

LATE ITEM P20/3869 RFT202102 REVIEW OF CANNING BRIDGE ACTIVITY CENTRE PLAN (REC) (CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENT)

Ward : All
 Category : Operational
 Subject Index : Tender
 Customer Index : City of Melville
 Disclosure of any Interest : No Officer involved in the preparation of this report has a declarable interest in this matter.
 Previous Items : P19/3817 Review of Canning Bridge Activity Centre Plan - Project Scope 20 and 27 August 2019 Ordinary Meeting of Council; P19/3831 Amendment to Canning Bridge Activity Centre Plan Limit to Bonus Height Results of Engagement Special Meeting of Council 27 November 2019 P20/3852 Review of Canning bridge Activity Centre Plan Finalise Project Scope Special Meeting of Council 4 May 2020.
 Works Programme : Not Applicable
 Funding : Various operational budgets
 Responsible Officer : Gavin Ponton – Manager Strategic Urban Planning

AUTHORITY / DISCRETION

DEFINITION

<input type="checkbox"/>	Advocacy	<i>When the Council advocates on its own behalf or on behalf of its community to another level of government/body/agency.</i>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Executive	<i>The substantial direction setting and oversight role of the Council. e.g. adopting plans and reports, accepting tenders, directing operations, setting and amending budgets.</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Legislative	<i>Includes adopting local laws, town planning schemes & policies.</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Review	<i>When the Council operates as a review authority on decisions made by Officers for appeal purposes.</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Quasi-Judicial	<i>When the Council determines an application/matter that directly affects a person's right and interests. The judicial character arises from the obligation to abide by the principles of natural justice. Examples of Quasi-Judicial authority include town planning applications, building licences, applications for other permits/licences (eg under Health Act, Dog Act or Local Laws) and other decisions that may be appealable to the State Administrative Tribunal.</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Information	<i>For the Council/Committee to note.</i>

**P20/3869 – RFT202101 REVIEW OF CANNING BRIDGE ACTIVITY CENTRE PLAN (REC)
(CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENT)**

KEY ISSUES / SUMMARY

To recommend the acceptance of a tender submitted for the Review of Canning Bridge Activity Centre Plan.

BACKGROUND

The Council has resolved to commence a review of the Canning Bridge Activity Centre Plan (CBACP). The anticipated focus of the review is to examine height controls, transition between different height zones, the operation of the bonus height provisions and response to general aspects of the Plan. The scope of the project recognises that issues regarding building height and the awarding of bonus height cannot be examined in isolation.

The overall purpose of this project is to undertake a review of the CBACP and the preparation of a modified content to the CBACP that responds to the key issues and actions identified in the project scope of services. The consultant is to understand the current operation of the CBACP, understand the position of the state agencies with respect to the CBACP, understand the range of issues and concerns raised by stakeholders with respect to the operation of the CBACP and respond to these and other matters with informed and innovative solutions. The need for the review relates largely to the emergence of different expectations amongst different stakeholder groups. The goal of the project is to respond to these different viewpoints and explore options to arrive at unified solutions.

DETAIL

Qualitative scores were achieved by joint agreement of the evaluation panel members at the evaluation meeting after each panel member had scored the submission individually. The City set three qualitative criteria for this Request, being Demonstrated Experience, Key Personnel and Methodology.

The Evaluation Panel reviewed all Respondent offers and prepared an Evaluation Report, identifying recommended Respondents.

The recommended respondent then presented to Elected Members at a Special Elected Member Information Session (EMIS) on 6 August 2020.

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

I. COMMUNITY

Extensive stakeholder engagement will be undertaken throughout this project.

**P20/3869 – RFT202101 REVIEW OF CANNING BRIDGE ACTIVITY CENTRE PLAN (REC)
(CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENT)**

II. OTHER AGENCIES / CONSULTANTS

No other agencies/consultants has been required or undertaken for this tender.

STATUTORY AND LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996 Section 3.57 11 (1)

"A Local Government is required to invite tenders before it enters into a contract for another person to supply goods or services".

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Pre-Tender Estimate	\$400,000.00
If the budget is exceeded by appointing the proposed contractor a budget amendment proposal must be included in the recommendation	Not applicable, within budget.

STRATEGIC, RISK AND ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

Strategic Implications of these works relate only to the consequences of not procuring the Services through a tender, the WALGA Preferred Supplier Program or another Local Government, which would result in the City being in breach of the *Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996*.

There is no residual risk implications following the invitation and evaluation process conducted for this item. Actions taken to address identified risks are listed in a confidential attachment.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

CP-023 Procurement of Products or Services

ALTERNATE OPTIONS AND THEIR IMPLICATIONS

No alternate options have been identified.

**P20/3869 – RFT202101 REVIEW OF CANNING BRIDGE ACTIVITY CENTRE PLAN (REC)
(CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENT)**

CONCLUSION

The Evaluation Panel is satisfied that the recommended supplier has demonstrated that they have the necessary demonstrated experience, appropriate capacity to deliver, and follow a methodology in line with the City's expectations.

The recommended supplier is well positioned to meet the request conditions and represents value for money.

The confidential attachments are included in the Special EMIS Minutes of 6 August 2020 available on the Elected Members Portal.

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION (3869)

APPROVAL

- 1. Accept the recommendation as contained in the Confidential Attachment – RFT202102 Special Elected Members Information Session Minutes, and**
- 2. Upon resolution the recommendation, directs that the successful respondents' names be inserted below this point 2, awarded;**