

MINUTES

OF THE

ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF ELECTORS

HELD IN THE

CONFERENCE ROOM, MELVILLE CIVIC CENTRE

AT 6.30PM ON

8 DECEMBER 2011



MINUTES OF THE ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF ELECTORS HELD IN THE CONFERENCE ROOM, MELVILLE CIVIC CENTRE, 10 ALMONDBURY ROAD, BOORAGOON, COMMENCING AT 6.30PM ON THURSDAY 8 DECEMBER 2011.

1. PRESENT

His Worship the Mayor R Aubrey

COUNCILLORS

Cr C Robartson (Deputy Mayor)
Cr R Willis
Cr N Pazolli, Cr P Reidy
Cr D Macphail, Cr A Nicholson
Cr R Hill
Cr N Foxton, Cr M Reynolds
Cr S Taylor-Rees

WARD

Bull Creek/Leeming
Bull Creek/Leeming
Applecross/Mount Pleasant
City
Palmyra/Melville/Willagee
University
Bicton/Attadale

2. IN ATTENDANCE

Dr S Silcox
Mr M Tieleman
Mr S Cope
Mr P Kellick
Ms C Young
Mr L Hitchcock
Ms K Johnson

Mr T Cahoon
Mr J Cameron
Mr P Adams
Mr I Davis
Ms L Hartill
Mr K Yeoh
Mr G Ponton
Mr B Taylor

Mr P Carrie
Mr R Bailey
Mr J Clark

Ms J Paparella
Ms D Beilby

Chief Executive Officer
Director Corporate Services
Director Urban Planning
A/Director Technical Services
Director Community Development
Executive Manager Legal Services
Executive Manager Organisational
Development
Manager Health & Lifestyle Services
Executive Engineer
Manager Operations
Manager Parks & Environment
Manager Neighbourhood Development
Senior Financial Accountant
Manager Strategic Urban Planning
Manager Information, Technology &
Support
Coordinator Neighbourhood Amenity
Special Projects Officer
Governance & Compliance Program
Manager
Business Support Officer
Minute Secretary

At the commencement of the meeting there were 36 Electors of the City of Melville and two members of the press in attendance.

3. APOLOGIES

Nil.

4. LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Cr J Barton – Bicton-Attadale Ward
Cr B Kinnell – Palmyra-Melville-Willagee Ward

5. INTRODUCTION OF ELECTED MEMBERS AND OFFICERS

His Worship the Mayor, R Aubrey, introduced individual Elected Members and Senior Staff to the meeting and advised that the City's Management Team were in attendance and would be available to meet electors after the meeting.

6. BUSINESS

6.1 NOTICE OF ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF ELECTORS

The following Notice of Meeting was advertised in the West Australian Newspaper on Thursday, 24 November 2011 and the Melville Times Community Newspaper on Tuesday, 29 November 2011 and 6 December 2011, in addition to being displayed on public notice boards at all the City of Melville libraries and the Civic Centre. The notice read:

“Annual General Meeting of Electors

Electors are invited to the Annual General Meeting of Electors that will be held on **Thursday, 8 December 2011** in the Conference Room of the **Civic Centre, 10 Almondbury Road, Booragoon** commencing at **6.30pm**.

Order of Business

1. To receive the Community Annual Report 2010-2011 for the year ended 30 June 2011
2. General Business

The Elected Members and staff welcome your questions regarding the City of Melville, the Annual Report or any matter relating to the Notice of Meeting. A question form can be obtained from the City of Melville website www.melvillecity.com.au or please contact Denise Beilby on 9364 0607 for alternative arrangements.

In order for complex questions to be answered at the meeting, questions must be received by **5.00pm** on **Monday, 5 December 2011**.

Copies of the Annual Report will be available for inspection from **Monday, 5 December 2011** at the **Melville Civic Centre** and all City of Melville Libraries. A copy will also be available on the City of Melville website.

Dr Shayne Silcox
Chief Executive Officer”

His Worship the Mayor read out the Manner of Conduct of the Meeting.

6.2 MANNER OF CONDUCT OF THE MEETING

1. It is a requirement to advise that in the event of an emergency, everyone should take direction from officers who will guide you to the exit points of the building.
2. Toilets are located immediately before the entry to the Council Chambers.
3. All present are required to sign the attendance register at the entry to the Conference Room.
4. Speakers must be Electors of the City of Melville.
5. The proceedings are being taped for the purpose of production of the minutes and speakers are requested to use the microphones each time they speak.

