional RNLA. At the same time the TIA apparently concludes that there will be a predicted lowered car dependency suggesting the performance of this intersection (Gilbertson/South) could improve citing a 'potential' decrease in 60% possibly occurring per household.</p> <p>We find the assumptions made in the TIA and ACP justification are entirely academic bear little regard to pragmatic considerations such as the use of public transport, as well as the viability of apartment development and the need to amalgamate sites to achieve plot ratio available under R-Codes Volume 2 and the financial barrier to amalgamation. The increased densities proposed in the ACP are self-servicing and at the expense of the amenity of the location.</p> <p>ACP Preparation Lacking Independence</p> <p>The estimates of the future number of lots/dwellings in the area covered by the plan and the extent to which the ACP provides for additional dwellings is flawed. A substantial length of Gibertson Road, is zoned R50 and has enjoyed this density for some time. Despite this, the utilisation of this additional density available has been very low. Justifying the increase in retail floor space on the assumption the rezoning of the surrounding areas will lead to full utilisation is flawed. The City of Melville must seek independent qualified advice to review the assumptions made in the applicants technical reports which has supporting the preparation of the ACP</p> <p>We appreciate the opportunity to comment on the proposed development at Proposed Activity Centre Plan for Kardinya District Centre and trust that the submission will be given proper, genuine, and realistic consideration. In determining the suitability of the ACP, the question before City, is whether anticipated dwellings growth assumed will be actually created, or whether it will lead to fragmented development in the catchment area. We submit that the ACP proposed incorrectly anticipates a level of growth to support an increase in RNLA that will not be achieved.</p>	<p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Kardinya centre is identified as a district centre in SPP 4.2 which requires amongst other items identification of residential densities within the ACP area to deliver dwelling targets. The proposed densities are being assessed to determine the level of compliance with the requirements under SPP 4.2.</p>
225	O	<p>I am writing to express my concerns with high rise development in the Kardinya District.</p> <p>One concern is the increase in the traffic on South Street and Gilbertson Road. More traffic lights stop the flow of traffic. Already in Kardinya when a dwelling is demolished, we have three places (units) built. Most places have more than one vehicle.</p> <p>Already we have approval for nine storey apartments (units) in the shopping area. Does everyone want to live on major roads? I believe that there are many apartments vacant between here and Fremantle.</p> <p>For the council or planning committee to approve three level apartments on the average block in Kardinya will certainly change the character of Kardinya and not for the better.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>The City has a statutory obligation to consider the ACP proposal and provide a report with a recommendation to the WAPC which is the determining authority.</p>
226	O	<p>I moved here to be in a quiet area no overcrowding no problems with traffic, parking, overlooking issues, visual nasty buildings at silly heights. This proposal would introduce different non friendly demographic type of people increasing burglary, robbing and attacks on the aged and vulnerable.</p> <p>I strongly object to height of the apartments proposed and be left at 9 storey at shopping centre and no increase in height of buildings in Kardinya (No 3-5 storey).</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p>

227	O	I strongly object to re-zoning our suburb to allow these taller buildings. This suburb is ALREADY one of the most highly populated suburbs south of the river and there are very few of the original blocks left. Almost every house has already been knocked down and TWO built in its place. People need space to breathe and to exist. This is a suburb not an inner city housing project. Please do not allow this to happen.	SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.
