

Request for Removal of Street Tree

44 Polglass, Ardross

Comments	Agree	Do Not Agree
I have absolutely no objections I hate them. We have one in front of our home and we are forever collecting the leaves and so many they leave no room in our bin. We are aging and are to old to do this on a daily basis so I would love it if ours was to go	1	
		1
	1	
I support the removal due to all the reasons previously documented	1	
To summarise all of the detail it comes down to a simple matter of safety and protection against damage. On these basis the tree fails to be appropriate or safe in its present location. Such trees have a place but in parks or ovals not residential. My wife reminded me of a time when a sizeable limb fell near her while in garden	1	
Street trees are a community amenity		1
	1	
Sorry! Many surfaces are slippery when wet. All trees drop something. We have 3 Chinese tallows in out back garden and a Jacaranda in front. Leaves and seeds are dropping on our paving and require sweeping often until the Winter. That is the trade off we made when we planted the trees. I am struggling to see the problem. The tree is well away from buildingd and provides part of the much needed canopy cover this suburb needs we need MORE trees not less. If you are not prepared to live in a house with a garden there are other options (e.g. units or flats) Thank you		1
I agree with the opinion on the reverse side of this paper. These trees were there before the units were even built and will be there after the present residents leave. Trees take years and years to replace with the same street scope appeal. If we applied the same criteria for te removal we would have zero trees in the whole street. This would set a precendent for any tree that drops nuts/berries etc. These trees are not even close to the units. Why are they suddenly a problem after all these years?		1
Removal would be against your "Urban Forrest and Green Space Policy". The canopy does not overhang a footpath or road so surely can't be a trip hazard to the public. CoM Tree Policy 3.1 confirms 'leaf litter, flowers, fruit and bark' production does not justify removal of a tree.		1
		1
The trees are not a problem in their current form. There are other verge trees in the area that would represent a possible greater problem than these two trees		1
These are not a suitable street tree given they are not native to WA, produce leaves nad nuts and lifts paving HOWEVER, our street has many these 2 being some of the smallest. If they are to be replaced, it should be as part of a whole street plan to replace them with a more suitable tree. check out the size of the one at our place #21 - cant tell you how many times weve paid to have our path repaved		1
It is a 'dirty tree'	1	
These are unsuitable trees for WA. The nuts are definitely a slip hazard, plus painful if dogs walk on them. Unfortunately, many years ago these were planted: it has now been found these are totally understandable. The same unsuitability can be said for the Ficus trees planted in Glencoe Road and the Council realised they should be removed. There is only one left and the roots are hazardous.	1	

The location of the tree appears to be sufficiently away from designated walking areas. Routine raking would help lower presence of debris. Reason given for removal seems insufficient. Benefit of keeping the tree far out weighs risk		1
	1	
	1	
Replacing with WA native tree would be better	1	
I agree that the box trees be removed and hopefully it will be an ongoing plan for the City of Melville to get rid of all box trees. They are dangerous and messy. The uncaution is ridiculous. They are worth nothing. There are far better options available	1	
we wholeheartedly disagree with this proposal. Trees contribute to their environment by providing oxygen, improving air quality, climate amelioration, conserving water, preserving soil, and supporting wildlife. There does not appear to be adequate rationale for their removal, nor a plan in place for their replacement if removed. The lack of street trees in this area is horrifying and we would like the council to consider planting more than you		1
on the strict proviso that the removed tree is reduced by another (or perhaps two) approved tree possibly Jacarandas	1	
The streetscape in Polglass Way would be negatively impacted by removal of this tree. An option may be to replace the removed tree with another suitable tree e.g. Jacaranda		1
No issues with the removal of 44 and 44A Polglass Way QLD box trees. We have the same tree outside our property on #35 and experience the same issues and concerns raised by 44 & 44A	1	
The nuts from these trees are dangerous and slippery having had experience with a tree like this at a daughters home. Some trees should never be used as verge trees. As the properties are subdivided the size of the QLD box trees should be viewed of a new tree put in place to suit the new building. Some QLD box trees take away the light and nourishment of the land	1	
It's a perfectly healthy tree and the street looks bare now		1
I accept that the tree drops nuts that could become a trip hazard, but life is not confined to this tree as there are many like it in this area. The tree was there before the residents was built and is healthy, not its type that drops limbs and is no apparent danger to house. There is a similar tree outside 44A. Is it to be removed also? i hope not		1
As the tree is causing a problem with the risk of slipping over because of the nuts dropping, which could cause a hazard and entail physical injury of any kind to the people living in this house and their guests, I do agree with their request to remove said tree	1	
These trees should never have been offered by the Council to residents as a street tree choice way back in the 1980's	1	
Beautiful looking trees! Having had some in the past. I wouldn't plant them again, but they are all throughout the area and everyone (the majority) of people live with them. Wouldn't give them a death sentence		1
It is not apparent from the information presented to us. 1. how tall are the trees expected to grow? 2. what the trees will be replaced with? Please improve the info provided to surrounding residents. But given someone requested their removal, we have no objections	1	
Please replace these trees with Jacarandas or similar	1	