The Minutes will include a summary of any questions asked and a summary of the response provided.

6. No other audio or visual recording is to be undertaken without the permission of the Presiding Member.
7. Speakers are asked to clearly give their name and address each time they speak.
8. Upon a motion being proposed, each speaker is to address the Chair.
9. All addresses are to be limited to a maximum of five (5) minutes. Extension of time is permissible only with the agreement of a simple majority of Members present (9.6 of Standing Orders).
10. No persons are to use offensive or objectionable expressions in reference to any Member, employee of the Council, or any other person (8.3 of Standing Orders).
11. All Elected Members and Directors attend this meeting to observe the proceedings and hear comments from Electors. All questions and comments should be directed to the Mayor who may invite a response from the Chief Executive Officer, Presiding Members of Committees, Directors or Elected Members.
12. The Minutes of this meeting are expected to be available by Friday, 16 December 2011.

His Worship the Mayor asked for an agreement from the Electors for a meeting closing time of 8.30pm. There were no objections.

7. PRESENTATION OF COMMUNITY ANNUAL REPORT 2010-2011 INCLUDING FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2011.

His Worship the Mayor advised that copies of the Community Annual Report 2010-2011 had been circulated and had been available on request from City of Melville, libraries and the City's website.

8. GENERAL BUSINESS

Questions 8.1 and 8.2 were provided in writing prior to the meeting. His Worship the Mayor read out the written questions and responses.

8.1 Mr E Nielsen of Booragoon

Question 1

In November 2010 the City wrote to Western Power's Network Officer, advising him of the 'Council Resolution and Decisions from the Special Meeting of Electors' (7th October 2010) pertaining to Western Power's proposed expansion of its infrastructure in this City. The City noted that a response would be appreciated.

- a) *Has the City received any response to this letter from Western Power? If so what was the response?*
- b) *Has the City received any form of communication from other stakeholders with regard to this letter? If so from whom and what has been received?*

Response

- a) Western Power acknowledged the City's correspondence on 16/11/10 and confirmed that the City's record of the decisions made at the Special Meeting of Electors held on 7/10/10 was accurate. Western Power also advised that should a Development Application for a sub station be presented to the City of Melville then Western Power would expect that the City would consider the outcomes of the Special Meeting of Electors held on 7/10/10.
- (b) The City has no record of any other correspondence in relation to this letter.

Question 2

I refer to the Ordinary Meeting of Council 15th March 2011 where I raised the following question in relation to Council Decision No 3 from the Ordinary Meeting of Council 19th October 2010...

'Has Western Power been asked to find an alternative site for the Myaree substation?'

To which the response was...

'Western Power has stated that the vacant site at McCoy Street is being considered and will form part of the decision making process for reaching a preferred option.'

Why did the City provide this response when the City knew of the intention to commercially develop this site and have known this for years?

Likewise, why then did directors from the City of Melville sit on the Panel at the 'Community Answer and Question Session' (27th May 2010) where it was said (and recorded) that 'for a long term solution the Myaree substation would be decommissioned and a new substation built at the McCoy Street site' knowing full well that on 27th April 2010 (a month before) the City's Development Advisory Unit (DAU) had already sat behind closed doors and approved yet another development application for this same site (2 showrooms plus 22 warehouse units)?

8.1 Mr E Nielsen of Booragoon (Continued)

Western Power had already expressed their reservation regarding the McCoy Street site as an alternative. In a letter to the City, dated 1st July 2009, for a variety of reasons they made it clear that it was unlikely a substation would be built there.

It is fully recognised that the owners of the property in McCoy Street are entitled to develop their property as they see fit. What is not acceptable is the fact that the City deliberately chose not to inform the residents at this meeting (27th May 2010) and on other occasions, that it was already earmarked for alternative use.

Response

The City does not have a record of City officers attending the community information meeting arranged by Western Power on 27/5/2010 and it is considered unlikely that the City was represented at that meeting. At the Special Meeting of Electors facilitated by the City of Melville held on 7 October 2010, City officers provided a presentation and responded to questions from the community at that meeting.

Question 3

I refer to the Ordinary Meeting of Council 15th March 2011 where I raised the following question in relation to Council Decision No 4 from the Ordinary Meeting of Council 19th October 2010...

'Have demands been made for Western Power to urgently relocate High Voltage power lines? If so what was the reply?'

