

MINUTES

OF THE

SPECIAL MEETING OF COUNCIL

HELD ON

7 FEBRUARY 2012

AT 6.00PM IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS

MELVILLE CIVIC CENTRE

DISCLAIMER

PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING IMPORTANT DISCLAIMER BEFORE PROCEEDING:

Any plans or documents in agendas and minutes may be subject to copyright. The express permission of the copyright owner must be obtained before copying any copyright material.

Any statement, comment or decision made at a Council or Committee meeting regarding any application for an approval, consent or licence, including a resolution of approval, is not effective as an approval of any application and must not be relied upon as such.

Any person or entity who has an application before the City must obtain, and should only rely on, written notice of the City's decision and any conditions attaching to the decision, and cannot treat as an approval anything said or done at a Council or Committee meeting.

Any advice provided by an employee of the City on the operation of written law, or the performance of a function by the City, is provided in the capacity of an employee, and to the best of that person's knowledge and ability. It does not constitute, and should not be relied upon, as a legal advice or representation by the City. Any advice on a matter of law, or anything sought to be relied upon as representation by the City should be sought in writing and should make clear the purpose of the request.

CONTENTS PAGE

	Item Description	Page Number
T12/3289	Point Walter Restoration Project (CO33/11)	4
P12/3288	Confidential Item P12/3288 – Purchase, Sale or Development of Property Located at Lot 14 (410) Canning Highway, Lot 13 (412) Canning Highway and Lot 15 (168) Stock Road, Attadale	15



MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL MEETING OF THE COUNCIL HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS, MELVILLE CIVIC CENTRE, 10 ALMONDBURY ROAD, BOORAGOON, COMMENCING AT 6.00PM ON TUESDAY, 7 FEBRUARY 2012.

1. OFFICIAL OPENING

The Presiding Member welcomed those in attendance to the meeting and declared the meeting open at 6:00pm. Mr J Clark the Governance and Compliance Program Manager read aloud the Disclaimer and then His Worship the Mayor R A Aubrey, read aloud the Affirmation of Civic Duty and Responsibility.

Affirmation of Civic Duty and Responsibility

I make this Affirmation in good faith on behalf of Elected Members and Officers of the City of Melville. We collectively declare that we will duly, faithfully, honestly, and with integrity fulfil the duties of our respective office and positions for all the people in the district according to the best of our judgement and ability. We will observe the City's Code of Conduct and Standing Orders to ensure the efficient, effective and orderly decision making within this forum.

2. PRESENT

His Worship the Mayor, Russell Aubrey

COUNCILLORS

Deputy Mayor Cr C Robartson
Cr R Willis
Cr N Pazolli, Cr P Reidy
Cr A Nicholson, Cr D Macphail
Cr J Barton, Cr S Taylor-Rees
Cr R Hill
Cr N Foxton

WARD

Bull Creek/Leeming
Bull Creek/Leeming
Applecross/Mount Pleasant
City
Bicton/Attadale
Palmyra/Melville/Willagee
University

3. IN ATTENDANCE

Dr S Silcox	Chief Executive Officer
Mr B Taylor	A/Director Corporate Services
Ms C Young	Director Community Development
Mr S Cope	Director Urban Planning
Mr J Christie	Director Technical Services
Mr P Kellick (Until 6.32pm)	Manager Asset Management
Mr P Prendergast	Manager Planning & Development Services
Mr G Ponton	Manager Strategic Urban Planning
Mr J Clark	A/Manager Information, Technology & Support
Mr K Yeoh (from 6.28pm)	Senior Financial Accountant
Ms R Ho (Until 6.32pm)	Projects Coordinator
Mr N Fimmano	A/Governance & Compliance Program Manager
Mr A Smith	Consultant
Ms D Beilby	Minute Secretary

At the commencement of the meeting there were no members of the public and no members from the Press in the Public Gallery.

4. APOLOGIES AND APPROVED LEAVE OF ABSENCE**4.1 APOLOGIES**

Cr Kinnell – Palmyra-Melville-Willagee Ward
Cr M Reynolds – University Ward

4.2 APPROVED LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Nil.

5. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE PRESIDING MEMBER (WITHOUT DISCUSSION) AND DECLARATIONS BY MEMBERS**5.1 DECLARATIONS BY MEMBERS WHO HAVE NOT READ AND GIVEN DUE CONSIDERATION TO ALL MATTERS CONTAINED IN THE BUSINESS PAPERS PRESENTED BEFORE THE MEETING.**

Nil.

