



City of  
**Melville**

**NOTES**  
**AGENDA BRIEFING FORUM**

**6:30pm Tuesday, 12 August 2025**

Held in the Council Chambers, Melville Civic Centre,  
10 Almondbury Road, Booragoon

The City of Melville acknowledges the Bibbulmun people as the Traditional Owners and custodians of the lands on which the City stands today and pays its respect to the Whadjuk people, and Elders both past, present and emerging.

**Notes to be confirmed at the next Ordinary Council Meeting**

These minutes are hereby confirmed as true and accurate

Presiding Member

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'R. S.', written over a horizontal line.

Date

19 AUGUST 2025



**Vision**

Vibrant, Sustainable, Inclusive Melville

**Mission**

To provide good governance and quality services for the City of Melville community.

**Values**

In everything we do, we seek to adhere to our values that guide our behaviour.

- **Excellence** - Striving for the best possible outcomes.
- **Participation** – Involving, collaborating and partnering.
- **Integrity** - Acting with honesty, openness and with good intent.
- **Caring** – Demonstrating empathy, kindness and genuine concern.

**Our Approach**

To put our customer at the centre of everything we do.



Social / Community	Environment	Built Environment	Economic	Governance
<b>Healthy, Safe and Inclusive</b>	<b>Clean and Green</b>	<b>Sustainable and Connected Development</b>	<b>Vibrant and Prosperous</b>	<b>Good Governance and Leadership</b>
Healthy, safe and inclusive communities with a sense of belonging and wellbeing.	A clean, green and sustainable City for current and future generations.	Sustainable, connected development and transport infrastructure across our City.	Economic prosperity and vibrant resilient communities and businesses.	Leadership and good governance for the benefit of the whole community.

## Making A Deputation

A deputation is a verbal presentation by one or more members of the public on a matter to be considered at the Council meeting. Deputations are made at the relevant Agenda Briefing Forum, held one week prior to the Ordinary Meeting of Council.

Information on making a deputation is available on the City's website. [Request to make a Deputation.](#)

## Public Question Time

You can ask a question at a Council meeting during Public Question Time. Information on how to ask a question can be found on the City's website. [Public Question Time.](#)

Complex questions or those related to matters on the agenda and requiring a response at the meeting are "questions on notice" and should be submitted in writing, by the close of business the Tuesday prior to the meeting.

## Disclaimer

Any plans or documents in agendas and minutes may be subject to copyright. The express permission of the copyright owner must be obtained before copying any copyright material.

Any statement, comment or decision made at a Council or Committee meeting regarding any application for an approval, consent or licence, including a resolution of approval, is not effective as an approval of any application and must not be relied upon as such.

Any person or entity who has an application before the City must obtain, and should only rely on, written notice of the City's decision and any conditions attaching to the decision, and cannot treat as an approval anything said or done at a Council or Committee meeting.

Any advice provided by an employee of the City on the operation of written law, or the performance of a function by the City, is provided in the capacity of an employee, and to the best of that person's knowledge and ability. It does not constitute, and should not be relied upon, as a legal advice or representation by the City. Any advice on a matter of law, or anything sought to be relied upon as representation by the City should be sought in writing and should make clear the purpose of the request.

## Audio-Visual Recording and Live Streaming

In accordance with the Council Policy CP-088 Live Streaming and Audio-Visual Recordings of Public Meetings of the Council, this meeting is electronically recorded and broadcast to the [City of Melville Council Meetings YouTube Channel](#). All recordings are retained as part of the City's records in accordance with the *State Records Act 2000* and the General Disposal Authority for Local Government Records. Learn more about [live streaming and audio-visual recordings of meetings](#) on the City of Melville website.

---

## Purpose of Agenda Briefing Forum

The purpose of this Forum is to provide an opportunity for Elected Members to ask questions and obtain additional information in respect to reports and items on the attached Council Agenda. It is not a decision making forum, nor is it open for debate on matters. Members of the public are able to present deputations in respect to matters on the Council Agenda at this Forum, prior to matters being formally deliberated upon at the next Ordinary Council Meeting.

CONFIRMED

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Official Opening</b> .....	<b>7</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Attendance and Apologies</b> .....	<b>7</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Declarations by Members</b> .....	<b>9</b>
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Declarations by Members who have not read and given due consideration to all matters contained in the business papers presented before the Meeting</b> .....	<b>9</b>
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Declarations by Members who have received and not read the Elected Members Bulletin</b> .....	<b>9</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Announcements by the Presiding Member (Without Discussion)</b> .....	<b>9</b>
	<b>Approved Deputations</b> .....	<b>9</b>
	<b>Approved Written Submission</b> .....	<b>9</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Disclosure of Interest</b> .....	<b>9</b>
<b>5.1</b>	<b>Financial or Proximity Interests</b> .....	<b>9</b>
<b>5.2</b>	<b>Disclosure of Interest That May Cause a Conflict</b> .....	<b>11</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Public Question Time</b> .....	<b>12</b>
<b>6.1</b>	<b>Questions Received with Notice</b> .....	<b>12</b>
<b>6.2</b>	<b>Questions Received at the Meeting</b> .....	<b>12</b>
<b>6.3</b>	<b>Questions Taken on Notice at Previous Meeting</b> .....	<b>13</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Awards and Presentations</b> .....	<b>13</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Applications for New Leave of Absence</b> .....	<b>13</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Confirmation of Minutes</b> .....	<b>13</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>New Business of an Urgent Nature</b> .....	<b>13</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>Identification of Matters for which Meeting May Be Closed</b> .....	<b>13</b>
<b>12</b>	<b>Petitions</b> .....	<b>14</b>
<b>13</b>	<b>Adoption of Recommendations En Bloc</b> .....	<b>14</b>
<b>14</b>	<b>Reports</b> .....	<b>15</b>
UP25/81	Lot 1 & 2 (No. 55 and 55A) Kishorn Road, Mount Pleasant - Seven Storey Multiple Dwelling Development .....	<b>15</b>
15.4	Notice of Motion - Applecross Ferry Terminal.....	<b>26</b>
<b>14.1</b>	<b>Reports from Committees</b> .....	<b>28</b>
UP25/77	New Council Policy - State Administrative Tribunal .....	<b>28</b>
<b>14.2</b>	<b>Reports of the Chief Executive Officer</b> .....	<b>29</b>
	<b>Management Services</b> .....	<b>29</b>
	<b>Corporate Services</b> .....	<b>30</b>
C25/301	WALGA Annual General Meeting - Nomination of City of Melville Voting Delegates.....	<b>30</b>
C25/302	Investment Statements for June 2025 .....	<b>31</b>

C25/303	Schedule of Accounts Paid for June 2025 .....	32
C25/304	Statements of Financial Activity for June 2025 .....	33
<b>Community Development.....</b>		<b>34</b>
CD25/49	Morris Buzacott Reserve Change Room and Storeroom Refurbishment CSRFF Application.....	34
<b>Environment and Infrastructure.....</b>		<b>37</b>
E25/74	Kearns Crescent Renewal.....	37
E25/76	Deferral - Policy Review - CP-029 Tree Policy .....	40
E25/79	RFQ242514 - Refurbishment of Changerooms at Karoonda Reserve .....	41
<b>Planning .....</b>		<b>43</b>
UP25/78	Review of Local Planning Policy 1.21 - Short Term Accommodation.....	43
UP25/79	Bull Creek and Murdoch Train Station Precinct Plans .....	45
UP25/80	Canning Bridge Activity Centre Plan Review - Further Information Request - Department of Planning Lands and Heritage .....	46
UP25/81	Lot 1 & 2 (No. 55 and 55A) Kishorn Road, Mount Pleasant - Seven Storey Multiple Dwelling Development .....	49
<b>15</b>	<b>Motions with Previous Notice .....</b>	<b>49</b>
15.1	Notice of Motion - Dual Naming of Cemetery .....	49
15.2	Notice of Motion - Additional Green Waste Bins.....	50
15.3	Notice of Motion - CBACP & Precinct Plans in the LPS6 Review .....	51
15.4	Notice of Motion - Applecross Ferry Terminal.....	52
<b>16</b>	<b>Motions without Previous Notice (approval by absolute majority) .....</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>17</b>	<b>Matters for Which Meeting was Closed to the Public.....</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>18</b>	<b>Decisions Made While meeting was Closed to the Public .....</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>19</b>	<b>Closure .....</b>	<b>53</b>

## 1 OFFICIAL OPENING

The Presiding Member welcomed those in attendance to the meeting, officially declared the meeting open at 6:30pm and invited Cr S Hong to read the Acknowledgement of Country and advised those present of the Purpose of the Agenda Briefing Forum, the Disclaimer, the Affirmation of Civic Duty and Responsibility and the Audio Recording Advice.