228	O	I have watched with excitement the news of the shopping centre redevelopment; however the current application to re zone the centre to 15-20 storeys, and the surround to 5 storeys has me very concerned. The ensuing traffic chaos and congestion, huge loss of vegetation are a major concern for me at an already busy intersection. I urge you to please not approve the current proposed rezoning by developers.	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>The City has a statutory obligation to consider the ACP proposal and provide a report with a recommendation to the WAPC which is the determining authority.</p>
229	O	<p>I object to the re zoning of Kardinya for these reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Excessive height at shopping centre - stay at 9 stories.</li> <li>- No increase of height in residential area.</li> <li>- Increased traffic a concern.</li> <li>- Overlooking privacy issues.</li> <li>- Overcrowding</li> </ul>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
230	O	<p>I wish to state that I strongly oppose the ACP being considered for Kardinya. The consultation process has been very poor and local people have had to step in with their own time and at their own cost in order to advise as many residents as possible about what is happening.</p> <p>I object to the height of the shopping precinct apartments being increased from the present 9 storeys and I object to the R Codes being changed to allow high rise within the suburb. The traffic issues in the area are serious and such an increase in population will make this situation worse.</p> <p>The streets are narrow and there are many cul-de-sacs which will make the parking difficult. Kardinya already has</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Public consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 with the City applying State Government COVID exemptions to enable extension of the advertising period from the usual maximum statutory period of 28 days to the maximum allowable 42 days.</p> <p>The City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of</p>

		considerable urban infill and there already has parking issues because of this. We do not need anything that will make this matter worse.	<p>Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to all properties within the proposed ACP boundary, properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP, properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included within the proposed ACP.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
231	O	<p>I am totally opposed to the ACP being considered for the suburb of Kardinya. Kardinya has been our home for 39 years and has always been a family friendly quiet suburb.</p> <p>In recent years considerable urban infill has occurred and the community has adapted well to the changes. Young families have returned to the community and this has been encouraging. However, some parking issues have occurred. South Street is a busy highway currently very crowded and with the Fiona Stanley developments traffic flow will be increasing. The introduction of high rise into our community will change the family friendly nature of the suburb and the traffic and parking issues will increase significantly. Our area will be very overcrowded and the traffic increase will not suit the many cul-de-sacs in Kardinya. High rise is better associated with inner city living, not suburbs. People attracted to suburban life tend to be families, and singles and couples without children tend to be attracted to high rise apartments. Leave Kardinya for families and take the high rise to city areas.</p> <p>I am totally opposed to the height of the apartments within the shopping centre being increased – 9 storeys is already too high.</p> <p>I am totally opposed to the ACP and the rezoning of Kardinya.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p>
232		<p>I strongly object to rezoning for 3 - 5 storeys high rise apartments in Kardinya’s residential areas.</p> <p>That there will also be no increase in the height of the approved 9 storeys Apartment complex at the shopping centre</p> <p>The changes will have an adverse impact on the family-friendly character of the suburb. Recent information on high rise apartments showed poor outcomes for the surrounding environment and birdlife.</p>	<p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p>
233	O	<p>Impacts incurred by the proposal involve:</p> <p>Traffic</p> <p>I drive to work in Applecross each morning and have seen the impact of the new high rise apartments there. Traffic has been degraded since the establishment, making the duration to travel 500 meters on Canning highway is longer than the rest of my trip from Kardinya. I often have to take detours through quiet Applecross streets to avoid the traffic.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>

		<p>If the development takes place, the traffic will flow onto existing quiet suburb streets while causing similar congestion.</p> <p>Identity of the neighbourhood</p> <p>The proposal challenges the identity of Kardinya. Currently Kardinya is seen as a family suburb, a place that I was raised and plan to raise my own family in, with quiet parks such as Morris Buzzacot and the plethora of birdlife in Frederick Baldwin park. The proposal changes the very identity of the neighbourhood from a family suburb to a high density urban area based on feeding demand into the future shopping centre. Many of the residents chose to buy into and live in Kardinya due to this quiet family identity, if we wanted to live in a dense apartment filled suburb, Claremont may be more appropriate. Considerations will be made on the impact on local resources and services such as schools and medical facilities and the changes in the demography of the residents.