5.2 DECLARATIONS BY MEMBERS WHO HAVE RECEIVED AND NOT READ THE ELECTED MEMBERS BULLETIN.

Nil.

6. QUESTION TIME

Nil.

7. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**7.1 FINANCIAL INTERESTS**

Nil.

7.2 DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST THAT MAY CAUSE A CONFLICT

- T12/3289 Cr Barton - Proximity Interest
- T12/3289 Cr Taylor-Rees - Proximity Interest

8. APPLICATIONS FOR NEW LEAVES OF ABSENCE

At 6.03pm Cr Robartson moved, seconded Cr Willis -

That the applications for new leaves of absence submitted by His Worship the Mayor, R Aubrey and Cr B Kinnell on 7 February 2012 be granted.

At 6.03pm the Mayor submitted the motion, which was declared

CARRIED (11/0)

9. IDENTIFICATION OF MATTERS FOR WHICH MEETING MAY BE CLOSED

- P11/3288 Confidential Item P12/3288 – Purchase, Sale or Development of Property Located at Lot 14 (410) Canning Highway, Lot 13 (412) Canning Highway and Lot 15 (168) Stock Road, Attadale

The above matter is confidential in accordance with Section 5.23 (2) (c) & (h) of the Local Government Act 1995, and Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996 Clause 4A relating to the sale or purchase of property.

10. REPORTS OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

**T12/3289 – POINT WALTER RESTORATION PROJECT (CO33/11) (REC)
(CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENTS)**

Disclosure of Interest

Item No	: T12/3289
Elected Member/Officer	: Cr Barton
Type of Interest	: Proximity Interest in Accordance with the Act
Nature of Interest	: Lives opposite Point Walter
Request	: Stay, Discuss and Vote
Decision of Council	: Stay, Discuss and Vote

Disclosure of Interest

Item No	: T12/3289
Elected Member/Officer	: Cr Taylor-Rees
Type of Interest	: Proximity Interest in Accordance with the Act
Nature of Interest	: Lives opposite Point Walter
Request	: Stay, Discuss and Vote
Decision of Council	: Stay, Discuss and Vote

At 6.05pm Cr Barton and Cr Taylor-Rees, having declared an interest in this item, left the meeting whilst the Council voted on their request to stay, discuss and vote.

At 6.06pm Cr Macphail moved, seconded Cr Foxton -

That in accordance with Section 5.68 (1) of the Local Government Act 1995 Cr Barton and Cr Taylor-Rees be allowed to Stay, Discuss and Vote.

At 6.06pm the Mayor submitted the motion, which was declared

CARRIED (9/0)

At 6.07pm Cr Barton and Cr Taylor-Rees returned to the meeting.

Ward	: All
Category	: Operational
Subject Index	: Tenders
Customer Index	: City of Melville
Disclosure of any Interest	: No Officer involved in the preparation of this report has a declarable interest in this matter.
Previous Items	: T10/3160 21 September 2010 Ordinary Council Meeting
Works Programme	: Not Applicable
Funding	: 2011 – 2012 Budget
Responsible Officer	: Paul Kellick Manager Asset Management

T12/3289 – POINT WALTER RESTORATION PROJECT (CO33/11) (REC)
(CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENTS)

AUTHORITY / DISCRETION

DEFINITION

<input type="checkbox"/>	Advocacy	<i>when the Council advocates on its own behalf or on behalf of its community to another level of government/body/agency.</i>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Executive	<i>the substantial direction setting and oversight role of the Council. e.g. adopting plans and reports, accepting tenders, directing operations, setting and amending budgets.</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Legislative	<i>includes adopting local laws, town planning schemes & policies.</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Review	<i>When the Council review decisions made by Officers.</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Quasi-Judicial	<i>when the Council determines an application/matter that directly affects a person's right and interests. The judicial character arises from the obligation to abide by the principles of natural justice. Examples of Quasi-Judicial authority include town planning applications, building licences, applications for other permits/licences (eg under Health Act, Dog Act or Local Laws) and other decisions that may be appealable to the State Administrative Tribunal.</i>

**T12/3289 – POINT WALTER RESTORATION PROJECT (CO33/11) (REC)
(CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENTS)****KEY ISSUES / SUMMARY**

- To recommend acceptance of a tender for the Point Walter Restoration Project.