## 2 ATTENDANCE AND APOLOGIES

### In Attendance

K Mair

Mayor

#### Councillors

Cr T Fitzgerald

Cr K Wheatland *(from 7:35pm until 8:41pm)*

Cr G Barber

Cr J Edinger

Cr N Robins

Cr C Ross

Cr J Spanbroek *(from 6:36pm)*

Cr M Woodall

Cr D Lim

Cr S Hong

Cr S Green

Cr T Lee

#### Ward

Palmyra - Melville - Willagee Ward

Palmyra - Melville - Willagee Ward

Bicton - Attadale - Alfred Cove Ward

Bicton - Attadale - Alfred Cove Ward

Bateman - Kardinya - Murdoch Ward

Applecross - Mount Pleasant Ward

Bull Creek - Leeming Ward *(electronic attendance)*

Bull Creek - Leeming Ward

Applecross - Mount Pleasant Ward

Bateman - Kardinya - Murdoch Ward

Central Ward *(electronic attendance)*

Central Ward

### Officers

Ms G Bowman

Chief Executive Officer

Mr P Molony

Acting Director Environment & Infrastructure

Ms M Pickering

Director Community Development

Mr T Free

Acting Director Planning

Ms A Ferreira *(until 8:42pm)*

Chief Financial Officer

Mr T Cappellucci *(until 8:55pm)*

Acting Manager Development Approvals

Mr B Ashwood *(until 9:13pm)*

Acting Manager Strategic Planning

Mr S Redclift *(until 8:41pm)*

Acting Manager Parks & Environment

Ms J Ahola *(until 8:41pm)*

Open Space Design Coordinator

Ms R Ward *(from 8:36pm until 8:41pm)*

Manager City Buildings & Projects

Ms C Newman

Head of Governance

Ms M Smith Poulton

Lead Governance

Ms R Theunissen

Elected member Support Officer

Ms T Wright

Governance Officer

**External**

Mr J Pope *(from 6:48pm until 7:11pm)*

Altus Planning

At the commencement of the meeting:

Public Gallery

3

**Apologies**

Nil

**On Approved Leave of Absence**

Cr K Wheatland

Palmyra - Melville - Willagee Ward

CONFIRMED

**3 DECLARATIONS BY MEMBERS**

**3.1 Declarations by Members who have not read and given due consideration to all matters contained in the business papers presented before the Meeting**

Nil.

**3.2 Declarations by Members who have received and not read the Elected Members Bulletin**

Nil.

**4 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE PRESIDING MEMBER (WITHOUT DISCUSSION)**

**Approved Deputations**

- Mr D Porter, Mount Pleasant  
Item UP25/781 Lot 1 & Lot 2 (No 55 and 55A) Kishorn Road, Mount Pleasant – Seven Story Multiple Dwelling Development
- Mr P Eggleston, Applecross  
Item Motion with Notice 15.4 Applecross Ferry Terminal

**Approved Written Submission**

- City of Melville Residents and Ratepayers Association  
Item UP25/77 New Council Policy - State Administrative Tribunal

**5 DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST**

**5.1 Financial or Proximity Interests**

Under sections 5.60A and/or 5.60B of the *Local Government Act 1995*

UP25/81 Lot 1 & 2 (No. 55 and 55A) Kishorn Road, Mount Pleasant - Seven Storey Multiple Dwelling Development

Name	<b>Cr T Lee</b>
Nature of interest	Financial Interest
Item description	Leave the meeting

E25/74 Kearns Crescent Renewal

Name	<b>Mayor K Mair</b>
Nature of interest	Proximity Interest
Item description	Leave the meeting

E25/79 - E25/79 RFQ242514 - Refurbishment of Changerooms at Karoonda Reserve

Name	<b>Cr S Green</b>
Nature of interest	Proximity Interest
Item description	Leave the meeting

UP25/78 Review of Local Planning Policy 1.21 - Short Term Accommodation

Name	<b>Cr T Lee</b>
Nature of interest	Financial Interest
Item description	Leave the meeting
Name	<b>Cr T Fitzgerald</b>
Nature of interest	Financial Interest
Item description	Leave the meeting

UP25/80 Canning Bridge Activity Centre Plan Review - Further Information Request - Department of Planning Lands and Heritage

Name	<b>Mayor K Mair</b>
Nature of interest	Financial Interest
Nature of interest	Financial Interest
Item description	Leave the meeting
Name	<b>Cr T Lee</b>
Nature of interest	Proximity Interest
Item description	Leave the meeting

15.3 Notice of Motion - CBACP & Precinct Plans in the LPS6 Review

Name	<b>Mayor K Mair</b>
Nature of interest	Financial Interest
Item description	Leave the meeting
Name	<b>Cr T Lee</b>
Nature of interest	Proximity Interest
Item description	Leave the meeting

**5.2 Disclosure of Interest That May Cause a Conflict**

Under 22 Local Government (Model Code of Conduct) Regulations 2021 or a City of Melville (Code of Conduct)

UP25/81 Lot 1 & 2 (No. 55 and 55A) Kishorn Road, Mount Pleasant - Seven Storey Multiple Dwelling Development

Name	<b>Cr M Woodall</b>
Nature of interest	Impartiality Interest
Item description	Stay, Discuss and Vote
Name	<b>Cr S Hong</b>
Nature of interest	Impartiality Interest
Item description	Stay, Discuss and Vote
Name	<b>Cr N Robins</b>
Nature of interest	Impartiality Interest
Item description	Stay, Discuss and Vote

At 6:36pm, Cr J Spanbroek electronically joined the meeting.



## **6 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME**

At 6:37pm, the Presiding Member opened Public Question Time.

### **6.1 Questions Received with Notice**

#### **6.1.1 Mr P Eggleston, Applecross**

Preamble to Question 1 to 2:

*I understand that Councillor Clive Ross is moving two motions. One on engaging the state government re bus and traffic plans and managing congestion in the Canning Bridge precinct in response to the Ferry landing proposal, which I support. Secondly, proposing a multi story car park building on the Tivoli parking space on Canning Beach Road, which I oppose.*

Question 1:

*How does the City propose to respond to and manage the consequential impacts of the proposed Ferry landing, including bus and traffic congestion in the Canning Bride precinct?*

Response 1:

The City will be meeting with Public Transport Authority representatives and raising questions as to what considerations they have given to the mode of transport which patrons of the ferry service are expected to use to get to the ferry terminal. The City also plans to raise what considerations have been given to the impacts of such movements on Canning Highway, the local street network, and how modifications to any bus routes could assist in easing any foreseen additional congestion. This advice from the Public Transport Authority will be able to be reported to Council and will be used to formulate a response from Council.

Question 2:

*What engagement is proposed with local stakeholders regarding parking options associated with the proposed Ferry landing?*

Response 2:

As part of the discussion with the Public Transport Authority, questions will be raised in relation to the Authority's consideration of the provision of additional public parking for patrons of the ferry service, within the context of expected numbers of ferry patrons and expected modes of transport to be used by patrons to get to the ferry terminal. In addition to this the City will need to give further consideration to the control of existing public parking following the receipt of the further information from the Public Transport Authority. Once the City has this additional information, consideration will need to be given to how the City manages its parking within the vicinity of the ferry terminal and what level of engagement occurs with stakeholders.

### **6.2 Questions Received at the Meeting**

Nil.

### **6.3 Questions Taken on Notice at Previous Meeting**

This item is detailed in the Agenda for the Ordinary Meeting of Council to be held on Tuesday, 19 August 2025.

At 6:41pm, the Presiding Member closed Public Question Time.

## **7 AWARDS AND PRESENTATIONS**

This item will be dealt with at the Ordinary Meeting of Council to be held on Tuesday, 19 August 2025.

## **8 APPLICATIONS FOR NEW LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

This item will be dealt with at the Ordinary Meeting of Council to be held on Tuesday, 19 August 2025.

## **9 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**

This item is detailed in the Agenda for the Ordinary Meeting of Council to be held on Tuesday, 19 August 2025.

## **10 NEW BUSINESS OF AN URGENT NATURE**

Nil.

## **11 IDENTIFICATION OF MATTERS FOR WHICH MEETING MAY BE CLOSED**

At 6:42pm, the Presiding Member advised the council that the following items have been identified as containing confidential attachments:

- E25/79 RFQ242514 - Refurbishment Of Changerooms At Karoonda Reserve; and
- UP25/81 Lot 1 & 2 (No. 55 And 55A) Kishorn Road, Mount Pleasant - Seven Storey Multiple Dwelling Development

**12      PETITIONS**

This item is detailed in the Agenda for the Ordinary Meeting of Council to be held on Tuesday, 19 August 2025.

**13      ADOPTION OF RECOMMENDATIONS EN BLOC**

This item is detailed in the Agenda for the Ordinary Meeting of Council to be held on Tuesday, 19 August 2025.

CONFIRMED

## 14 REPORTS

### Items Brought Forward

At 6:44pm, the Presiding Member brought forward item UP25/81 Lot 1 & 2 (No. 55 and 55A) Kishorn Road, Mount Pleasant - Seven Storey Multiple Dwelling Development for the convenience of those providing a deputation.

6:44pm  
12/08/2025

Cr T Lee, having disclosed a financial interest in Item UP25/81 (detailed in Item 5) left the meeting.

### UP25/81 Lot 1 & 2 (No. 55 and 55A) Kishorn Road, Mount Pleasant - Seven Storey Multiple Dwelling Development

#### Deputations

At 6:46pm, Mr D Porter gave a deputation which concluded at 6.47pm.  
At 6.47pm, Mr D Porter returned to the Public Gallery.

#### Officer Presentation

At 6:48pm, Mr J Pope entered the meeting.  
At 6:48pm, Mr T Free, Acting Director Planning and Mr J Pope, External Consultant, responded to questions which concluded at 7:11pm.  
At 7:11pm, Mr J Pope left the meeting and did not return.

#### Disclosure of Interest

Cr T Lee – Financial Interest.  
Cr S Hong – Impartiality Interest  
Cr M Woodall – Impartiality Interest  
Cr N Robins – Impartiality Interest

#### Notes from Forum

General discussion took place regarding the item and officer recommendation. During discussion of the item, the following questions and/or requests for information were raised by Elected Members and will form part of the Final Ordinary Meeting of Council Agenda:

##### Question 1:

*Are there any barriers to the City requiring the development of a Traffic Management or Construction Management Plan for a development of this size?*

##### Response 1:

There is a requirement for a construction management plan and it could include construction vehicles. The condition will be reviewed if it is not covered by the existing condition it can be included.