</p> <p>Aesthetics</p> <p>Similar rezoning has occurred near Garden City Booragoon, we see the results of this on Riseley Street. One storey houses sandwiched by two austere five storey apartments. Loose laundry dries on the front balcony of the apartments, while a for sale sign stays fixed outside the apartments like a permanent landmark waiting to sell “the dream”. This is not the future and image of Kardinya that we want.</p> <p>Benefits to the community</p> <p>The cons outweigh the benefits to the community. The proposal cites a benefit in the rise in our house property prices from the development and opportunities monetary to profit but I can see very little actual benefit to the local community. We have been fed with misleading and inadequate consultation by the developers prior to this - initial understanding was for only a revamp of the shopping center with no consultation with the community or having their interest in mind. It was only recently understood after reading through over 100 pages of the proposal that they plan to build over nine story in the area. The email provided in the information letter also doesn't work (planningsubmission@melville.wa.gov.au). If there is a lack of feedback, that may be a factor.</p> <p>I hope in the future I can wake up in the morning and have a coffee in my backyard to be able to see over the horizon without apartments looming over, I hope to go for my daily walks to the quiet parks without stopping to dodge traffic, and I hope my concerns and the concerns of the community are taken heed to in the approval process as the changes are irreversible.</p>	<p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p>
234	O	<p>I strongly disagree with the proposed Activity Centre Plan which in truth is just rezoning and I do not believe as a community we need this rezoning. I do not want to lose this lovely leafy suburb in which I live. I do not believe that we need the extra shops, pool, cinemas or apartments as it will only increase traffic and Kardinya Shopping is busy enough now without more traffic.</p>	<p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
235	O	<p>This development is absolutely ridiculous. The roads will get way more congested and will not be able to cope. The heights of the buildings are too excessive and should only be 2 storeys to let the sunlight through. The suburb will be too overcrowded and have major parking issues. Children won't have any backyards to play in. Loss of trees will impact on the birds and nature.</p>	<p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
236	O	<p>I have been a resident homeowner in Kardinya for over 10 years. I strongly object to the excessive height of proposed apartments.</p> <p>There should be no increase to the current 9 storey apartments at the shopping centre.</p> <p>In addition, the height of buildings in Kardinya residential area should definitely not be increased. I am concerned this</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p>

		<p>will overcrowd the suburb and increase traffic congestion already projected with the Kardinya Park redevelopment.</p> <p>Please do not rezone Kardinya.</p>	<p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p>
237	O	<p>I strongly disagree with the ACP for Kardinya District Centred because I feel we do not need more infill bringing with it more traffic congestion. We do not need buildings above 3 storeys because it just causes issues for neighbours, such as loss of sun to individual houses. We need to keep Kardinya as a lovely leafy suburb for shade purposes and also a haven for birds. The City of Melville is one of the very best places to live with its lovely neighbours and cool leafy streets.</p>	<p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
238	O	<p>I am against the planning proposal for ACP Kardinya district Centre. I don't believe we need more infill into the area causing traffic and parking chaos. It will take away our much needed trees for birds and nature. Building anything above 2 storeys will take away our privacy</p>	<p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
239	O	<p><b>COMMENTS ON THE ACTIVITY CENTRE PLAN FOR THE KARDINYA DISTRICT CENTRE.</b></p> <p>Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Proposed Kardinya District Centre Activity Plan (ACP). On receiving the letter from the City of Melville dated 20 July 2020, this was the first time we learnt about such a proposal. We strongly oppose the ACP.</p> <p>We were already aware that the Kardinya Shopping Centre was approved for redevelopment and were supportive that a refurbishment and improvements, with some expansion, would be beneficial. At the time, there was no mention of the ACP and rezoning of residential properties.</p> <p>We were very surprised to learn that our house has been included in the ACP without any correspondence from the developer, or choice to whether we wanted to be included in the ACP. We are also unsure why our house is included, when we are on the very outer edge and our zoning remains the same at two stories.</p> <p>The consultation section of the proposal claims on page 17 that a number of engagement activities were undertaken for the ACP, however, we did not receive any communication through flyers or door-knocking or any correspondence to inform us of an online survey or drop-in session.</p> <p>Considering our property has been included in the ACP, efforts should have been made to ensure that we were consulted. It is noted that 46 people were surveyed - this does not represent an adequate sample size. The results were then paraphrased with the summary (page 17) that "Overall it was observed that community members were interested in future plans of the Activity Centre with most appreciating the need for in-depth investigations to</p>	<p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The proposed boundary of the ACP is being assessed to determine its compliance with SPP 4.2.</p>