BACKGROUND

Tenders for the Point Walter Restoration Project were invited by advertisement in the West Australian newspaper on Wednesday 30 November 2011 and closed on Thursday 22 December 2011 at 4.pm.

The Point Walter Foreshore currently has high levels of erosion with severe losses of vegetation causing foreshore instability. Foreshores and river banks will experience erosion at some point as a naturally occurring process. The rate of erosion can be accelerated through various situations, including the removal or reduction in vegetation, increased wind and wave action, development and human activities such as boating and physical damage from trampling.

The Point Walter Reserve (Reserve A4813, Bush Forever Site 331) foreshore area is a highly utilised public open space and the erosion is severely impacting on the amenity of the area by reducing the foreshore available to the public for recreation.

It has been identified by the Swan River Trust as a Priority One area for management action in both riverbank and shoreline and vegetation categories. Much of the habitat value has been lost which means that it is vital to rehabilitate and revegetate the area to increase the amount of native vegetation present and to stabilise the foreshore.

Continued decline is expected from pressures such as competition from weed species, human impacts and exposure of roots systems. Without intensive restoration in terms of weed management and revegetation, the ecosystem condition will continue to decline.

Previous remediation attempts have been reactive and short term. The City carried out two sand re-nourishment projects in 2007 and 2009. Sand re-nourishment, although easy to implement, is only a short term solution with the majority displaced by erosion within six months. The likelihood of further erosion and loss of vegetation will result in permanent damage.

To provide a longer-term solution to the current erosion and habitat issues along the Point Walter Foreshore, the City has developed a Point Walter Foreshore Restoration Concept Plan which has previously been endorsed by Council (T10/3160). This concept was developed into detail designs for construction.

Implementation and construction of the works proposed will stabilise and protect the Point Walter Foreshore from further erosion using a combination of hard and soft engineering and natural landscaping options. Native vegetation will be increased along the foreshore, whilst protecting existing remnant vegetation, managing amenity and maintaining public access to the beach front.

**T12/3289 – POINT WALTER RESTORATION PROJECT (CO33/11) (REC)
(CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENTS)**

The works proposed provide an innovative and concise solution that aims to withstand the current and future pressures and due to the value and scope, a public tender process has been conducted.

Price Schedule

The Price Schedule forms part of the Attachments to the Agenda, which was distributed to the Members of the Contract and Tender Advisory Unit on Thursday 2 February 2012 and distributed to Elected Members on Friday 3 February 2012 under confidential cover.

Tender Evaluation Process

All tenders were evaluated using a price weighted attribute method with submissions being scored points firstly out of 43 percent qualitatively and then with price included (93 percent) finally with referee checks included, which is out of 100 percent. Qualitative scores were achieved by joint agreement of the panel members at the evaluation meeting having first scored each submission individually. The tender that has achieved the highest score overall for the services required with price included has been recommended.

The Evaluation Sheet forms part of the Attachments to the Agenda, which was distributed to the Members of the Contract and Tender Advisory Unit on Thursday 2 February 2012 and distributed to Elected Members on Friday 3 February 2012 under confidential cover.

The Evaluation Committee consisted of the Purchasing and Contracts Coordinator, the Manager Asset Management, the Projects Coordinator, the Landscape Architect, and the Environmental Officer.

The criteria for this tender were based on the following specific attributes.

1. Relevant Experience
2. Capacity to deliver the services
3. Methodology
4. References
5. Price

T12/3289 – POINT WALTER RESTORATION PROJECT (CO33/11) (REC)
(CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENTS)**DETAIL**

Tender documents issued: 30

Tender submissions received: two, as follows:

Natural Area Management & Services
Syrinx Environmental

No late tenders were received.

The submissions received were compliant with tendering conditions.

The evaluation methodology is outlined under the heading Tender Evaluation Process.