##### Question 2:

*Is it possible to include a requirement in the Construction Management Plan addresses the concerns raised by the deputation in relation parking and traffic management during the construction phase?*

##### Response 2:

The wording can be reviewed to include that construction parking needs to be covered by the Construction Management Plan. The officers will review the wording to consider whether the wording needs to be changed in the Final Ordinary Meeting of Council agenda.

Question 3:

*Can the information in the Advice Note be included as a condition rather than an advice note?*

Response 3:

Advice Note 2 sets out what the City will look for in terms of a Construction Management Plan. However, the advice note doesn't hold any weight to a planning condition, but rather advises the applicant what the City's expectations are.

As per condition 3 of the Construction Management Plan, it is to the satisfaction of the City so there is some flexibility there even if it's not specifically mentioned in the advice note but certainly something that the City can look at revising, whether that be in a condition or the advice note.

Question 4:

*What accessibility do neighbours have to Construction Management plan and the Traffic Management Plans and Construction Management Plans, are they disseminated to them personally or do they need to access them via the website?*

Response 4:

The Construction Management Plan will address the provision of parking for trades person during the construction process. The City's Local Planning Policy 1.22 referenced in Advice Note No. 2 requires that the Construction Management Plan must demonstrate how adequate parking for worksite personnel is achieved. At a practical level, the process of determining how this achieved is will now commence with internal discussions within the City and then officers will engage with the Developer prior to them engaging a Builder, to ensure that all parties have considered this matter prior to construction commencing.

The Construction Management Plan will include reference to the need for a traffic management plan for the construction process to be prepared. A separate Construction Traffic Management Plan will be prepared. With respect to cranes the Local Planning Policy 1.22 requires that the Construction Management Plan shows the location of proposed cranes.

Once approved the Construction Management Plan and the Construction Traffic Management Plan will be provided on the City's website, to allow other parties to view the document.

Question 5:

*Do the developers organise the Traffic Management plan or does the City?*

Response 5:

The Construction Management Plan, inclusive of the Traffic Management Plan is prepared by the applicant, and through their expert traffic consultant if required. This is reviewed by the City's engineering team.

Question 6:

*There have been previous issues with cranes intruding into air space over neighbouring properties, is this being considered and dealt appropriately as part of this project?*

Response 6:

This is not a valid planning consideration under the deemed provisions however this could be addressed through the Construction Management Plan.

Question 7:

*In relation to Condition 16 which refers to a notification of the Transfer of Land Act over the Certificate of Title in relation to transport noise, is this referring to transport noise from Canning Highway and where did this condition originate from?*

Response 7:

Transport noise is a consideration under State Planning Policy 5.4 - Road and Rail. This is a standard condition throughout the State and is a notification on the Title advising any prospective purchasers that noise may have some impacts on in the future on their purchase.

Question 8:

*Further details on the slight height extension and if this will set a precedent in the future?*

Response 8:

This should not set a precedent as each application is assessed on its merits. In terms of the topography of the land there is approximately a 5 metre fall from the rear to the front and it is noted within the assessment that there and increased amount of fill to make it a flat site. Due to that fill there is going to be a relatively minor increase in the overall height of the site.

Question 9:

*Could the condition numbers be reviewed from condition number 16 onwards?*

Response 9:

The conditions numbers will be reviewed and corrected administratively within the Final Ordinary Meeting of Council Agenda.

Question 10:

*There seems to be quite a few advice notes, and quite a few of those historically have been conditions, as they are giving direction to the Developer is there scope for these to be shifted into the condition section?*

Response 10:

A lot of the advice notes relate to the conditions themselves, and are to the satisfaction of the City. There is no right or wrong to include them in the conditions, but these will become quite stringent with less flexibility.

Question 11:

*Is the City looking at a way in which the Tree Protection Zone can be enforced?*

Response 11:

If a verge permit is required for the construction, which is likely in this case, it will be a requirement of that permit that a tree protection zone will be incorporated.

Question 12:

*How will the trees be protected?*

Response 12:

If the trees are on the verge and require a verge permit, the trees will be required to be fenced. The City is not aware of a council being in a position to require that the applicant of a development be required to water a tree which sits within the City's responsibilities on our verge. It can be difficult for some trees if they have been dependant on water to survive during a construction period.

Question 13:

*At the development application stage is there any requirements that can be included that the developer needs to maintain the trees, including watering?*

Response 13:

Trees on the verge are the City's responsibility to water the tree. Where trees are on private land there would need to be a specific condition, which requires the tree to be protected.

The proposed development has not been able to accommodate the retention of trees on the property. The verge currently has limited landscaping on it, with the submitted landscaping plan showing the provision of a new street tree.

Question 14:

*Can the City require more parking to be included in the development?*

Response 14:

The development complies with the minimal parking standard in terms of residential parking bays with no requirement under the Activity Centre Plan for visitor bays, due to proximity to the transport corridor.

A request from the more parking bays may be considered onerous.

Questions 15:

*If the verge tree dies would the developer be required to replace with a mature tree?*

Response 15:

No, unless it can be proven that the tree has been vandalised or intentionally damaged.

Questions 16:

*Is the provision to not provide visitor parking standard across the Activity Centre Plan? Can we take away the bike parking and add visitor bays there?*

Response 16:

Under the Activity Centre Plan, the particular location of this development does not require visitor parking bays. This may not apply to other developments in the general area.

Whilst there is a shortfall in the bicycle parking bays, the removal of these would equate to approximately one car parking bay. If these were removed the residents would have to use the very small storage areas for bicycle parking.

The development provides nine bicycle bays within the storage area adjacent to the car park on the ground floor, in lieu of the 20 bays required, at a rate of one space per dwelling. Additional bicycle storage can be accommodated within the private storage areas provided for each dwelling, if required and as contemplated by the CBACP.

Private storage is both secure and accessible in nature. Therefore, the shortfall is not expected to impact functionality or the overall intent to encourage alternative transport.

Question 17:

*Is there any scope for the Council to refuse this application and send it to the Development Assessment Panel for consideration?*

Response 17:

There is no way of refusing the application to make it go through the Development Assessment Panel pathway. Any refusal would allow the applicant the discretion to seek a review through the State Administrative Tribunal (SAT). There is potential to take it “on hold” and request amended plans, however the statutory timeframe still occurs and the applicant could take a deemed refusal.

Question 18:

*If we refuse to consider the matter is it deemed a refusal?*

Response 18:

Should the Council make no decision in terms by simply not determining the application or requesting a deferral and it goes over the statutory time frame the applicant has the right to take that as a deemed refusal. However, if a decision is made to simply refuse it is a similar pathway and they can seek a review through the SAT.

**Summary**

- The landowner of the property is Ragence Investments Pty Ltd with two directors, one being Councillor Lee and the other being a closely associated person as defined under the Local Government Act of Councillor Lee. To avoid any perceived conflicts of interest the assessment was contracted out to a consultant.
- Development approval is sought for a seven-storey multiple dwelling development at Lots 1 & 2 (No. 55) Kishorn Road, Mount Pleasant.
- The proposed development was presented to the City’s Design Review Panel (DRP) three times during 2023 and 2024 and the present design referred to the DRP Chair a fourth time in January 2025.
- The proposed development has been assessed against Local Planning Scheme No. 6, the Canning Bridge Activity Centre Plan (ACP) and the relevant local planning policies.
- The application was advertised in accordance with the provisions of the Planning and Development (Local Planning Scheme) Regulations 2015 and Local Planning Policy 1.1 Planning Process and Decision Making (LPP 1.1).
- 17 submissions were received – 12 submitters objected, one (1) supported and four (4) provided general comments.
- The proposed development requires a performance assessment against the desired outcomes of the ACP, as detailed in this report.
- It is considered that the development is acceptable when assessed against the desired outcomes of the ACP.

**OFFICER RECOMMENDATION**

That the Council approves the application for a seven-storey multiple dwelling development at Lots 1 and 2 (No. 55) Kishorn Road, Mount Pleasant, subject to the following conditions:

1. This decision constitutes planning approval only and is valid for a period of 4 years from the date of approval. If the subject development is not substantially commenced within the specified period, the approval will lapse and be of no further effect.
2. The development the subject of this approval must comply with the approved plans at all times unless otherwise approved in writing by the City of Melville.
3. Prior to the lodgement of a building application or demolition permit, the applicant is to submit a Demolition and Construction Management Plan (CMP) to the City of Melville for approval. The CMP will require approval by the City prior to the issue of the associated Building Permit or Demolition Permit. Once approved in writing by the City, the development is to be carried out in accordance with the CMP to the satisfaction of the City. Any modifications to the CMP are to be approved by the City in writing.
4. Prior to the commencement of the development, Lots 1 and 2 are to be amalgamated and a new certificate of title obtained for the amalgamated lot.
5. Prior to commencement of development, a revised landscaping and reticulation plan for the subject site and/or the road verge(s) adjacent to the site is to be submitted and approved in writing by the City. The approved landscaping and reticulation plan is to be fully implemented within the first available planting season after the initial occupation of the development and maintained thereafter, to the satisfaction of the City.
6. Prior to the commencement of development, details of the exterior colours, materials and finishes are to be submitted and approved in writing, by the City. The development is to be constructed in accordance with those approved details and the approved plans, to the satisfaction of the City.
7. Prior to the commencement of development, a stormwater design plan is to be submitted (an ARI of 1 in 100 year for a 24 hour storm duration is recommended) for the approval of the City. Prior to initial occupation of the development a Certificate of Compliance certifying that the development has been constructed in accordance with the approved plan is to be submitted to the City. All stormwater generated on site is to be retained on site in accordance with the approved plan.
8. Prior to the commencement of development a Public Art Plan proposal is to be submitted to and approved in writing by the City in consultation with the City's Public Art Panel. Once approved, the public art is to be installed prior to the initial occupation of the development and thereafter be maintained for the life of the development, to the satisfaction of the City.
9. Prior to the commencement of development, an amended Acoustic Report shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the City. The development shall thereafter operate in accordance with the recommendations set out in the final approved Acoustic Report to the satisfaction of the City.
10. Prior to initial occupation of the development, the landowner is to provide written confirmation that all recommendations in the Acoustic Reports and Noise Management Plan have been incorporated into the building design, to the satisfaction of the City.