To which the response was:

'The City of Melville has not demanded that Western Power relocate High Voltage power lines. The City of Melville is currently awaiting Western Power's report on the various options they are considering.'

Why was the councillors' decision not communicated as required in accordance with section 5.41(c) of the Local Government Act?

Whatever options Western Power may chose regarding substations and whatever report that may come out of that, these are completely separate from the issue of having current overhead High Voltage power lines relocated away from residential properties and schools.

It may be Western Power's prerogative to ignore such request from the City but it is not the City's prerogative to ignore Section 5.41(c) of the Local Government Act.

8.1 Mr E Nielsen of Booragoon (Continued)Response

The City does not have the power to “demand” an action from Western Power. The City has been working with Western Power to gain funding for all possible Underground Power Projects which will cause above ground power lines to be relocated underground.

Question 4

I refer to the Ordinary Meeting of Council 19th April 2011, Item 6.1 where I raised the following questions...

Question 1

Will the City please advise when they will be meeting with Western Power, with whom and an outline of the City’s proposed agenda?

Question 2

If a meeting has already taken place please advise when it took place, with whom and matters discussed together with any outcomes and decisions made.

To which the response was,-

‘In response to both Parts 1 and 2 of the question, City officers met with Western Power representatives on Monday 11 April to prepare information in the form of Frequently Asked Questions for the City’s website in response to community interest in the sub-station issues. The City was advised at the meeting that at this time

Western Power is still considering all options. The City will continue to maintain contact with Western Power on this matter.’

I seriously question how the City could consider participating in publishing this FAQ section which was seemingly drafted by Western Power and placed on the City’s website, the content of which is misleading and not a true reflection of the facts in many instances?

Below are extracts of contentious items with comments made in red.

SUBSTATION FAQ - EXTRACT FROM CITY OF MELVILLE WEBSITE**If the City of Melville received an application for a substation would it be approved?**

If a State Agency wants to build a substation on its own land then this falls outside of local government legislation. Western Power is exempt from requirement to obtain planning approval under local government Town Planning Scheme for substations and related works.

Where land is zoned under the Metropolitan Region Scheme (MRS) Public Authorities must obtain planning approval from WA Planning Commission.

The Myaree substation land and the land owned by Western Power in Murray Road Bicton are zoned under MRS.

8.1 Mr E Nielsen of Booragoon (Continued)***Western Power Response***

As the development of a substation within the City of Melville is considered as public works by a public authority on a site zoned under the metropolitan regional scheme, it requires the determination of the West Australian Planning Commission. Although the decision making body would be the West Australian Planning Commission in this instance, Western Power would refer the application to the City of Melville to seek comment from the community and recommend conditions of approval to the Commission.

The process of developing a substation also requires Western Power to comply with sections of the Electricity and Corporations Act 2005 and the Electricity Networks Access Code 2004. Western Power failed to comply and have not received approval from the ERA to proceed as they have (by the purchase of land).

Has there been a public consultation on the issue of a substation?

Western Power organised community workshops at the City of Melville Civic Centre on 2 March 2010 and 27 May 2010.

These were not 'workshops' in their true sense. The first was a Community Comment Session where residents could express their thoughts, view and ideas based on what little information there was available. The session was conducted by a third party with matters raised recorded in longhand. There was no electronic recording of the session. There were no Western Power technical or managerial staff present.

The second session was a Community Answer and Question Session with the emphasis on Western Power answering questions and matters raised by the residents at the previous session. Western Power's answers to these questions were read out prior to 'question time.' The collection of questions from the March 2010 session (and Western Power's answers to these) were handed out at this session with no time for the residents to properly absorb the content. Serious concerns were raised from the floor with many questions cut short and /or not answered at all.

If an application is received for a substation, the City of Melville will expect Western Power to advertise the proposal, call for public submissions and organise further public consultation.

Western Power Response

Western Power has held several community consultation sessions in relation to the Melville-Fremantle Power Reinforcement Options project. We have responded to community suggestions of alternative sites by investigating and communicating the feasibility of those alternatives. Details of the sessions including report on proceedings can be found on the project's webpage - [click here](#).

Western Power held only two community consultation sessions as mentioned above, not several. The details of the alternatives purported to be in response to community suggestions is not correct. Western Power made these alternatives known in October 2009 well before community involvement. A Community Contact Session was held at Garden City Shopping Centre in November 2009, displaying five large marked up aerial photographs showing the location of each option with some brief descriptions. Survey forms were available for the public to express their opinion which resulted in the Community Comment Session in March 2010.