Natural Area Management & Services (NAMS) – NAMS is one of three business units that operate under a privately owned company of Natural Area Holdings and have been in operation since 2003. The business is focused on rehabilitation and management of natural and conservation areas within Western Australia and includes an accredited plant nursery, a business that supplies and distributes environmental products (erosion control materials) and environmental consulting services. The submission included eight projects (including work for the City of Melville (City)), under the criteria of relevant experience and a large number of projects completed through Natural Area Holdings. Criteria requirements of project values, dates, descriptions and outcomes were all included. The projects were similar in nature (but slightly smaller in size than the proposed project) and included projects that are on-going. Capacity to deliver information was well addressed and included details of key staff (names, skills and experience), a comprehensive plant and equipment list, financial capacity statement, a diverse project list and details of sub-contractors for the project. The company is not ISO accredited however it does operate with a quality management plan that encompasses all of the company structure. Occupational Safety & Health (OSH) policy was included along with safety records and lost time injury frequency rates (LTIFR) and a detailed risk management assessment. The company has a customer service policy which included its values and vision. The Disability Access Inclusion Plan was acknowledged with compliance to the City's requirements stated. Insurance requirements were fully compliant. Methodology included the proposed works schedule, mention of adherence to various legislations which would be applicable to the project was provided and detailed notes clarifying each stage was attached to the pricing schedule. Information surrounding heritage management and waste disposal was not addressed in sufficient detail and would require further clarification if appointed.

Qualitative Scoring: 34.60% out of 43%

Syrinx Environmental (Syrinx) – Syrxinx is an Australian based company established in 1989 with offices in Perth and Melbourne. Syrxinx specialises in landscape design and planning, ecological restoration, foreshore restoration and application of bioengineering techniques with a strong emphasis on the use of sustainable solutions for foreshore degradation issues. Relevant experience was demonstrated through a number of projects with design and assessment work a strong element.

**T12/3289 – POINT WALTER RESTORATION PROJECT (CO33/11) (REC)
(CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENT)**

Restoration projects which included Swan River foreshore had been performed with some projects having sub-contractor involvement. All criteria requirements were met. Key personnel information was indicated with expertise in design and master planning demonstrated. Information relating to length of employment with Syrinx was included for most staff and information of sub-contractor staff was included. Capacity to deliver was confirmed financially and a general list of plant and equipment was provided. The company is not ISO accredited but operates with adherence to quality assurance systems including environmental, health and safety, management plan and document control etc. General information (title headings and general statements) regarding OSH was provided with more detail available on request. Risk management was stated and follows Australian Standards. Safety records were included. Customer service standards were not itemised but inclusion of processes regarding quality checkpoints and reviews was mentioned. Sub-consultants were identified for project management of civil works and earthworks, with company information included. No irrigation sub-contractor was identified. The Disability Access Inclusion Plan was acknowledged with compliance to the City's requirements stated. Insurance requirements were fully compliant. Methodology proposed included a well described scope with heritage management strategies included (with some clarification required, if successful, over who would engage the monitors) and a generic waste disposal plan was provided. It should be noted that Syrinx were engaged by the City under tender CO25/10 to prepare the specifications for these works.

Qualitative Scoring: 35.70% out of 43%

Summary

Both submissions provided sufficient information under the required qualitative criteria detailed above to demonstrate ability to undertake the works and were further compared on price.

On price comparison the submission from NAMS achieved the highest score:

Syrinx - Qualitative score including price: 66.96% out of 93%

NAMS - Qualitative score including price: 84.60% out of 93%

NAMS were selected as the shortlisted tenderer. In addition to this result it was also seen as an advantage that this company has its own accredited nursery due to the requirement for plant stock later in the project.

Some additional detail regarding waste disposal was requested and confirmation that NAMS would manage the liaison with aboriginal monitors. A satisfactory response was received and confirmation of liaison management was confirmed. Further clarifications relating to specific pricing of items under the detailed rates schedules and retention fee percentage were also made and the responses were accepted by the business unit and panel.

Reference checks were conducted which were positive with good project outcomes being noted.

**T12/3289 – POINT WALTER RESTORATION PROJECT (CO33/11) (REC)
(CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENT)**

NAMS: Final score Stage 1, 2 & 3 – 90.20% out of 100%

PUBLIC CONSULTATION/COMMUNICATION

No public consultation has been required.

CONSULTATION WITH OTHER AGENCIES / CONSULTANTS

Syrinx were engaged under tender (CO25/10) to prepare the specifications which was advised in the advertised documentation and were not precluded from tendering.

STATUTORY AND LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Section 3.57 of the Local Government Act 1995 states “A Local Government is required to invite tenders before it enters into a contract of a prescribed kind under which another person is to supply goods or services”.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Point Walter Foreshore Redevelopment Account has an allocation of \$556,820 in the 2011/2012 budget. Of this \$144,775 has been either expended or committed for concept and detail design, feature survey or internal allocations leaving \$412,045.