11. Prior to the commencement of development, updated plans and supporting documentation is to be submitted to and approved by the City in writing to demonstrate all the measures identified in the Sustainability Report dated 19 April 2024 prepared by Ecoform have been incorporated into the design. Within 12 months of occupation, evidence is to be provided from a suitably qualified consultant, confirming that the development has been constructed in accordance with the approved plans to the satisfaction of the City.
12. Prior to the initial occupation of the development, evidence is to be submitted by a qualified acoustic consultant that the development has been constructed and operates in accordance with the approved detailed Acoustic Report and Noise Management Plan to the satisfaction of the City.
13. Prior to the initial occupation of the development, all proposed retaining walls facing the public realm are to be treated with a non-sacrificial anti-graffiti coating to discourage potential graffiti and/or be finished in such a way as to reduce the effect of blank facades to the satisfaction of the City.
14. Prior to the initial occupation of the development, the lot is to be serviced by an approved vehicle crossover and redundant vehicle crossovers removed and verge reinstated to the specification and satisfaction of the City.
15. Prior to the initial occupation of the development, all line markings for vehicle parking bays, directional arrows and points of ingress and egress, aisle widths, bicycle parking facilities and manoeuvring areas to be provided in accordance with the relevant Australian Standard to the satisfaction of the City. These are to be maintained for the life of the development.
16. Prior to the initial occupation of the development, a notification under section 70A of the Transfer of Land Act 1893 must be registered over the Certificate of Title of the subject lot to notify owners and prospective purchasers of the land the existence of a hazard or other factor. The notification is to be prepared and executed at the applicant's cost and is to state as follows:
17. *"The lot is situated in the vicinity of a transport corridor and is currently affected or may in the future be affected by transport noise."*
18. Prior to the initial occupation of the development, any external fixtures, roof mounted or freestanding plant or equipment are to be located and/or screened so as not to be visible from the surrounding street(s) to the satisfaction of the City.
19. Prior to the initial occupation of the development, the bin compound(s) as shown on the approved plans is to be constructed and maintained for the life of the development to the specification and satisfaction of the City.
20. The development is to be constructed and operated in accordance with the Waste Management Plan dated 28 November 2024 and the City's Waste Management Guideline for New Developments, to the satisfaction of the City.
21. Lighting proposed for the development is to be designed to limit the possibility of dark shadows in adjacent private and public open spaces, to the satisfaction of the City.
22. Lighting is to be provided to all car parking areas in accordance with Australian Standard AS 1158.3.1 (Cat. P). All external lighting to be hooded and oriented to minimise light spill.
23. All external clothes drying areas shall be screened from public view to the satisfaction of the City.
24. Where a storeroom is solely accessible through an adjacent car parking bay, the storeroom and the car parking bay are to be assigned to the same dwelling.

**Advice Notes:**

1. This approval is also an approval under the Metropolitan Region Scheme (MRS) as per Clause 54 (2) of the MRS.
2. In regard to the Construction Management Plan (CMP):
  - (a) The CMP is to be prepared having regard to the provisions of Local Planning Policy 1.22 Construction Management Plans;
  - (b) The CMP should be submitted a minimum of 30 days prior to the lodgement of a building or demolition permit to avoid delays in the issuing the permit/s.
  - (c) Temporary structures, such as prefabricated or demountable offices, portable toilets and skip bins necessary to facilitate storage, sales, administration and construction activities should be detailed in the construction management plan. These structures are permitted to be installed within the property boundaries of the subject site(s) for the duration of the construction period. These structures are to be located so not to obstruct vehicle sight lines of the subject site, the adjacent road network or of adjoining properties to the satisfaction of the City and are to be removed prior to initial occupation of the development.
  - (d) Construction is not permitted to obstruct traffic without prior written consent from the City's Technical Services department. Should the construction require a lane or road closure, a separate Traffic Management Plan is required to be approved by the City prior to any such works.
3. Crossovers are to be constructed in accordance with the City's Crossover Guidelines. Standards and Specifications including the maximum width, distance from street trees, other verge infrastructure and intersections and gradients. The Applicant is recommended to refer to the City's website or contact Engineering for further details.
4. Any modifications to existing street or verge drainage infrastructure for the purposes of installing a compliant crossover, to be entirely at the property owner's cost. The modifications to be undertaken as follows:
  - (a) The proposed detailed design modifications to be undertaken by a competent Civil Engineer to the satisfaction and specifications of the City or as directed by the Manager Engineering, and
  - (b) The proposed works to be undertaken by a competent drainage contractor in accordance with the approved designs, specifications and to the satisfaction of the Engineering Manager.
  - (c) All verge construction works (other than crossover construction) will attract a supervision fee equivalent to 1.5% of the value of works.
5. In relation to the provision of public art, the public art plan is to be prepared in accordance with Local Planning Policy LPP 1.4 Provision of Art in Development Proposals (LPP 1.4) and the Canning Bridge Activity Centre Plan (CBACP). In lieu of the provision of art on site, a cash in lieu contribution may be made prior to construction commencing, as per LPP 1.4 and the Canning Bridge Activity Centre Plan, to the satisfaction of the City.
6. In regard to the amended Acoustic Report, the landowner/applicant is advised that the mechanical equipment is to be specified and placed in an appropriate location. Updated modelling and reporting to ensure compliance is therefore required.
7. In relation to the provision of the anti-graffiti coating to the retaining walls, this can be satisfied through submission of invoice and other supporting evidence to demonstrate its application has been undertaken.

8. In relation to the bin compound design and construction, this is to be constructed to satisfy the following requirements:
- (a) Provided with a tap and connected to an adequate supply of water. The tap is to be in a position so that it will not be susceptible to being damaged by the bins being removed for collection.
  - (b) Constructed of brick, concrete, corrugated compressed fibre cement sheet or other material of suitable thickness.
  - (c) Having walls not less than 1.8 metres in height and having an access point of not less than 1 metre in width for resident/tenants to access the area and fitted with a self-closing gate.
  - (d) Access point for collection is to be of suitable size for the size of the bins used and the collection method proposed.
  - (e) Containing a smooth and impervious floor of not less than 75 millimetres in thickness; and provided with adequate and appropriate drainage to sewer. This pertains to commercial properties where approval is required from the Water Corporation for discharge of liquid waste.
  - (f) Where located within a building, the bin compound is to be ventilated in accordance with Australian Standard 1668.2: The Use of Ventilation and Air Conditioning in Buildings (as amended).
  - (g) Conveniently located for disposal of waste and recyclables by residents/tenants.
  - (h) Not readily accessible by the public.
9. In relation to the stormwater plan, this plan is required to include the following minimum key drainage details within a site plan:
- (a) Existing ground levels or contours
  - (b) Proposed finished floor level(s) and levels of paved/concrete areas
  - (c) Proposed levels of grates and paving edges, including grades
  - (d) Details of proposed drainage systems for roof and paved/concrete area runoff
  - (e) Size and locations of all volume management devices
  - (f) Size and locations of all proposed (and existing, if applicable) stormwater conveyance pipes/devices
  - (g) Unless the system is designed and/or certified by a suitably qualified drainage engineer, a filled copy of the City's stormwater drainage design calculator must be submitted showing the proposed volume of storage is not less than the required volume of retention for each storm duration for the proposed development.

Please refer to the City of Melville drainage information and guidance on our website.

10. Prior to commencement of demolition and construction, all trees located on the verge adjacent to the land on which the development is to take place are recommended to be protected throughout construction of the development via the installation of a Tree Protection Zone (TPZ). Each TPZ is to be installed to the satisfaction of the City, in accordance with the following criteria:
- (a) A free-standing mesh fence erected around each street tree with a minimum height of 1.8m and a 2m minimum radius measured from the outside of the trunk of each tree.
  - (b) If an approved crossover, front fence, footpath, road or similar is located within the 2m radius of the TPZ, the TPZ fencing shall be located the minimum distance from the approved works that is required to complete the works.

- (c) Fixed signs are to be provided on all visible sides of the TPZ fencing clearly stating 'Tree Protection Zone – No Entry'.
- (d) The following actions are not be undertaken within any TPZ:
  - (i) Storage of materials, equipment, fuel, oil dumps or chemicals.
  - (ii) Servicing or refuelling of equipment or vehicles.
  - (iii) Attachment of any device to any tree (including signage, temporary service wires, nails, screws, winches, or any other fixing device).
  - (iv) Open-cut trenching or excavation works (whether for laying of services).
  - (v) Changes to the natural ground level of the verge.
  - (vi) Location of any temporary buildings including portable toilets; or
  - (vii) The parking of vehicles or machinery.
  
- 11. In relation to the revised Landscaping plan, the Applicant is advised to make reference to the Canning Bridge Landscape Palette available on our website. The revised plan is to include proposed details of (but is not limited to):
  - (a) The location, number and type of proposed trees and shrubs including planter size and planting density;
  - (b) Any lawns to be established;
  - (c) Any existing vegetation and/or landscaped areas to be retained;
  - (d) Any verge treatments;
  - (e) Soft landscaping (communal and private) shall be planted with at least 70% native species, with at least 50% either species found in the project area or other native species suitable for foraging by Carnaby's Black Cockatoo. This excludes turfed areas;
  - (f) Maintenance agreement details with the City to ensure responsibilities for verge landscaping are defined; and
  - (g) Irrigation adhering to the City's guidelines.