	<p>accommodate a growing population”. This is very misleading as it does not reflect the majority of the community and disregards the issues that have been raised.</p> <p>We do not consider the ACP in the better interest of the community. A developer should not be given authority to make decisions on rezoning residential properties, with the purpose of increasing revenue to a business venture and, in doing so, having disregard for the livelihoods of existing residents. It is difficult to recognise any motivation for the proposal, other than the purpose to increase density to ensure the development hasn’t been over capitalised and maximum profits are realised.</p> <p>It would be detrimental to prioritise preferences of a developer over the everyday livelihoods of the Kardinya residents. A developer should not be given control over large scale residential zoning that has been in place for existing residential areas. There is no discussion in the proposal about existing residents and how the ACP would be beneficial. The developer appears to be more concerned with bringing in new residents. The developer makes a number of sweeping statements to the benefits of the ACP, however, fails to address how the existing residents would benefit beyond “Residents within the Residential Precinct will enjoy high levels of access to commercial facilities and services” (page 15), this does not acknowledge existing residents on balance with their concerns.</p> <p>The developer does not address any of the issues raised and does not identify or provide any information on how they would respond to any perceived risks. For example, the developer vaguely mentions one issues raised with respect to increased risk of anti-social behaviour (page 17), however does not provide any response to how it proposes to deal with this issue.</p> <p>The statement on page 9-10 notes that “The Scheme Amendment will also seek to remove ‘single storey residential character of the premises being retained’ given this will impede future redevelopment opportunities and will not be consistent with the intent of the ACP. Given the site is not of local or State heritage significance and therefore is considered unnecessary to be retained”. This is an insensitive statement again inferring that the developer is concerned only with new residents. The developer does not consider existing residents and their preference for the character of the suburb and takes a bias approach to the developer’s preference for “urban” character. Again, there is no acknowledgement of existing residents and what they value about living in the Kardinya area.</p> <p>The SWOT analysis on page 18 reads heavily weighted on Weaknesses and Threats, especially considering the Opportunities lack substance or appropriate justification and the Strengths do not contain any remarkable benefits.</p> <p><b>Comments guided by the SWOT analysis follow below:</b></p> <p>Weaknesses</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Older housing stock detracting from the look and feel’ of the area;</li><li>• Current lack of the amenity and vibrancy for the Activity Centre with limited range of activities and entertainment uses;</li></ul> <p>Maintaining look and feel of the area is important to current residents and is what makes the area a desirable place to live. We came from an area in East Fremantle with high density, busy roads and bought our house in Kardinya because of the peaceful family-friendly community feel. Not everyone likes modern looking housing stock. Kardinya is close to many areas of activities and entertainment uses including Fremantle and other shopping centres, such as, Westfield Booragoon and a variety of businesses in the Melville, Myaree and Bull Creek areas.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The Core precinct environment is dominated by busy roads and large car parks;</li><li>• Car and traffic-dominated environment;</li></ul> <p>The proposal will only increase traffic flow further on those roads as well as the current quiet suburban streets.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• South Street and North Lake Road act as barriers to movement in north-south and east-west directions (and vice versa) particularly for pedestrians given the deficiencies in the pedestrian environment.</li><li>• Poor pedestrian and cycling environments that are perceived to be unsafe and unpleasant;</li><li>• The sloping topography impacting on accessibility throughout the Centre;</li><li>• Poor universal access (i.e. footpaths, pram ramps, other pedestrian amenities);</li></ul> <p>Access would be considered crucial. If people cannot easily access the Centre, then what is the point?</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>The Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 allow for a private landowner or the local government to prepare an ACP.</p> <p>Where an ACP is prepared by a private landowner and submitted to the City, the City is required under the regulations to advertise the proposed ACP, undertake an assessment of the ACP against the planning framework and make a recommendation to the WAPC which makes the ultimate determination of the ACP.</p> <p>The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>Should modifications be proposed to the ACP it is expected that these modifications will also be further advertised before a final recommendation is forwarded to the WAPC.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>Noted.</p>
--	---	--