8.1 Mr E Nielsen of Booragoon (Continued)

In the event that a substation is required in the future, Western Power would conduct community sessions to allow the decision-making process to remain as transparent as possible.

***I am concerned about electromagnetic emissions from a potential substation.
What are the health risks Western Power's Website states:***

A common concern from community members relates to electromagnetic fields (EMF), which occur wherever electricity is used. They are a natural by-product of electricity and occur around all electrical items, including those in our homes.

We design, construct and operate our power lines and facilities in compliance with the guidelines recommended by the World Health Organisation and the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia.

This statement is a gross misrepresentation of facts. Neither organisation has any guidelines pertaining to the design, construction or operation of power lines and facilities. Nor have they any guidelines or standards on EMF for Western Power to follow. Australia is still awaiting publications from ARPANSA, either in the form of a Standard or Guidelines, in relation to long term EMF exposure for the public, an issue raised at the Electors' Special Meeting 7th October 2010.

We remain committed to following the recommended safe limits of exposure to EMF for workers and the public.

Do sub stations make noise?

Western Power's Website states:

Substations are known to emit noise. In some situations, it is necessary to build a solid wall around a substation or alternatively to install noise enclosures around transformers. These requirements are determined in accordance with Government defined noise regulations.

Western Power was given a directive (a 4 year Noise Mitigation Plan) by the relevant authority requiring them to lower the noise emission at 38 substations. The Noise Mitigation Plan expired on 31st December 2009. With the exception of two experimental trials conducted in 2009 none of this work has been completed.

SUMMARY

It is quite clear that the City has lost sight of the reasons for the Electors' Special Meeting that was held 7th October 2010.

It is hard to reconcile the ongoing 'exuberant grandstanding' that we see every time a new area is selected for underground power (mainly distribution lines), with the deafening silence and disinterest shown towards the substation and high voltage power lines (feeding these underground services), which are of far greater significance to the electors.

It is time for this matter to be elevated to a higher level of authority of stakeholders and not left for project officers and network officers to deal with.

Can the City please explain how it is going to re-connect with the community and participate in finding a solution to Western Power's inevitable expansion of its infrastructure, i.e. the substation and HV overhead power lines and also the dilapidated power poles and fittings?

8.1 Mr E Nielsen of Booragoon (Continued)Response

The City has applied for and received State and Western Power funding for a number of Underground Power Projects since the inception of the program in the mid 1990s. The City expects to continue to consider opportunities to have projects included in the Underground Power Program as those opportunities arise. Further enquiries on the issue of undergrounding transmission lines or distribution lines may be directed to Western Power

Western Power has recently advised in June 2011 that after conducting more detailed investigations into the capacity of the existing network and future load requirements, it has been identified that reinforcement works will not be required until after 2016. Western Power has further advised that because a solution is not required in the immediate future, it will be deferring its investigations to determine a preferred solution, as many variables may change within the longer time horizon involved.

8.2 Mr J McMillan-Brown and Mr K McMillan-Brown – Property Owners Ogilvie Road/Helm Street and Kishorn Road, Mount PleasantQuestion 1

19/2/2001

*Correspondence to Council regarding the Canning Bridge Frame.
There has been no response by Council.*

Response

It is noted that the current planning actions will examine the frame area and include community engagement.

Question 2

14/8/2001

Submission to Council for equity in planning in Canning Bridge Frame. Requested to be treated in the same manner as other developers. This was presented to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) Mr J McNally. There has been no response by Council.

Response

The City will review City records. The intended commencement of detailed planning and preparation of a structure plan for the Canning Bridge Precinct will examine the frame area and include community engagement in arriving at options.

8.2 Mr J McMillan-Brown and Mr K McMillan-Brown – Property Owners Ogilvie Road/Helm Street and Kishorn Road, Mount Pleasant (Continued)Question 3

2/11/2006

Submission to Council re Scheme Amendment 135. Submission included the owners in Ogilvie Road Lots 1 & 6 and Lot numbers Part 127, Part 126 and part 125.

Response

The submission on Scheme Amendment 35 was reviewed by Council and was referred to during the process of developing Canning Bridge Precinct.