At 7:11pm, Cr T Lee returned to the meeting.

At 7:11pm, the Presiding Member brought forward item 15.4 Notice of Motion - Applecross Ferry Terminal for the convenience of those providing a deputation.

#### **15.4 Notice of Motion - Applecross Ferry Terminal**

**Deputations** At 7:11pm Mr P Eggleston gave a deputation which concluded at 7:19pm. At 7:35pm, Mr P Eggleston returned to the Public Gallery.

[Hardcopy Deputation – 15.4 – Mr P Eggleston](#)

**Officer Presentation** At 7:36pm, Mr T Free, Acting Director Planning responded to questions which concluded at 7:41pm.

**Disclosure of Interest** Nil.

**Notes from Forum** General discussion took place regarding the motion. During discussion, the following questions and/or requests for information were raised by Elected Members and will form part of the Final Ordinary Meeting of Council Agenda:

Question 1

*Is there opportunity for the City to assist the ferry group with their consultation process?*

Response 1:

This question was taken on notice, and a response will be provided within the Final Ordinary Meeting of Council agenda, distributed on Friday, 15 August 2025.

Question 2:

*Has there been engagement by the Action Group or the community with the local Member? And has there been consultation with the local government on this project?*

Response 2:

The development application for the project will be determined by the Western Australian Planning Commission, the Department of Planning Lands and Heritage will undertake the community consultation which will be submitted to the WA Planning Commission for consideration. The application will be referred to the City and the matter presented to Council for consideration.

Question 3:

*Is there an estimated time frame for this process?*

Response 3:

There is a meeting with the Public Transport Authority next week, after this meeting an indication of associated with timeframes may be available.

Question 4:

*Will there be a detailed community consultation process from Department of Transport that occurs prior to any decision being made? Or has consultation already occurred, and the design has taken that into account?*

Response 4:

The City is unable to comment on what community engagement has taken place prior. However, there will be a formal consultation process with the development application, based on a design that has been submitted for approval.

Question 5:

*In relation to the issues raised around parking and public transport, it appears that Canning Beach Road is required to be utilised for access, as this is a local road, is there a scenario where this project can take place without the involvement of the City, given there's a City local road controlling access to and from the terminal?*

Response 5:

The Public Transport Authority could consider modifications to the bus route in this area. Further clarification on this will be sought at the meeting next week.

**MOTION****That the Council:**

- 1. Request the CEO to initiate discussions with appropriate stakeholders and prepare reports on:**
  - (a) The viability and potential for both East and West bound Transperth buses to have access and egress from and to Canning Highway via Canning Beach Road, to the proposed Applecross Ferry Terminal; and**
  - (b) The merits of using the parking station site and Applecross library site (next to the Tivoli Theatre) to construct a multi storey public carpark; and**
  - (c) Obtaining State Government funding to construct the public carpark on the above site/s as soon as possible, taking into account the Ferry Terminal is proposed to be completed by late 2027.**
- 2. Reports to be presented to the Ordinary Meeting of Council on or before December 2025.**

7:32pm, Cr K Wheatland electronically joined the meeting.

7:36pm, Cr G Barber left the meeting.

7:40pm, Cr G Barber returned to the meeting.

## 14.1 Reports from Committees

### Policy and Legislation Committee Meeting held on 21 July 2025

At 6:34pm, the Presiding Member advised the Council that a written submission had been received from the City of Melville Residents and Ratepayers Association in relation to item UP25/77 New Council Policy - State Administrative Tribunal.

#### UP25/77 New Council Policy - State Administrative Tribunal

<b>Deputations</b>	Nil.
<b>Officer Presentation</b>	<p>At 7:42pm Mr T Free, Acting Director Planning provided an officer presentation which concluded at 7:45pm.</p> <p>At 7:45pm, Mr T Free responded to questions which concluded at 7:53pm.</p> <p><a href="#">Officer Presentation – Item UP25/77</a></p>
<b>Disclosure of Interest</b>	Nil.
<b>Notes from Forum</b>	<p>General discussion took place regarding the item and officer recommendation. During discussion of the item, the following questions and/or requests for information were raised by Elected Members and will form part of the Final Ordinary Meeting of Council Agenda:</p> <p><u>Question 1:</u></p> <p><i>Given that all Elected Members are to support a decision of the Council, is it necessary to stipulate in the Policy that representation is to be by Elected Member who voted for the decision attend?</i></p> <p><u>Response 1:</u></p> <p>The Policy provides guidance on the first step that the City would adopt, which is that the mover and seconder are the representatives. However, it is open to Council to make a decision that suits them or that someone else may attend. It is also expected that if the mover and seconder are not available on the day, that the City would seek someone else to attend.</p> <p><u>Question 2:</u></p> <p><i>If an item is returned from State Administrative Tribunal for reconsideration, who reconsiders the initial decision, is there separation or independence of the decision makers, generally made by the officers?</i></p> <p><u>Response 2:</u></p> <p>Where a decision has been made at an officer level, any reconsideration after mediation would be made by a different officer.</p>

Question 3:

*Would the officer undertaking the reconsideration after mediation be a more senior officer?*

Response 3:

Yes, the reconsideration would be undertaken by a more senior officer.

Question 4:

*Does the City have the capacity to undertake this process?*

Response 4:

Yes, the city has capacity to undertake this work. The decisions are normally made at the Manager level or below. If the initial decision was made at Manager level, then following mediation, the Director would review the decision.

**SUMMARY**

- The State Administrative Tribunal (SAT) was established in Western Australia in 2005 as an independent body that makes and reviews a range of administrative decisions. The SAT was established by the *State Administrative Tribunal Act 2004* (SAT Act) and the *State Administrative Tribunal (Conferral of Jurisdiction) Amendment and Repeal Act 2004* (Conferral Act). The Conferral Act refers to more than 130 existing Acts of Parliament, known as enabling Acts. The enabling Acts give the SAT the jurisdiction to make decisions on specific matters.
- Individuals, businesses, public officials and vocational boards can bring before the SAT many different types of applications related to civil, commercial and personal matters. These range from reviews of multi-million dollar tax judgements and dog destruction orders to disciplinary proceedings, guardianship questions and town planning and compensation issues.
- Currently, there is no Council Policy that outlines the approach by which elected members and the City's administration deal with such applications in acting as a respondent or third party in applications for review to the SAT.
- To provide clarity and guidance on what should take place when an application is made to SAT for review on a specific matter that the City has been involved in, a proposed new Council Policy – State Administrative Tribunal Policy is recommended for endorsement by the Council.

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

**That the Council endorse the new Council Policy CP-131 State Administrative Tribunal Policy (Attachment 1).**

**14.2 Reports of the Chief Executive Officer****Management Services**

Nil.

**Corporate Services****C25/301 WALGA Annual General Meeting - Nomination of City of Melville Voting Delegates**

<b>Deputations</b>	Nil.
<b>Officer Presentation</b>	At 7:54pm, Ms C Newman, Head of Governance was available to answer questions.
<b>Disclosure of Interest</b>	Nil.
<b>Notes from Forum</b>	No discussion took place regarding the item and officer recommendation.

**SUMMARY**

- The Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA) will hold its Annual General Meeting on Tuesday, 23 September 2025, at 2:15pm.
- As the City of Melville is a member council, it may nominate two voting delegates and two proxy delegates to represent the City of Melville and attend and vote at the WALGA Annual General Meeting. Each voting delegate is entitled to one vote.

**OFFICER RECOMMENDATION****That the Council:**

- Nominate the following Elected Members as the City of Melville delegates:**
  - Cr
  - Cr**and the following Elected Members to be proxy voting delegates:**
  - Cr
  - Cr**to the Western Australian Local Government Association Annual General Meeting to be held on Tuesday 23 September 2025.**
- Confirms that Councillor ..... is to be Proxy Member one and Councillor ..... is to be Proxy Member two.**
- Requests the Chief Executive Officer to forward advice of the City of Melville voting delegates to the Western Australian Local Government Association Annual General Meeting to the Western Australian Local Government Association by the deadline of 8 September 2025.**

**C25/302 Investment Statements for June 2025**

<b>Deputations</b>	Nil.
<b>Officer Presentation</b>	At 7:54pm, Ms A Ferreira, Chief Financial Officer was available to answer questions.
<b>Disclosure of Interest</b>	Nil.
<b>Notes from Forum</b>	No discussion took place regarding the item and officer recommendation.

**SUMMARY**

- This report presents the investment statements for the period ending 30 June 2025 and recommends that the Council note the contents. It is important to highlight that the financial figures for the 2024–2025 financial year remain provisional. Year-end accounting processes are currently underway, and as such, the final accounts may differ materially from the figures presented in this report.

**OFFICER RECOMMENDATION**

**That the Council notes the Investment Report for the period ending 30 June 2025.**

**C25/303 Schedule of Accounts Paid for June 2025**

<b>Deputations</b>	Nil.
<b>Officer Presentation</b>	At 7:55pm, Ms A Ferreira, Chief Financial Officer responded to questions which concluded at 7:55pm.
<b>Disclosure of Interest</b>	Nil.
<b>Notes from Forum</b>	<p>General discussion took place regarding the item and officer recommendation. During discussion of the item, the following questions and/or requests for information were raised by Elected Members and will form part of the Final Ordinary Meeting of Council Agenda:</p> <p><u>Question 1:</u></p> <p><i>In Attachment 2 on page 156, there was a purchase for \$12,000 for Variphy Wallboard, is there a reason this was done on a purchase card rather than by purchase order?</i></p> <p><u>Response 1:</u></p> <p>This question was taken on notice, and a response will be provided within the Final Ordinary Meeting of Council agenda, distributed on Friday, 15 August 2025.</p>

**SUMMARY**

- This report presents the details of payments made under delegated authority (DA-035) to suppliers for the period of June 2025 and recommends that the Schedule of Accounts Paid be noted.