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Lack of mature trees and shade over pedestrian footpaths; and</li><li>• Poor quality landscaping.</li></ul> <p>Established street trees and landscaping is noted as a Strength, so it cannot also be a Weakness.</p> <p>Threats</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• South Street and North Lake Road will remain busy Regional Roads carrying large amounts of traffic (including freight traffic) with noise and dust impacts and limited ability to increase volumes;</li></ul> <p>Agreed. As noted above, the proposal will only increase traffic flow further on those roads as well as the current quiet suburban streets. The above threat contradicts the statement on Page 12 “To provide a density that will support the viability and vitality of the Centre and will support public transport infrastructure and reduced car use”. There is no evidence that car use would be reduced.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Attracting quality investment into the Centre to ensure high quality built form and development potential is actualised;</li><li>• Economic climate may result in an under-development of the Centre with community preference for grouped dwelling developments rather than multiple dwelling developments due to low-socio-economic stigma associated with multiple dwelling developments;</li></ul> <p>Furthermore, regardless of surrounding density, we question whether such a development is considered feasible, considering Westfield Booragoon Shopping Centre is currently struggling and a number of their stores are becoming abandoned. Also their plans for an associated high-rise apartment building failed.</p> <p>With reference to the statement on page 12 again, “To provide a density that will support the viability and vitality of the Centre and will support public transport infrastructure and reduced car use”, the Kardinya Shopping Centre Development should not have been approved in its current form if the development was dependant on rezoning.</p> <p>In the current economic climate, stores are closing rather than opening and we have concern whether now is the time to be undergoing the already approved Kardinya Shopping Centre development let alone expansion to an ACP. The developers have already forced out current business in the shopping centre to make way for redevelopment and for quite some time there has been a number of empty stores.</p> <p>The section on Economic Growth (page 16) is two sentences, which contain no figures or modelling to support the claims.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Funding for improvements to streetscapes, landscaping and other public realm improvements. The City would need to consider potential capital works projects against long term financial plans and budgets or potentially implement a special area rating to provide for streetscape enhancements.</li></ul> <p>Why should the City have to provide funding to improve streetscapes and landscaping to suit the taste of the developer?</p> <p>Opportunities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Under-capitalised and moderately large development sites;</li><li>• Potential views to the City skyline from upper storeys due to topography;</li><li>• Increasing property values in the vicinity;</li><li>• Large area of at-grade shopping centre parking areas;</li><li>• Provision of future dedicated bus lanes on South Street to enhance public transport use; and</li><li>• Need for housing diversity and housing stock suitable for key workers such as hospital, university and hospitality/retail workers, student housing and elderly.</li></ul> <p>The above Opportunities identified by the developer do not contain any significant benefits to the community. There is no evidence that the ACP would increase property values, conversely, it has the potential to decrease property values with excess cheaper high-rise apartments lowering demand.</p> <p>Furthermore, in the current COVID-19 environment, such excessive high-rise apartments and increased density is irresponsible and presents a high-risk to the health and wellbeing of the community.</p> <p>What confidence is there in the developer to deliver? Have they previously proved the claims in this proposal through successful previous developments?</p>	
--	--	--

		<p>We believe that the City of Melville currently has a positive reputation with the community, however, approval of such a proposal may have a high risk of loss of trust in residents.</p> <p>When balancing all of the above, we do not believe there is appropriate justification for the proposal at this time and in this area. In a better economic climate there may be some merit in the proposal, but in another unestablished location that does not impact existing residents.</p> <p>In summary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• We believe that the consultation process by the developers has been very poor;</li><li>• We strongly object to the excessive height (there should be no increase in the height of the apartments at the shopping centre beyond the approved 9 storeys and no increase in height of buildings in Kardinya residential area, no 3-5 storey buildings);</li><li>• We have concern over resulting increased traffic and parking issues on quiet suburban streets;</li><li>• There may be overlooking privacy issues;</li><li>• We are worried about anti-social behaviour and the potential for increased crime;</li><li>• There may be potential impact to our leafy green street and effects on wildlife and the natural environment; and</li><li>• Consider there would be adverse changes to the family-friendly character and demographics of the suburb.</li></ul> <p>With consideration to the above, we do not support the proposal for an ACP.</p>	
--	--	---	--

			<p>The City has a statutory obligation to consider the ACP proposal and provide a report with a recommendation to the WAPC which is the determining authority.</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area. Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Noted.</p>