The business case for the project allocated \$458,590 for 2011/2012 works which is \$46,545 in excess of the funds remaining. This is due to \$2,550 for additional survey works, \$10,003 for design of irrigation and \$33,935 for internal allocations for project management, marketing and signs, none of which were included in the business case costings.

The final cost estimate for Year 1, provided by the consultants was \$602,092 which included the following additional items:

- Irrigation - included as existing irrigation was damaged and needed to be replaced to service the new turf (\$60,800);
- A gross pollutant trap to capture runoff from the car park on advice from our environmental staff (\$40,000); and
- Indigenous monitoring (\$6,400).

Year one pricing was \$717,356 which is \$115,264 higher than the final cost estimate and \$258,766 higher than the business case anticipated.

The final cost estimate for the whole project was \$1,234,326. The business case estimated \$1,196,690, a difference of \$37,636.

**T12/3289 – POINT WALTER RESTORATION PROJECT (CO33/11) (REC)
(CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENT)**

Whilst there is a shortfall in the Year 1 budget with only \$412,045 remaining, the City has been successful in obtaining \$229,295 of funding from the Swan River Trust, as such, an additional \$76,016 will need to be allocated from the mid year review. In addition to this, it is also recommended that an additional 5% also be allocated to cover any unforeseen variations. This totals \$64,700 for the life of the project and \$35,870 in year one.

The total cost for the recommended tender is \$1,293,877.34 (subject to clarifications). This is \$59,551 higher than the final pre tender cost estimate and \$97,187 higher than the business case anticipated. While the costs for year one were higher than anticipated by the cost estimate (\$115,264), the costs for years two and three were lower than anticipated and reduced the overall impact to \$59,551.

Funding required for year two and year three works will be allocated in the budgets as they are prepared

STRATEGIC, ENVIRONMENTAL AND RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

Identified Risk	Risk Level	Risk Mitigation
That the project will not achieve the desired long-term cost benefits	Medium Unlikely/ major	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure adequate foreshore assessment and planning is carried out • Ensure the recommended foreshore stabilisation techniques are implemented correctly • Ensure that maintenance is continued to upkeep the works
That the project will not achieve the desired environmental outcomes	Medium Unlikely/ major	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Utilise current best practice foreshore management techniques (incl the SRT guidelines) • Continue to monitor the site over time and take action as necessary
That the project will not achieve the desired recreational outcomes	High Likely/ moderate	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure adequate community consultation is undertaken
That public safety and amenity will be compromised	Medium Unlikely/ moderate	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure that site management plans are implemented • Ensure that foreshore stabilisation works are carried out in a timely manner
That damage occurs to City of Melville assets	Medium Likely/ minor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contract specification requiring contractor to repair any damage • Undertake site induction

**T12/3289 – POINT WALTER RESTORATION PROJECT (CO33/11) (REC)
(CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENT)**

That damage occurs to third party assets through contractor actions	Low Unlikely/ minor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure adequate insurance by contractor - \$10 million public liability • Undertake site induction
That the recreational values of the area are compromised if no works are carried out	High Likely/ moderate	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Implement recommended foreshore restoration works

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Procurement of Goods and Services Policy CP-023.

ALTERNATE OPTIONS AND THEIR IMPLICATIONS

No alternate options were identified for this project.

CONCLUSION

The Council is required to consider this tender and the recommendation from the Contract and Tender Advisory Unit because the value of the contract exceeds the \$500,000 limit delegated to the Unit. It is the opinion of the Contract and Tender Advisory Unit that the tenderer recommended below should be accepted as the most advantageous. They have performed similar projects, have satisfied the required methodology criteria, have stated capacity to deliver the project outcomes and present the City with the best value for money overall.

EVALUATION PANEL RECOMMENDATION (3289) (CO33/11)

APPROVAL

1. That the tender submitted by Natural Area Holdings Pty Ltd trading as Natural Area Management & Services for the Point Walter Restoration Project for the lump sum price of \$1,293,877.34 excluding GST as specified, be accepted as the most advantageous.
2. That an amount of five percent being \$64,700 (rounded) excluding GST be allocated for authorised variations.
3. That the Manager Asset Management be authorised to expend monies during the course of the project from the budget and that any resulting variations that are within the percentage allocated will be authorised in accordance with the City of Melville's contract variation procedure.
4. That additional funding for the project is required to be identified in the 2012 Mid Year Review.