There are too many large mature trees being removed in the area, any replacement trees would take decades to grow of size. This impacts the shade and heat loads and visual impact on our streetscape. The council should help by regularly pruning/maintaining these trees to help avoid the slip hazard with the nuts on driveways		1
<p>Unable to agree or disagree as do not have all the relevant information ie:</p> <p>1. are they going to be replaced and if so with what?</p> <p>2. surely the council can be pro-active and trim sides and top of trees to control size and growth</p> <p>3. 'Potential' to do damage. is it or isn't it? this could apply to all street trees especially Jacarandas.</p> <p>4. If slipping from nuts is a problem, pruning to control growth and size. This could also apply to the public pathway that runs down Glencoe Road.</p>		
<p>In regard to your seeking opinion of the slip hazard and potential danger to property of removal of Street Trees at 44 and 44A Polglass Way, Ardross. I have had several discussions over the years with your Arborist Michelle regarding the street tree 100 meters away at the front of my property which fronts Barrisdale Road the address being 25 Glencoe Road the corner of Barrisdale Roads. Always with the same response that the tree is healthy with no offer of pursuing any other alternatives to deal with the issue. Given my regular conversations with Michelle no such action as a letter to surrounding residents has ever been offered and I am left wondering how this was achieved. We are in agreement with the removal of the box trees in Polglass Way however, would like equal treatment by the Council to the removal of the Eucalyptus verge tree in Barrisdale Road. Having inspected the trees in question, I can see them as less of a risk than our tree. This Eucalyptus drops branches, sticks and nuts constantly and has been a trip hazard for years and danger due to the quite large sticks that drop onto the road. These sticks are often picked up by pedestrians and thrown onto the verge for us to constantly try to maintain a safe environment to walk off the road. We have volunteered the tree removal and replacement with Jacarandas providing a more aesthetic and appealing landscape than the haphazard plantings that occurred in and around Polglass Way, Glencoe Road, Barrisdale Road and Portree Way several years ago. Last week your council approved our plans for development of 25 Glencoe Road. This includes the change of access to the property from Glencoe Road to Barrisdale Road. The verge tree in Barrisdale poses the same slip and insurance risk of nuts as the box trees in Polglass with the added danger of the constantly dropping of very large sticks onto the proposed driveway. Should agreement be granted to remove the box trees, we would expect similar action by the Council to remove the Eucalyptus tree at the front of our property. We look forward to your response. Thank you and Regards Bruce and Beverley Croucher</p>	1	
The trees are over sized for the locality. The shedding bark and nuts create a challenge for the two elderly owners in both safety and tidiness issues to their properties and the general streetscape	1	
Total:	20	15

Request for Removal of Street Tree 44A Polglass, Ardross		
Comments	Agree	Do Not Agree
absolutely for it to go. Ugly and horrible and messy	1	
		1
we would like all the queensland box trees removed from Polglass Way and suitable trees without nuts to replace them	1	
I've run out of writing (as you can see) - I've no more to add	1	
My 83 year old neighbour who lives alone has suffered this tree and its associated issues for years with little sympathy or support from council. From nut droppings continually to sticky secretion on her driveway to near falls by stepping on nuts on her driveway and worry about the damage potential, she is tired of this	1	
Street trees are a community amenity and bring general benefits		1
	1	
Sorry! Many surfaces are slippery when wet. All trees drop something. We have 3 Chinese tallows in out back garden and a Jacaranda in front. Leaves and seeds are dropping on our paving and require sweeping often until the Winter. That is the trade off we made when we planted the trees. I am struggling to see the problem. The tree is well away from buildingd and provides part of the much needed canopy cover this suburb needs we need MORE trees not less. If you are not prepared to live in a house with a garden there are other options (e.g. units or flats) Thank you		1
The street trees are for everyone not just the residents who live behind them. All the trees drop leaves, nuts, pods, flowers and this is not an excuse for cutting them down. There have been several street trees that have been lost in this street to new development. Trees should be preserved for thier aesthetic value enjoyed by everyone		1
Removal would be against your "Urban Forrest and Green Space Policy". The canopy does not overhang a footpath or road so surely can't be a trip hazard to the public. CoM Tree Policy 3.1 confirms 'leaf litter, flowers, fruit and bark' production does not justify removal of a tree.		1
The trees are not a problem in their current form. There are other verge trees in the area that would represent a possible greater problem than these two trees		1
We need as many trees as we can		1
These are not a suitable street tree given they are not native to WA, produce leaves nad nuts and lifts paving HOWEVER, our street has many these 2 being some of the smallest. If they are to be replaced, it should be as part of a whole street plan to replace them with a more suitable tree. check out the size of the one at our place #21 - cant tell you how many times weve paid to have our path repaved		1
Problems in the future	1	
These are unsuitable trees for WA. The nuts are definitely a slip hazard, plus painful if dogs walk on them. Unfortunately, many years ago these were planted: it has now been found these are totally understandable. The same unsuitability can be said for the Ficus trees planted in Glencoe Road and the Council realised they should be removed. There is only one left and the roots are hazardous.	1	
	1	
	1	
	1	