240	O	<p>Firstly, I would like to register my extreme disappointment that the Kardinya ACP document that was recently circulated to homeowners and residents surrounding the Kardinya Shopping Centre is not the same proposal as the planning notification displayed at the Kardinya Shopping Centre for public information earlier this year.</p> <p>This current proposed ACP development affects a much larger residential area than the original notice, with higher and denser residential zoning impacting a far greater area up to and including imposing on Morris Buzacott Reserve. No longer will Kardinya be a family friendly suburb where children are able to safely walk/ride to school and recreational activities in the Morris Buzacott Reserve vicinity.</p> <p>The whole nature of our suburb and it's character will necessarily be destroyed by such a development. For example, the ultimate destruction and removal of street trees which will be necessary for this proposal to be implemented will impact heat minimizing shade and visual appeal of the suburb. In addition, increasing the height of residences to 4 storeys will further increase temperatures by reducing natural airflow, and limiting access to sunlight, severely impacting those proactive in installing photovoltaic power generation systems to minimize their carbon footprint.</p> <p>The originally publicised proposal to renovate the shopping centre and provide some higher density accommodation options in it's immediate area was restricted to a much smaller envelope. One can only hope there is no intent to deceive residents and ratepayers by now considering a far greater impact development proposal!</p> <p>Please restrict the development and rezoning impact to the smaller area as per the original proposal and do not approve the Proposed Kardinya District Centre Activity Centre Plan as has been circulated for comment Monday 20 July 2020.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The previous proposal was a development application was for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre property only. This has been approved by JDAP.</p> <p>The current proposal is for an Activity Centre Plan for the district centre (which includes the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre property) and its surrounding catchment as per the requirements of SPP 4.2.</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment.</p>

			<p>The Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 allow for a private landowner or the local government to prepare an ACP.</p> <p>Where an ACP is prepared by a private landowner and submitted to the City, the City is required under the regulations to advertise the proposed ACP, undertake an assessment of the ACP against the planning framework and make a recommendation to the WAPC which makes the ultimate determination of the ACP.</p> <p>The City has a statutory obligation to consider the ACP proposal and provide a report with a recommendation to the WAPC which is the determining authority.</p>
241	O	<p>I wish to object to the over development of the Kardinya shopping centre.</p> <p>We don't need large high rise buildings. No increase in the height of the apartments, stay at 9 storeys.</p> <p>No 3-5 storey buildings in the residential area. Overlooking and privacy issues. More buildings mean less parking at the shopping precinct. Increased traffic on our streets.</p> <p>The consultation by the developers has been less than satisfactory.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
242	O	<p>It's an abomination that there has been lack of consultation with local residents by council or developers on wanting to rezone the area to high rise density living.</p> <p>Council should shoulder more responsibility as we are ratepayers who help to provide finance for its upkeep Such absurd ideas will further divide residents. Changes in the area have had little response to residents complaints in the past going back to building of a roller rink and probably did not last as long as it did to build.</p> <p>Probably no consideration is given to traffic flow or parking in slightly outer area There has been a three storey mixed use built on Gibertson road an absolute eye sore just imagine more of the same or as developers wanting higher God forbid this should happen</p> <p>Will cause havoc for children attending school on foot and the elderly Increase in traffic since Aldi opened has become phenomenal There has been a decrease in amount of birds in the area Who are these developers wanting to ethically divide our community Who can imagine 20 storey high building on Coles site cascading over whole area.</p> <p>Please do not subject us to this nightmare</p>	<p>Public consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 with the City applying State Government COVID exemptions to enable extension of the advertising period from the usual maximum statutory period of 28 days to the maximum allowable 42 days.</p> <p>The City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to all properties within the proposed ACP boundary, which includes the submitters property, properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP, properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included within the proposed ACP.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p>
243	O	<p>I am totally opposed to any rezoning of the area around the Kardinya Shopping Centre.</p> <p>Kardinya already has sufficient commercial services. The redeveloped Shopping Centre, approved misguidedly, will be a crass, dominating eyesore.</p> <p>We do not want to be overwhelmed with more shops, high-rise blocks, people and traffic</p>	<p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p>

244	S	Please consider this a letter of support for the Draft Kardinya District Centre Activity Centre Plan. We are tenants of the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre.	Noted.