**T12/3289 – POINT WALTER RESTORATION PROJECT (CO33/11) (REC)
(CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENT)****CONTRACT AND TENDER ADVISORY UNIT RECOMMENDATION (3289) (CO33/11)
APPROVAL**

At 6.08pm Cr Robartson moved, seconded Cr Willis -

1. That the tender submitted by Natural Area Holdings Pty Ltd trading as Natural Area Management & Services for the Point Walter Restoration Project for the lump sum price of \$1,293,877.34 excluding GST as specified, be accepted as the most advantageous.
2. That an amount of five percent being \$64,700 (rounded) excluding GST be allocated for authorised variations.
3. That the Manager Asset Management be authorised to expend monies during the course of the project from the budget and that any resulting variations that are within the percentage allocated will be authorised in accordance with the City of Melville's contract variation procedure.
4. That additional funding for the project is required to be identified in the 2012 Mid Year Review.

Amendment

At 6.08pm Cr Reidy moved, seconded Cr Hill -

That;

1. ***Item 2 be deleted and items 3 and 4 be renumbered to 2 and 3.***
2. ***In the renumbered item 2 the words "Manager Asset Management" be deleted and replaced with "Chief Executive Officer".***

At 6.09pm the Mayor submitted the amendment, which was declared

CARRIED (11/0)Reasons for Amendment

Reference Item 2. The amount of five percent for authorised variations may be sought as a provision in the budget review rather than have the funding allocated outside of the Budget Review Program.

Reference renumbered Item 2. The Council provides delegations to the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Executive Officer may then on-delegate. The Council does not delegate directly to an officer except where legislation requires a specific qualification or licence to exercise a delegation.

**T12/3289 – POINT WALTER RESTORATION PROJECT (CO33/11) (REC)
(CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENT)**

At 6.28pm one member from the press and four members from the public entered the meeting.

At 6.28pm Mr K Yeoh entered the meeting.

COUNCIL RESOLUTION (3289)**APPROVAL**

At 6.09pm the Mayor submitted the substantive motion as amended –

- 1. That the tender submitted by Natural Area Holdings Pty Ltd trading as Natural Area Management & Services for the Point Walter Restoration Project for the lump sum price of \$1,293,877.34 excluding GST as specified, be accepted as the most advantageous.**
- 2. That the *Chief Executive Officer* be authorised to expend monies during the course of the project from the budget and that any resulting variations that are within the percentage allocated will be authorised in accordance with the City of Melville's contract variation procedure.**
- 3. That additional funding for the project is required to be identified in the 2012 Mid Year Review.**

At 6.32pm the Mayor declared the motion

CARRIED (11/0)

At 6.32pm Mr P Kellick and Ms R Ho left the meeting.

11. MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

Nil.

12. MOTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY OF THE COUNCIL

Nil.

13. MATTERS FOR WHICH THE MEETING MAY BE CLOSED

At 6.32pm Cr Reidy moved, seconded Cr Barton –

That the meeting be closed to the public to permit discussion on Item P11/3288 Purchase, Sale or Development of Property Located at Lot 14 (410) Canning Highway, Lot 13 (412) Canning Highway and Lot 15 (168) Stock Road, Attadale covered under Section 5.23 (2) (c) & (h) of the Local Government Act 1995, and Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996 Clause 4A relating to the sale or purchase of property.

At 6.32pm the Mayor submitted the motion, which was declared

CARRIED (11/0)

One member of the press and four members of the public left the meeting.

CONFIDENTIAL ITEM P12/3288 – PURCHASE, SALE OR DEVELOPMENT OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT LOT 14 (410) CANNING HIGHWAY, LOT 13 (412) CANNING HIGHWAY AND LOT 15 (168) STOCK ROAD, ATTADALE (REC) (CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENTS)

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION & COUNCIL RESOLUTION (3288) APPROVAL

At 6.34pm Cr Robartson moved, seconded Cr Reidy -

That the Council authorise the Chief Executive Officer to implement the course of action identified within Confidential Attachment A.

At 7.40pm the Mayor submitted the motion, which was declared

CARRIED (8/3)

Cr Nicholson and Cr Pazolli requested that their names be recorded as voting against the resolution.

At 7.40pm Cr Robartson moved, seconded Cr Reidy -

That the meeting come out from behind closed doors and the public be invited back into the meeting.

At 7.40pm the Mayor submitted the motion, which was declared

CARRIED (11/0)

14. CLOSURE

There being no further business to discuss, His Worship the Mayor declared the meeting closed at 7.41pm.