Question 4

17/4/2007

Attended Council meeting for the consideration of Submissions to Amendment 35 to Community Planning Scheme 5 in which Council Director of Planning Mr Craig McClure requested a deferral of six to nine months. The CEO at the time was Mr Eric Lumsden.

There has been no response.

Response

Council resolved to defer Amendment 35 whilst the comprehensive planning for the Canning Bridge Precinct is progressing. It is planned that the Canning Bridge Vision will move to a detailed structure planning phase in 2012. This detailed planning stage will respond to all relevant planning considerations. It is envisaged Amendment 35 will be superseded by the Structure Plan for Canning Bridge Precinct and the associated amendments to the Local Planning Scheme.

Question 5

10/9/2008

Our representatives have attended all information sessions and meetings at Melville Council but there appears to be no progress in this vital part of the City.

Response

The strategic importance of the Canning Bridge Precinct is recognised by the City.

Completion of the Canning Bridge Precinct Vision and its ultimate release by the Minister for Planning on 30 June 2011 represented a significant milestone in progressing the planning for the future of the precinct. The next steps are underway with the City of Melville via a partnership involving the City of South Perth, Department on Planning, Department of Transport, Public Transport Authority and Main Roads WA which will progress testing of the Vision and the preparation of a detailed structure plan. This process is planned to commence in 2012 and will establish a framework for the implementation of future development.

8.2 Mr J McMillan-Brown and Mr K McMillan-Brown – Property Owners Ogilvie Road/Helm Street and Kishorn Road, Mount Pleasant (Continued)Question 6

How many studies of the Canning Bridge area have been carried out since 1975? As property owners we have attended three, as well as numerous meetings with the Council Officers and GHD Consultants.

Response

This information is not readily available and will be taken on notice and a further response provided. It is noted that outcomes from recent studies have been taken into consideration in the preparation of the Canning Bridge Precinct Vision.

Taken on Notice

Question 7

What has happened to those studies?

Response

The information gathered from all previous studies into this precinct has been incorporated into the background reporting for the Canning Bridge Precinct vision.

Question 8

Is Council aware of the dangerous traffic situation it has created by allowing T.A.B. Building to be built with no set back from the footpath alignment with a vehicle entry adjacent to Chicken Treat and in close proximity to the Sleat Road/Caning Highway intersection?

Response

The development in question received relevant approvals from State and Local Government however the City would be prepared to provide a further response on review of the dangerous traffic situation referred to access and traffic issues are to be considered in more detail in the next phase of the project.

Question 9

Can Council advise why the Orica building on Ogilvie Road was permitted to go to five storeys and the building opposite (Pinnacle House) was only permitted to go to two storeys?

Response

This question is taken on notice and a response will be provided.

Taken on Notice

8.2 Mr J McMillan-Brown and Mr K McMillan-Brown – Property Owners Ogilvie Road/Helm Street and Kishorn Road, Mount Pleasant (Continued)Question 10

Can Council advise how a building of nine storeys was allowed adjacent to the Shell Service Station on the corner of Canning Highway was permitted with the maximum allowed was two storeys?

Response

The property is in the District Centre zone which allows for building heights of generally six storeys and an average height of 20 metres with a maximum of 28 metres, having regard to Council Policy.

8.3 Mr R Carrigg of BictonQuestion 1

Can I keep two company vehicles at my property and have my employees use them in normal working hours?

Response

The Director Urban Planning advised that a written response would be provided to Mr Carrigg with further relevant details and provided a summary of the provisions under Clause 5.5 Parking of Town Planning Scheme 5 - Parking of Commercial Vehicles in Residential Areas wherein it states that no more than two commercial vehicles may be parked on a lot within a residential area subject to certain conditions which specified in Clause 5.5 and depending on the circumstances of the activity a home occupation approval may be required and that is not to say that the parking of two commercial vehicles entitles any kind of business to take place from the residential premises.

His Worship the Mayor called for any questions on the Community Annual Report 2010-2011. There were no questions received.

His Worship the Mayor called for a mover and seconder to receive the Community Annual Report. 2010-2011.

At 6.57pm Mr C Walkley of Bicton moved, seconded Mr S Petrossian of Applecross –

That the Community Annual Report 2010-2011 for the Year Ended 30 June 2011 be received.

At 6.58pm the Mayor submitted the motion which was declared **CARRIED**

At the conclusion of written questions, His Worship the Mayor invited questions from the meeting floor.