245	O	<p>It is an abomination that there has been lack of consultation with local residents by council or developers on wanting to rezone to high rise density living.</p> <p>Council should show more responsibility to ratepayers. Such absurd ideas will further divide residents. Changes in the area have had little response to residents complaints in the past going back to building a roller rink und probably did not last as long as it did to build.</p> <p>No consideration is given to traffic flow or parking in slightly outer area. There has been a three story mixed use built on Gilbertson road an absolute eye sore just image more of the same or as the developers wanting higher. Will cause havoc for children attending school on foot and the elderly. In crease in traffic has become phenomenal since Aldi opened.</p> <p>There has been a decrease amount of birds in the area.</p> <p>Who are these developers wanting to ethically divide our community. Who can image 20 story buildings on Coles site cascading over whole area. Please do not subject to this nightmare. Solar panels will loose efficiency.</p>	<p>Public consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 with the City applying State Government COVID exemptions to enable extension of the advertising period from the usual maximum statutory period of 28 days to the maximum allowable 42 days.</p> <p>The City has engaged with the community via Melville Talks on the City of Melville website, via a newspaper advertisement and on social media asking for feedback on the proposed ACP.</p> <p>In addition, Letters have been sent to all properties within the proposed ACP boundary, which includes the submitters property, properties immediately adjoining the proposed ACP, properties within 400m of the District Centre that have not been included within the proposed ACP.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p>
246	O	<p>I absolutely object to the rezoning of Kardinya shopping centre to between 15-20 storey apartments. This is a local site that type of development with infringe on surrounding houses privacy, devalue their properties and cause untold traffic congestion on an already very busy area.</p> <p>I strongly oppose apartment levels of 5 stories in our local streets. Residential area is that not high density development. A maximum of three stories would be appropriate.</p> <p>Parking issues on quiet suburban streets would create a major problem. There are many young children in the area and traffic congestion would be unacceptable. This would impact on the family-friendly character of our suburb.</p>	<p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
247	O	<p>I most strongly object to the rezoning of Kardinya shopping g centre to between 15-20 storey apartments. This is a local site that type of development will infringe on surrounding houses privacy, devalue their properties and cause untold traffic congestion on an already very busy area.</p> <p>I strongly oppose apartment levels of 5 stories in our local residential area. A maximum of three stories would be appropriate.</p>	<p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP’s be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p>

		<p>Parking issues on quiet suburban streets would create a major problem. There are many young children in the area and traffic congestion would be unacceptable. This would impact on the family-friendly character of our suburb.</p>	<p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p>
248	O	<p>First concern is the high density population.</p> <p>Covid 19 demonstrates that a high density of people is detrimental to a healthy lifestyle, stressful and high contamination risks.</p> <p>Against more than two storeys in height: one family per dwelling is ideal. Against bringing up children in apartments. A garden and a relaxing personal space is important for well being.</p> <p>Supports the development of the Shopping Centre. .</p> <p>15-20 storeys is extreme and will negatively impact residents including traffic privacy and buildings of a scale not compatible with the area.</p> <p>Eye sore to be so high.</p> <p>5-6 storeys is also excessive.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p>
249	O	<p>I oppose and strongly object to an increase in the height of buildings in Kardinya residential area to 3-5 stories.</p> <p>The loss of tree canopy will impact shade, birdlife and the natural environment.</p> <p>The increased traffic will result in parking problems and increased omissions adversely affecting our family friendly environment and the demographics of the suburb.</p> <p>While we are excited to have our shopping centre developed it should not come at a loss of privilege or of lifestyle choices of the residents. The consultation process by the developers has been poor.</p>	<p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