**OFFICER RECOMMENDATION**

**That the Council notes the Schedule of Accounts paid for the period June 2025 as approved by the Director Corporate Services in accordance with delegated authority DA-035, and detailed in the attachments to this report; Payment Details June 2025 (Attachment 1) and Card Payment Details June 2025 (Attachment 2).**

**C25/304 Statements of Financial Activity for June 2025**

<b>Deputations</b>	Nil.
<b>Officer Presentation</b>	At 7:55pm, Ms A Ferreira, Chief Financial Officer was available to answer questions.
<b>Disclosure of Interest</b>	Nil.
<b>Notes from Forum</b>	No discussion took place regarding the item and officer recommendation.

**SUMMARY**

- The preliminary Statement of Financial Activity, Statement of Comprehensive Income, and Statement of Financial Position for the period ending 30 June 2025 are presented for noting by the Council.
- The financial statements and figures for the 2024–2025 financial year remain subject to change. Year-end accounting processes are currently underway, and the final figures may be materially different from those presented in this report.
- The Annual Audit for 2024–2025 and the end-of-financial-year processes are currently underway. The interim audit was completed by KPMG/OAG in May 2025.
- The preliminary variances for the month ending 30 June 2025 are presented for noting by the Council.
- Budget amendments required for the month of June 2025 are presented for adoption by *Absolute Majority* decision of the Council.

**OFFICER RECOMMENDATION****That the Council:**

1. **Notes the Statements of Financial Activity for the month ending June 2025 as detailed in the following attachments:**
  - **Statement of Financial Activity June 2025 (Attachment 1); and**
  - **Statement of Comprehensive Income June 2025 (Attachment 2); and**
  - **Net Working Capital June 2025 (Attachment 3); and**
  - **Reconciliation Net Working Capital June 2025 (Attachment 4); and**
  - **Notes to Statement of Financial Activity June 2025 (Attachment 5); and**
  - **Statement of Financial Position June 2025 (Attachment 6); and**
  - **Summary Rate Debtors June 2025 (Attachment 7); and**
  - **Rates Collections Graph June 2025 (Attachment 8); and**
  - **General Debtors Aged 90 Days June 2025 (Attachment 9); and**
2. **By Absolute Majority Decision adopts the Budget Amendments, as detailed in the attached Budget Amendment Reports for June 2025 (Attachment 10).**

**Community Development****CD25/49 Morris Buzacott Reserve Change Room and Storeroom Refurbishment CSRFF Application****Deputations** Nil.**Officer Presentation** At 7:57pm, Ms M Pickering, Director Community Development provided an officer presentation which concluded at 8:02pm.

At 8:02pm, Ms M Pickering responded to questions which concluded at 8:14pm.

[Officer Presentation – Item CD25/49](#)**Disclosure of Interest** Nil.**Notes from Forum** General discussion took place regarding the item and officer recommendation. During discussion of the item, the following questions and/or requests for information were raised by Elected Members and will form part of the Final Ordinary Meeting of Council Agenda:Question 1:*Is it possible to meet an officer onsite to understand what's proposed, where the UAT is in regard to the hall and the current building?*Response 1:

Yes, officers would be happy to meet onsite.

Question 2:*When the Council has previously considered the CSRFF applications, the officers recommendation has included the project rating and ranking, is it worth including the project rating in the recommendation?*Response 2:

Yes, this could be included.

Question 3:*How far away is the Morris Buzacott site development plan?*Response 3:

This question was taken on notice, and a response will be provided within the Final Ordinary Meeting of Council agenda, distributed on Friday, 15 August 2025.

Question 4:*A referee/umpire room would be a good addition, can consideration be given to extending the CSRFF grant application or offering the self-supporting loans so we can build for the future?*Response 4:

The engagement the City has had with the current clubs has indicated that they don't feel they need a requirement for a separate umpires room. The way room will work in the future is adequate to the requirements of the clubs based at the reserve at the moment.

The Council could put this project on hold again and review the outcomes of the site development plan and consider the project holistically. The Plan quite broad and picks up the requirements of hockey, tennis, netball, the bowling club and the community building. It is felt this upgrade is required quite urgently for the clubs.

Question 5:

*Have we engaged with AFL and WAFL in relation to this given the movement for more female participants?*

Response 5:

There is an existing room that is used for the changerooms, which is a gender-neutral space that is used for the clubs. However, it's not a dedicated room, so when it's not used by the umpire it's utilised for other purposes.

Question 6:

*Is the development predicated on getting the CSRFF Grant? And what happens if it is not selected for a grant?*

Response 6:

Yes, the grant is required for the project to proceed in 2026/27. If the project is unsuccessful in this round of funding, the City would be applying through the following financial year's annual budget process for the full amount.

Question 7:

*Are the clubs aware of the reliance on a grant for the project to proceed?*

Response 7:

Yes, they are aware of the CSRFF grant process.

Question 8:

*Is the UAT toilet accessible to the Tennis Club and where is it located in relation to the Tennis courts?*

Response 8:

The current toilets are not very accessible; however, by combining them and changing the configuration they will be more accessible to the public using the courts. Within the building, we'll also be changing the flow of the toilets that are also located inside so that the tennis club has greater accessibility to them as well.

Question 10:

*Is this new design adaptable for the Clubs future requirements?*

Response 10:

The officer believe they have captured the Clubs future needs and the clubs themselves confirm this, it is based on the current clubs but the City has tried to keep the design as multi-purpose as possible.

**SUMMARY**

- The Community Sporting and Recreation Facilities Fund (CSRFF) provides financial support from the Western Australian Government to assist Local Governments and community organisations in developing essential sport and recreation infrastructure.
- The program's objective is to increase participation in sport and recreation with an emphasis on physical activity, through rational development of sustainable, good quality, well-designed, and well utilised facilities.
- A single application for the 2026/2027 CSRFF Forward Planning Grant is proposed to fund up to 1/3rd (up to \$602,126) of the Morris Buzacott Reserve Change room and Storeroom Refurbishment, servicing the Kardinya Red Sox Ball Club, Fremantle CBC Amateur Football Club and Kardinya Tennis Club.
- The current cost estimate for this project is valued at \$1,806,380 which is proposed to be included in the 2026/2027 Annual Budget, with \$602,126 forecast as grant income.
- Council endorsement of the prioritised and assessed 2026/2027 CSRFF Forward Planning Grant for the Morris Buzacott Reserve Changeroom and Storeroom Refurbishment is required.

**OFFICER RECOMMENDATION****That the Council:**

1. **Endorses an application to the Department of Creative Industries, Tourism and Sports' Community Sporting and Recreation Facilities Fund for a total of \$602,126 to part fund the Morris Buzacott Reserve Changeroom and Storeroom Refurbishment project; and**
2. **Notes \$50,000 has been allocated to complete detailed design and documentation of the Morris Buzacott Reserve Changeroom and Storeroom project as a part of the 2025/2026 Annual Budget; and**
3. **Considers a total allocation of \$1,806,380, inclusive of forecasted grant income of \$602,126, to fund the Morris Buzacott Reserve Change and Storeroom Refurbishment in 2026/2027 Annual Budget, subject to a successful Community Sporting and Recreation Facilities Fund application.**

At 8:02pm, Cr M Woodall left the meeting.

At 8:06pm, Cr M Woodall returned to the meeting.

At 8:08pm, Mr B Ashwood left the meeting.

**Environment and Infrastructure**

8:14pm Mayor K Mair, having disclosed a proximity interest in Item E25/74 (detailed in  
12/08/2025 Item 5) vacated the chair and left the meeting.

At 8:14pm, Cr G Barber assumed the Chair.

**E25/74 Kearns Crescent Renewal**

<b>Deputations</b>	Nil.
<b>Officer Presentation</b>	At 8:15pm, Mr P Molony, Acting Director Environment and Infrastructure responded to questions which concluded at 8:26pm.
<b>Disclosure of Interest</b>	Mayor K Mair – Proximity Interest
<b>Notes from Forum</b>	<p>General discussion took place regarding the item and officer recommendation. During discussion of the item, the following questions and/or requests for information were raised by Elected Members and will form part of the Final Ordinary Meeting of Council Agenda:</p> <p><u>Question 1:</u></p> <p><i>Is there any mechanism available to compel the reorientation of parking bays in a way that would be more advantageous that would assist people trying to use the area, or is because these are privately owned parking bays mean that there is no capacity for us to enforce a direction to change that area to a workable situation?</i></p> <p><u>Response 1:</u></p> <p>This question was taken on notice, and a response will be provided within the Final Ordinary Meeting of Council agenda, distributed on Friday, 15 August 2025.</p> <p><u>Question 2:</u></p> <p><i>Can the City confirm that the changes that are proposed to the parking are mainly to the on-street parking which is in the City realm?</i></p> <p><u>Response 2:</u></p> <p>Yes, they are.</p> <p><u>Question 3:</u></p> <p><i>Is any work planned for the Wilcock Street Car Park given that we are not going ahead with the Kearns Crescent main area?</i></p> <p><u>Response 3:</u></p> <p>This question was taken on notice, and a response will be provided within the Final Ordinary Meeting of Council agenda, distributed on Friday, 15 August 2025.</p>

Question 4:

*As there has been a lot of work in the Kerns Crescent area, can the City engage with a profession negotiator to meet with the private owners and see if they can reach a better outcome for the streetscape and parking areas in the future?*

Response 4:

The City could employ a negotiator for the public parking within the streetscape, however as it is City of Melville land, there is not necessarily a need to. However, with respect to the private parking areas, the Council could resolve to undertake this course of action.