8.4 Mr M Holt President Bicton-Attadale Cricket Club & Resident of Kardinya

Mr Holt advised that the Bicton-Attadale Cricket Club had requested to use Troy Park for five hours on a Saturday afternoon between the months of October until March. The Club wants recognition by the Council and support with provision of reserve use.

Response

The Director Community Development Ms Young advised that the City accommodates a large number of established clubs in the City of Melville. Existing clubs regularly have to play outside of the City. Troy Park is used as a critical back up for other active reserves.

The Manager Health & Lifestyle Services advised the junior club at Troy Park is a feeder for four of the eight existing clubs. The City does not know what the impact on the sustainability of the other clubs within the City would be if another club was added. There are requests from the existing eight clubs for additional reserves which cannot be met currently. Assessment for sustainability for all sports for 20 years is being undertaken. The existing clubs are already restricted by available playing space.

8.5 Mr J Backhouse of Bicton

Mr Backhouse advised that Bicton Park was currently owned by Western Power and requested that the Council procure/save the Park. Mr Backhouse sought an update on the discussions and work that was being carried out to secure the park and any timelines.

Response

The Chief Executive Officer responded by saying that Western Power had reneged on offer of selling as a park for public open space to now offering the site at full market value. Details of the continuing negotiations will be presented to Council in February.

8.6 Mr J McMillan-Brown of Mount Pleasant

Mr McMillan-Brown advised of previous presentations to the Council relating to Ogilvie Road/Kishorn Road potential development in Canning Bridge Frame and queried the delay in being able to develop 10/11 storey apartments/commercial.

Response

The Chief Executive Officer advised of the status of the Vision for Canning Bridge and that no planning decisions can be made until the Vision is adopted as a Plan.

Director Urban Planning advised that funding approval was imminent from the Department of Planning for studies to be undertaken. The City expects to receive confirmation in two weeks. The City is obliged to follow State Government Policy particularly the Activity Centres Policy which requires that the City prepares a structure plan for the area before amendments to the Town Planning Scheme can be put through and that is why the Council is proceeding to initiate that structure planning process.

8.7 Mr M Holt President Bicton-Attadale Cricket Club & Resident of Kardinya

Mr Holt asked in relation to the comment from the Manager Health & Lifestyle Services that the four feeder clubs are in the area. Mr Holt advised that there are no boundaries in cricket. When the club formed, the South Metropolitan Cricket Association (SMCA) had a vote on this matter and all clubs were in agreement. Mr Holt advised he had spoken to presidents of the neighbouring clubs and no one objected to the club's formation and the SMCA agreed to the formation as did the Western Australian Cricket Association (WACA). Mr Holt asked if the City of Melville had received any objections.

Response

Manager Health & Lifestyle Services advised that in terms of the sustainability of the existing clubs, the City of Melville had not yet determined what the impact of additional club would have on the sustainability of existing clubs that are feeding from the Troy Park Junior Cricket Club.

Mr Holt asked a further question in relation to the Bicton-Attadale Cricket Club on why the SMCA and WACA supports them as a club but the club is not yet supported by the Council.

Response

The Chief Executive Officer advised of the pressure from existing clubs and that the City was at capacity. The City is looking strategically at the long term viability and use of all sporting facilities and ovals.

8.8 Mr M Boden of Attadale

Mr Boden asked why the Council would not recognise the Bicton-Attadale Cricket Club. The Club had put in two applications over the last two years and have been advised not to put in an application this year. Mr Boden asked why the East Fremantle Cricket Club and East Fremantle Junior Football Club were on the City of Melville Website and were not within the City of Melville.

Response

The Chief Executive Officer advised that the City of Melville is supplying support for the region.

The Manager Health & Lifestyle Services advised that the Club was welcome to submit an application for ground allocation however in terms of what is available and the pressures that the City has from existing clubs the application may not be favourable.

In terms of the other clubs that use the City's facilities, just because a club has a name that is not derived from within the City of Melville or its suburbs, does not mean that they do not have City of Melville residents who play in those clubs and generally the clubs that play in the City do have a substantial percentage of their membership base from the City of Melville.

On behalf of the Elected Members and Staff of the City of Melville, His Worship the Mayor thanked everyone for their attendance and wished everyone a Merry Christmas and a Happy and safe New Year. His Worship the Mayor invited Electors to enjoy refreshments with Elected Members and Officers.

9. CLOSURE

There being no further business, His Worship the Mayor, R Aubrey, declared the Meeting closed at 7.35pm.