250	O	<p>I wish to register a very strong objection to the proposal to increase the approved height for apartment complex in the Kardinya Shopping Centre redevelopment and the proposed increase in the height of buildings in the Kardinya residential area to 3-5 stories.</p> <p>The increase in housing density will result in loss of tree canopy (which is already suffering from the current redevelopment of surrounding homes ) and therefore bird life and will increase the temperature in our suburb. It will result in an increase in volume of local traffic which will ruin the ambience we enjoy . Our area is already surrounded by an increase in traffic on South St , North Lake Rd &amp; Farrington Rd and do not need any further increase in traffic in our local area. We also have no wish to have our home and garden area spoiled by shading and noise from surrounding high rise and increase in housing density that would follow the implementation of these planning changes .</p> <p>Please retain our suburb current family friendly environment.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the “Centre” zone area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>

251	O	<p>I strongly object to the rezoning of Kardinya as my property is one that will be affected as the two properties next door can be 3-5 storeys under the proposed new zoning.</p> <p>The owner who rents the properties out next door has indicated he bought both of the property's for development in the future. As is indicated with the lack of maintenance and upkeep and over the years the caliber of residence that this type of neglected property attracts. It is an ongoing hit and miss as to who we get living there one thing in common nobody cares about the existing appearance and neighbourhood feel that Kardinya once had.</p> <p>I first hand know what it is like to live next door as in the past have experienced music of young teenagers partying, fights and cars coming and going all throughout the night as the rents cheap and nobody works and this is just with the two existing properties. As this property side is facing North lake Road the Developers would put the driveways there and the back alfresco would be next to our bedroom and bathroom walls. The present house side veranda and steps looks straight into our kitchen, bedroom and bathroom they are built up high should never have been allowed to have been built. We live in a goldfish bowl and no privacy imagine what it will be like when it is 3-5 storeys HIGH. There would be no room for visitor parking also as this end of the street is a cul-de-sac and T Junction so once again we the neighbours would be inundated with cars parking on our verges which has happened in the past and people driving through our lawn for a short cut.</p> <p>As my property is a rare complex of four single strata units in the future if I wanted to sell I would be disadvantaged as the value is low already due to only owning the building not the land and now further high rise zoning next door my property will have a huge financial impact.</p> <p>This is only my personal story but all the streets in the rezoning will have people with their own impact concerns.</p> <p>I have lived in Kardinya around 38 years and I loved our leafy family friendly neighbourhood so No to the rezoning.</p>	<p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment. A separate scheme amendment will be required to implement the final ACP including any rezoning that may or may not be required.</p>
252	O	<p>Strongly object to the development of the shopping centre. Excessive heights</p> <p>Don't want any further increase to height at shopping centre or residential area.</p> <p>Concerns with traffic, overlooking, loss of trees, impact to bird life, adverse changes to family friendly character and overcrowding.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>
253	O	<p>I strongly oppose any increase in the height and size of Kardinya. There is no parking for the current traffic travelling in the area. It will ruin what is a quiet, peaceful area to live in. This is not a good plan going forth therefore a 'no' vote is warranted for this proposal.</p>	<p>SPP4.2 identifies the Kardinya centre as a district centre and requires that ACP's be prepared for all district centres and that a 400m walkable catchment area be included within the ACP. The ACP is a strategic plan intended to establish a vision and provide guidance for the future development of the district centre and surrounding catchment</p> <p>The recent JDAP development approval for the Kardinya Park Shopping Centre site restricted residential development to 9 storeys. This provides an indication of preferred heights in the "Centre" zone area.</p> <p>Heights proposed in the residential precinct are related to proposed densities. The densities and associated heights will be subject to consideration as part of the assessment of the proposed ACP including whether they are appropriate.</p> <p>A traffic impact assessment has been submitted and will be considered by Main Roads WA and the City as part of assessment of the ACP.</p>