

**T20/3870 RFT192020 SHIRLEY STRICKLAND RESERVE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT
(REC) (CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENT)**

Ward : Central
 Category : Operational
 Subject Index : Tender
 Customer Index : City of Melville
 Disclosure of any Interest : No Officer involved in the preparation of this report has a declarable interest in this matter.
 Previous Items : Item 16.2 21 April 2015 Ordinary Meeting of Council, Item CD16/8093 – Shirley Strickland Reserve Concept Plan 13 December 2016 Ordinary Meeting of Council.
 Works Programme : Not Applicable
 Funding : Funded from various internal reserves and external funding secured from Lotterywest and the Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries (CSRFF fund)
 Responsible Officer : Mario Murphy – Manager City Buildings

AUTHORITY / DISCRETION

DEFINITION

<input type="checkbox"/>	Advocacy	<i>When the Council advocates on its own behalf or on behalf of its community to another level of government/body/agency.</i>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Executive	<i>The substantial direction setting and oversight role of the Council. e.g. adopting plans and reports, accepting tenders, directing operations, setting and amending budgets.</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Legislative	<i>Includes adopting local laws, town planning schemes & policies.</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Review	<i>When the Council operates as a review authority on decisions made by Officers for appeal purposes.</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Quasi-Judicial	<i>When the Council determines an application/matter that directly affects a person's right and interests. The judicial character arises from the obligation to abide by the principles of natural justice. Examples of Quasi-Judicial authority include town planning applications, building licences, applications for other permits/licences (eg under Health Act, Dog Act or Local Laws) and other decisions that may be appealable to the State Administrative Tribunal.</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Information	<i>For the Council/Committee to note.</i>

**T20/3870 RFT192020 SHIRLEY STRICKLAND RESERVE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT
(REC) (CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENT)**

KEY ISSUES / SUMMARY

To recommend the acceptance of a tender submitted for the Shirley Strickland Reserve Redevelopment Project.

BACKGROUND

The City of Melville (Principal), is seeking a suitably qualified and experienced Contractor to undertake the construction of the Shirley Strickland Reserve Redevelopment Project (Project). Shirley Strickland Reserve is located in Coogee Road Ardross.

The Project includes the construction of:

- A two-storey multi-use community facility which incorporates change rooms, sporting clubrooms and multi-purpose rooms.
- Sports field lighting.
- Hard and soft landscaped works.
- Verge improvements.
- Irrigation works.
- A path network.
- A nature playground, BBQ and picnic facilities.
- Outdoor exercise equipment.

DETAIL

The tender was advertised on 3 June 2020 and closed on 9 July 2020. A total of 8 compliant tenders were received and subsequently assessed by the Evaluation Panel. Qualitative scores were achieved by joint agreement of the Evaluation Panel members at the evaluation meeting after each panel member had scored the tender submission individually.

The City set four qualitative criteria for this Request being:

- Demonstrated Experience
- Capacity to Deliver
- Sustainable Procurement, and
- Methodology.

The Evaluation Panel reviewed all Respondent offers and prepared an Evaluation Report, identifying recommended Respondents.

The recommendation was supported by the Contract and Tender Advisory Unit (CTAU) at its meeting on 11 August 2020 and is put forward as part of the recommendation to the Council.

**T20/3870 RFT192020 SHIRLEY STRICKLAND RESERVE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT
(REC) (CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENT)**

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

I. COMMUNITY

Planning for the Shirley Strickland Reserve Redevelopment commenced in 2015. Since this time, and at each phase of the project's development, there has been comprehensive and detailed engagement with the local community, reserve users and the De la Hunty (née Strickland) family. The feedback and input received during the community consultation phases of the project has significantly influenced the final composition and scope of work related to the redevelopment project.

The engagement since 2015 occurred over two distinct phases, the Concept Plan (2015 – 2016) and the progression from the Concept Plan to Detailed Design (2018-2020). The stakeholder engagement process and outcomes are best summarised by the Melville Talks pages and can be found at the following links:

<https://www.melvillecity.com.au/our-city/connect-with-us/melville-talks/engagements/shirley-strickland-reserve-concept-plan-2016>

<https://www.melvillecity.com.au/our-city/connect-with-us/melville-talks/engagements/shirley-strickland-reserve-redevelopment>

II. OTHER AGENCIES / CONSULTANTS

Architectural, engineering, landscape architecture, and tender evaluation support services have been provided by Donovan Payne Architects.

Cost estimation, value engineering and tender evaluation support services have been provided by Turner and Townsend.

Planning services have been provided by Roberts Day.

The Planning Approval process included a presentation of the Project design to the Design Review Panel in March 2019. Comments from the Design Review Panel were incorporated into a revised design which was subsequently granted Planning Approval in May 2019 (DA-2019-167).

Development Approval for the replacement and upgrade of the Sports Field Lighting was granted in August 2020 (DA-2020-583)

STATUTORY AND LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996 Section 3.57 11 (1)

"A Local Government is required to invite tenders before it enters into a contract for another person to supply goods or services".

**T20/3870 RFT192020 SHIRLEY STRICKLAND RESERVE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT
(REC) (CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENT)**

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Pre-Tender Estimate	\$8,142,252
If the budget is exceeded by appointing the proposed contractor a budget amendment proposal must be included in the recommendation	Not applicable, within budget.

A total of \$1.51million of external grants has been secured for the project from Lotterywest and the Department of Local Government Sport and Cultural Industries (CSRFF fund).

It is critical to ensure the project construction commences as soon as practicable as this triggers the grant agreement contract for both grants received for the project, and enables the City to draw down on these vital funds.

The grant agreement between the City and both funding agencies has been extended on two occasions for each grant and the funding agencies have indicated that further extensions are unlikely to be granted. This is particularly relevant to the Lotterywest grant for which future funding has been redirected by the State Government toward new stimulus projects, as a response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

The remainder of the Project funding is drawn from various internal reserves.

STRATEGIC, RISK AND ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

Strategic Implications of these works relate only to the consequences of not procuring the Services through a tender, the WALGA Preferred Supplier Program or another Local Government, which would result in the City being in breach of the *Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996*.

There are no residual risk implications following the invitation and evaluation process conducted for this item. Actions taken to address identified risks are listed in a confidential attachment included in the Contract and Tender Advisory Unit Meeting Minutes of 11 August 2020.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

CP-023 Procurement of Products or Services

ALTERNATE OPTIONS AND THEIR IMPLICATIONS

The only alternative to approving this tender is to not proceed with the Project. Given the considerable amount of community engagement that has been undertaken during the course of the development of this Project this would likely lead to broad ranging outrage from the community and sporting clubs. In addition as noted above, the external funding secured for the Project would be lost for the community.

**T20/3870 RFT192020 SHIRLEY STRICKLAND RESERVE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT
(REC) (CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENT)**

CONCLUSION

The CTAU is satisfied that the recommended supplier has demonstrated that they have the necessary demonstrated experience, appropriate capacity to deliver, follow sustainable practices and follow a methodology in line with the City's expectations.

The recommended supplier offers the lowest cost to the City of the shortlisted submissions whilst satisfying the City's qualitative requirements therefore they offer the best value for money.

The confidential attachments are included in the Contract and Tender Advisory Unit Meeting Minutes of 11 August 2020 available on the Elected Members Portal.

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION (3870)

APPROVAL

- 1. Accept the recommendation as contained in the Confidential Attachment – RFT192020 Contract and Tender Advisory Unit Minutes, and**
- 2. Upon resolution of the recommendation, directs that the successful respondents' names be inserted below this point 2,**