Question 5:

*In relation to the parking situation, there was previously discussion to encourage more patrons to the area, and the City made changes to the parking arrangement to increase the free parking to three hours with the understanding the businesses would do something similar. To date this has not occurred. Is the City intending to review the parking arrangements for consistency across the area including the private bays?*

Response 5:

The City is intending to undertake a parking harmonisation plan, including areas of free parking in areas controlled by the City. This will be presented to the Council in the future.

Question 6:

*Will a footpath be built in the area, in front of the Bad Apples Venue?*

Response 6:

This question was taken on notice, and a response will be provided within the Final Ordinary Meeting of Council agenda, distributed on Friday, 15 August 2025.

Question 7:

*If the Wilcocks Street car park is not progressing are there plans for this piece of land in the future?*

Response 7:

The future of this land will be determined by the strategic property group.

**SUMMARY**

- Kearns Crescent is the major commercial activity area within the Riseley Activity Centre and is identified as a key commercial precinct within the City of Melville's local planning Scheme.
- The City has undertaken extensive community and stakeholder consultation over a number of years regarding a proposed streetscape upgrade of Kearns Crescent, as identified in the Riseley Activity Centre Structure Plan and Riseley Centre Streetscape Master Plan.
- The Kearns Crescent road surface requires resurfacing, which has been placed on hold awaiting the outcome of a decision regarding the proposed streetscape upgrade and the stakeholder and community engagement associated with the proposed streetscape upgrade.
- Accessibility for pedestrians and businesses along the outer ring of Kearns Crescent is poor and poses access and safety risks, with crossing points and parking having limitations that have been identified as concerns within the Activity Centre.
- Community engagement has been held over several rounds since 2015, with the latest being undertaken with the business owners on 29 April 2025.
- A series of concept plans were prepared for the streetscape upgrade of Kearns Crescent based on the structure plan and master plan objectives, and amended following feedback from the community and stakeholders, including businesses in the precinct.
- The business sector particularly opposed any concept that resulted in a reduction of parking, noting the City manages only (40%) of the parking in the Activity Centre with most parking bays (60%) under private ownership.
- Based on feedback received from the business community and the high capital cost to undertake the streetscape upgrade following a more detailed cost, the City is recommending not progressing with the proposed streetscape upgrade and undertaking road resurfacing and ongoing maintenance only.
- The City will continue to carry out basic renewal of assets in the precinct when they have reached the end of their useful life expectancy.

**OFFICER RECOMMENDATION**

**That the Council supports the decision not to progress with the proposed Kearns Crescent Streetscape upgrade and to carry out road resurfacing and basic renewal of assets in the precinct when they have reached the end of their useful life expectancy.**

At 8:21pm, Mr B Ashwood returned to the meeting.

At 8:26pm, Ms R Thunissen left the meeting.

At 8:27pm, Cr G Barber vacated the chair.

At 8:27pm, Mayor K Mair returned to the meeting and assumed the Chair.

At 8:27pm, Ms R Thunissen returned to the meeting.

**E25/76      Deferral - Policy Review - CP-029 Tree Policy**

<b>Deputations</b>	Nil.
<b>Officer Presentation</b>	At 8:27pm, Mr P Molony, Acting Director Environment & Infrastructure and Ms C Newman, Head of Governance responded to questions which concluded at 8:32pm.
<b>Disclosure of Interest</b>	Nil.
<b>Notes from Forum</b>	General discussion took place regarding the item and officer recommendation. During discussion of the item, the following questions and/or requests for information were raised by Elected Members and will form part of the Final Ordinary Meeting of Council Agenda:

Question 1:

*With the officer recommendation, is there are a reason officers are recommending the motion go back to the Policy and Legislation Committee, rather than consideration of an amendment or alternative motion?*

Response 1:

The original resolution of the Council was for the policy to be referred to the Policy and Legislation Committee for consideration and for a report to be presented to the August Ordinary Meeting of Council. The Policy and Legislation Committee considered the policy over two meetings and had prepared an amended policy to present to the Council. Since then, some new information has become available and officers believe the matter should be referred back to the Policy and Legislation Committee for presentation of the information and consideration of options, to ensure that the policy endorsed by the Council is viable and can be implemented.

Question 2:

*Can further information be provided to Elected Members on the nature of the information?*

Response 2:

Further information will be provided to Elected Members.

**SUMMARY**

- At the Ordinary Meeting of Council held 15 April 2025, the revision of Council Policy CP-029 Tree Policy was referred to the Policy and Legislation Committee, with a report to be presented to the 19 August 2025 Ordinary Meeting of Council.
- The Policy and Legislation Committee have reviewed CP-029 and recommended changes to the Policy, with the matter scheduled to be considered at the August 2025 Ordinary Meeting of Council.
- Advice has been received in relation to CP-029 that requires further research and consideration, and a deferral of this matter is sought until early 2026.

**OFFICER RECOMMENDATION****That the Council:**

1. **Approve the deferral of the presentation of the review of CP-029 Tree Policy from the 19 August 2025 Ordinary Meeting of Council to on or before the March 2026 Ordinary Meeting of Council; and**
2. **Refer the policy back to the Policy and Legislation Committee for further consideration.**

8:33pm  
12/08/2025

Cr S Green, having disclosed a proximity interest in Item E25/79 (detailed in Item 5) electronically disconnected from the meeting.

**E25/79 RFQ242514 - Refurbishment of Changerooms at Karoonda Reserve****Deputations**

Nil.

**Officer Presentation**

At 8:34pm Ms R Ward, Manager City Buildings & Projects, provided an officer presentation which concluded at 8:38pm.

At 8:38pm, Ms R Ward responded to questions which concluded at 8:41pm.

[Officer Presentation – Item E25/79](#)

**Disclosure of Interest**

Cr S Green – Proximity Interest

**Notes from Forum**

General discussion took place regarding the item and officer recommendation. During discussion of the item, the following questions and/or requests for information were raised by Elected Members and will form part of the Final Ordinary Meeting of Council Agenda:

Question 1:

*What is the construction timeframe?*

Response 1:

Construction is proposed to commence in October 2025, with completion in at the end of March 2026.

Question 2:

*Has there been any financial contribution from the club?*

Response 2:

No, there is no financial contribution from the clubs, but there is \$100,000 external funding for this project, which is an external grant through the Department of Communities.

Question 3:*Did the club apply for the grant?*Response 3:

The club advocated for the grant; however, the funding is in the City's name.

**SUMMARY**

- This report is presented to Council to recommend the acceptance of a request submitted for RFQ242514 (WALGA) Refurbishment of Changerooms at Karoonda Reserve.

**CTAU RECOMMENDATION****That the Council:**

1. **Accepts the recommendations as contained in the confidential attachment to this report, RFQ242514 Contract and Tender Advisory Unit Minutes; and**
2. **Upon resolution of the recommendation, directs that the successful respondents' names be inserted below this point 2, awarded;**

At 8:41pm, Ms R Ward left the meeting and did not return.

At 8:41pm, Ms J Ahola left the meeting and did not return.

At 8:41pm, Mr S Redclift left the meeting and did not return.

At 8:41pm, Cr K Wheatland disconnected from the meeting electronically and did not return.

At 8:42pm, Cr S Green rejoined the meeting electronically.

At 8:42pm, Ms A Ferreira left the meeting and did not return.

8:42pm  
12/08/2025

*Cr T Lee, having disclosed a financial interest in Item UP25/78 (detailed in Item 5) left the meeting.*

8:52pm  
12/08/2025

*Cr T Fitzgerald, having disclosed a proximity interest in Item UP25/78 (detailed in Item 5) left the meeting.*

**Planning****UP25/78      Review of Local Planning Policy 1.21 - Short Term Accommodation****Deputations**

Nil.

**Officer Presentation**

At 8:42pm, Mr T Cappellucci, Acting Manager Development Approvals provided an officer presentation which concluded at 8:49pm.

At 8:49pm, Mr T Cappellucci responded to questions which concluded at 8:54pm.

[Officer Presentation – Item UP25/78](#)

**Disclosure of Interest**

Cr T Lee – Financial Interest

Cr T Fitzgerald – Proximity Interest

**Notes from Forum**

General discussion took place regarding the item and officer recommendation. During discussion of the item, the following questions and/or requests for information were raised by Elected Members and will form part of the Final Ordinary Meeting of Council Agenda:

Question 1

*What are the mechanisms for retaining feedback so that matters raised can be addressed at a future time?*

Response 1:

All submissions received are retained in the City's document management system for reference in future reviews.

Question 2:

*Is there a difference between the applications received by the City and the registrations via the state government portal?*

Response 2:

The application received by the City are relate to development applications, the registrations received via the state government portal may not require a development approval.

Question 3:

*Can a map of the all the Activity Centres, and identifies the 200m radius around each one, be forwarded to Elected Members so they can determine if they have any proximity interests?*

Response 3:

This will be arranged and distributed to Elected Members.

Question 4:

*For those offering short term accommodation, if they only have to register if they do 90 days or less, how does the City manage this?*

Response 4:

The City has access to the state register, and is able to check the register if complaints are received. This may require more resources.

Question 5:

*What would constitute a short term accommodation losing their licence?*

Response 5:

Through the State Register, their registration can be revoked if they are operating outside what they have registered for.