I agree that the box trees be removed and hopefully it will be an ongoing plan for the City of Melville to get rid of all box trees. They are dangerous and messy. The uncaution is ridiculous. They are worth nothing. There are far better options available	1	
we wholeheartedly disagree with this proposal. Trees contribute to their environment by providing oxygen, improving air quality, climate amelioration, conserving water, preserving soil, and supporting wildlife. There does not appear to be adequate rationale for their removal, nor a plan in place for their replacement if removed. The lack of street trees in this area is horrifying and we would like the council to consider planting more than you		1
on the strict proviso that the removed tree is replaced by another (or perhaps two) approved tree possibly Jacarandas	1	
The streetscape in Polglass Way would be negatively impacted by removal of this tree. An option may be to replace the removed tree with another suitable tree e.g. Jacaranda		1
No issues with the removal of 44 and 44A Polglass Way QLD box trees. We have the same tree outside our property on #35 and experience the same issues and concerns raised by 44 & 44A	1	
The nuts from these trees are dangerous and slippery having had experience with a tree like this at a daughter's home. Some trees should never be used as verge trees. As the properties are subdivided the size of the QLD box trees should be viewed of a new tree put in place to suit the new building. Some QLD box trees take away the light and nourishment of the land	1	
There are so few trees left in that street now. Everything looks so bare perfectly healthy tree		1
		1
The council should have never offered residents this tree as a choice of street tree back in the 1980's they are a menace	1	
Beautiful looking tree. Let it live and breathe we need all the greenery we can get		1
It is not apparent from the information presented to us. 1. how tall are the trees expected to grow? 2. what the trees will be replaced with? Please improve the info provided to surrounding residents. But given someone requested their removal, we have no objections	1	
Please replace these trees with Jacarandas or similar	1	
There are too many large mature trees being removed in the area, any replacement trees would take decades to grow of size. This impacts the shade and heat loads and visual impact on our streetscape. The council should help by regularly pruning/maintaining these trees to help avoid the slip hazard with the nuts on driveways		1
Unable to agree or disagree as do not have all the relevant information ie: 1. are they going to be replaced and if so with what? 2. surely the council can be pro-active and trim sides and top of trees to control size and growth 3. 'Potential' to do damage. is it or isn't it? this could apply to all street trees especially Jacarandas. 4. If slipping from nuts is a problem, pruning to control growth and size. This could also apply to the public pathway that runs down Glencoe Road.		

<p>In regard to your seeking opinion of the slip hazard and potential danger to property of removal of Street Trees at 44 and 44A Polglass Way, Ardross. I have had several discussions over the years with your Arborist Michelle regarding the street tree 100 meters away at the front of my property which fronts Barrisdale Road the address being 25 Glenoce Road the corner of Barrisdale Roads. Always with the same response that the tree is healthy with no offer of pursuing any other alternatives to deal with the issue. Given my regular conversations with Michelle no such action as a letter to surrounding residents has ever been offered and I am left wondering how this was achieved. We are in agreement with the removal of the box trees in Polglass Way however, would like equal treatment by the Council to the removal of the Eucalyptus verge tree in Barrisdale Road. Having inspected the trees in question, I can see them as less of a risk than our tree. This Eucalyptus drops branches, sticks and nuts constantly and has been a trip hazard for years and danger due to the quite large sticks that drop onto the road. These sticks are often picked up by pedestrians and thrown onto the verge for us to constantly try to maintain a safe environment to walk off the road. We have volunteered the tree removal and replacement with Jacarandas providing a more aesthetic and appealing landscape than the haphazard plantings that occurred in and around Polglass Way, Glencoe Road, Barrisdale Road and Portree Way several years ago. Last week your council approved our plans for development of 25 Glencoe Road. This includes the change of access to the property from Glencoe Road to Barrisdale Road. The verge tree in Barrisdale poses the same slip and insurance risk of nuts as the box trees in Polglass with the added danger of the constantly dropping of very large sticks onto the proposed driveway. Should agreement be granted to remove the box trees, we would expect similar action by the Council to remove the Eucalyptus tree at the front of our property. We look forward to your response. Thank you and Regards Bruce and Beverley Croucher</p>	1	
<p>Do not understand slip hazard as nuts fall on grass and will embed in grass thus no slip hazard. Sets a precedence all trees on polglass way can then be removed same reasons. Not good for environment. Removes ardross street appeal over 40 years old. provides me with another reason to leave ardross. removing healthy trees with 20 years life left</p>		1
<p>The trees are over sized for the locality. The shedding bark and nuts create a challenge for the two elderly owners in both safety and tidiness issues to their properties and the general streetscape</p>	1	
	19	16