**SUMMARY**

- At the March 2025 Ordinary Meeting of Council, the Council resolved to advertise proposed changes to Local Planning Policy 1.21 – Short Term Accommodation (LPP 1.21).
- Advertising was undertaken for a period of 21 pursuant to Clause 5 of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*.
- Ten submissions were received during the public consultation period, some which supported the changes to LPP 1.21, and others which provided more general comment in relation to planning controls being placed upon Unhosted Short Term Rental Accommodation (STRA) operation. The summarised submissions and officer comments to those submissions are contained within Attachment 2 of this report.
- No significant changes have been made in response to the submissions received during consultation. A minor administrative change has been made to the site characteristics section to clarify the intent of the policy application with respect to the operation of STRA within the residential zone.
- LPP 1.21 (Attachment 1) is now being presented to the Council with recommendation for final adoption.
- LPP 1.13 – Bed and Breakfast Accommodation (LPP 1.13) is recommended to be revoked on the basis that it relates to land uses which have been deleted from the City of Melville Local Planning Scheme No.6 (LPS6) and are now addressed by LPP 1.21.
- A notice to advise of the changes to the policy will be published on the City website and along with all submitters receiving notification of the final adoption.

**OFFICER RECOMMENDATION****That the Council:**

- 1. Pursuant to Clause 5, Schedule 2 of the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 adopts the changes to Local Planning Policy 1.21 – Short Term Accommodation (as detailed in Attachment 1); and**
- 2. Revoke Local Planning Policy 1.13 – Bed and Breakfast accommodation as currently adopted as per Schedule 2 Clause 6 of the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015; and**
- 3. Endorses the publication of a notification of the changes as required by the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015.**

At 8:51pm, Ms R Theinissan left the meeting.

At 8:54pm, Cr T Lee returned to the meeting.

At 8:54pm, Ms R Theinissan returned to the meeting.

## **UP25/79 Bull Creek and Murdoch Train Station Precinct Plans**

<b>Deputations</b>	Nil.
<b>Officer Presentation</b>	At 8:54pm Mr T Free, Acting Director Planning was available to respond to questions.
<b>Disclosure of Interest</b>	Nil.
<b>Notes from Forum</b>	No discussion took place regarding the item and officer recommendation.

### **SUMMARY**

- At the Ordinary Meeting of Council on 18 March 2025, the Council resolved for investigations to be undertaken with respect to resource implications, timing and feasibility associated with Precinct Planning for land in the vicinity of the Murdoch and Bull Creek Rail stations. The resolution sought reporting on the investigations at the August 2025 Council meeting.
- As a result of delays in securing a consultant to prepare the required investigations, reporting results to Council at the August 2025 meeting are not possible.
- A consultant has now been appointed to complete the required investigations and results will be reported to Council in late 2025/early 2026.

### **OFFICER RECOMMENDATION**

#### **That the Council:**

- 1. Note the requested investigations into resourcing, timing and feasibility associated with Bull Creek and Murdoch Train Station Precinct Plans are not complete; and**
- 2. Note that consultants have been appointed to undertake the required study and that results are expected to be presented to the Council late 2025 or early 2026.**

8:55pm 12/08/2025	Mayor K Mair, having disclosed a financial interest in Item UP25/80 (detailed in Item 5) vacated the chair and left the meeting.
8:55pm 12/08/2025	Cr T Lee, having disclosed a proximity interest in Item UP25/80 (detailed in Item 5) left the meeting.

At 8:55pm, Mr T Cappellucci left the meeting and did not return.

At 8:56pm, Cr G Barber assumed the Chair.

At 8:56pm, Cr T Fitzgerald returned to the meeting.

## **UP25/80 Canning Bridge Activity Centre Plan Review - Further Information Request - Department of Planning Lands and Heritage**

<b>Deputations</b>	Nil.
<b>Officer Presentation</b>	<p>At 8:56pm, Mr B Ashwood, Acting Manager Strategic Planning provided an officer presentation which concluded at 9:02pm.</p> <p>At 9:02pm, Mr B Ashwood responded to questions on the matter which concluded at 9:12pm.</p> <p><a href="#">Officer Presentation – Item UP25/80</a></p>
<b>Disclosure of Interest</b>	<p>Mayor K Mair – Financial Interest.</p> <p>Cr T Lee – Proximity Interest.</p>
<b>Notes from Forum</b>	<p>General discussion took place regarding the item and officer recommendation. During discussion of the item, the following questions and/or requests for information were raised by Elected Members and will form part of the Final Ordinary Meeting of Council Agenda:</p> <p><u>Further Information</u></p> <p>Mr B Ashwood noted during the presentation that updates will be made to the Final Ordinary Meeting of Council Agenda, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Modified attachments, including a track-change version of the consultant's report, an updated consultant's report and a copy of the CRG commentary.</li> <li>Modification to the Officer recommendation.</li> </ul>

### Question 1:

*Would it be preferable to scale contributions from a low of 5% to a maximum of 10%?*

### Response 1:

This is something that can be provided for if that is the position of the Council. The profitability is still there at the 10% mark that if the decision of the department does entertain bonuses, and those bonuses are meaningful, there is a real chance that the developers will pursue the maximum benefits and therefore we will be obliged to meet the 10%.

Question 2:

*Is there an indication of when a decision will be made once this has been submitted to the Department? Has the timeframe for a decision elapsed?*

Response 2:

The timeframe for a decision to be made was ambiguous and that final extension to time request, which was to end last year. The City's decision to prepare this report was a defacto extension of time. A decision could be made in a matter of months; however, recognising that the Department will need to make a recommendation to the Western Australian Planning Commission who will need to meet to discuss the decision.

Question 3:

*Is it possible in the officer recommendation, or through an amendment, for Council request a date for when the decision will be made?*

Response 3:

Yes, the Council could make that modification.

Question 4:

*How far could the Council deviate from the consultant's advice, and increase the contribution figures?*

Response 4:

The consultant has suggested that the 10% is reaching the upper limit. They suggested that as more floors are built, the marginal opportunity increases.

Question 5:

*As this report was requested by DPLH to examine the feasibility of these constructions, and it is feasible for these developments to be constructed without bonus heights or contributions. The City has a position to not have bonus heights, why are we still considering bonus heights and community benefits?*

Response 5:

The officers have sought to reiterate this in the modifications that will be provided in the updated report.

**SUMMARY**

- The review of the Canning Bridge Activity Centre Plan (CBACP) culminated in the Council resolving to support a series of modifications at its Ordinary Meeting of Council in April 2023.
- The proposed modifications are currently being assessed by the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (DPLH) and upon completion of this assessment, the CBACP will be forwarded to the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) for determination.
- In February 2024, the Council responded to a request for further information from the DPLH.
- A further request for information was then received from the DPLH seeking additional justification for the proposed methodology to calculate community benefit contributions when considering bonus floorspace and proposing the DPLH and City jointly fund completion of this work.
- The Council considered the DPLH request and proposed approach at the OMC of 13 August 2024, and resolved to undertake the work independently from the DPLH.
- Subsequently, a consultant was appointed to liaise with affected stakeholders, in particular the DPLH and the Community Reference Group to inform preparation of a scope of work for completion of the actual FIR request.
- Following this consultation a scope of work was approved by the Council at the OMC of 10 December 2024 and consultants subsequently appointed.
- The appointed consultants have now completed the required works, and the report is presented for Councils consideration.

**OFFICER RECOMMENDATION****That the Council:**

- 1. Note the recommendations of the Canning Bridge Activity Centre Plan: Community Benefit Framework Analysis – Feasibility Modelling Report; and**
- 2. Requests the Chief Executive Officer refer the Canning Bridge Activity Centre Plan: Community Benefit Framework Analysis – Feasibility Modelling Report to the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage in response to the Department’s Further Information Request; and**
- 3. Advises the Department of Planning that whilst further information has been provided with respect to bonus height and community benefits under the Canning Bridge Activity Centre Plan, the adopted Council position is not to support bonus height above the base height limits identified in the Plan.**

At 9:12pm, Cr N Robins left the meeting.

At 9:12pm, Ms R Theunissen left the meeting.

At 9:13pm, Cr G Barber vacated the Chair.

At 9:13pm, Mr B Ashwood left the meeting and did not return.

At 9:14pm, Mayor K Mair returned to the meeting and assumed the Chair.

At 9:14pm, Cr T Lee returned to the meeting.

At 9:14pm, Ms R Theunissen returned to the meeting

At 9:14pm, Cr N Robins returned to the meeting.

**UP25/81 Lot 1 & 2 (No. 55 and 55A) Kishorn Road, Mount Pleasant - Seven Storey Multiple Dwelling Development**

This item was brought forward in the agenda for the convenience of those in the public gallery – please see page 15.

## 15 MOTIONS WITH PREVIOUS NOTICE

### 15.1 Notice of Motion - Dual Naming of Cemetery

**Deputations** Nil.

**Officer Presentation** At 9:15pm, Ms M Pickering, Director Community Development responded to questions on the matter which concluded at 9:17pm.

**Disclosure of Interest** Nil.

**Notes from Forum** General discussion took place regarding the item and officer recommendation. During discussion of the item, the following questions and/or requests for information were raised by Elected Members and will form part of the Final Ordinary Meeting of Council Agenda:

Question 1:

*Is the intent of the motion to have a Melville related name?*

Response 1:

Initially the motion was to consider naming to better reflect the location of the cemetery within the City of Melville. In meeting with the Councillor and explaining the Landgate process and preference for dual naming, the Councillor was comfortable with this direction and became the basis for the Advice Note.

Question 2:

*There is not going to be any Melville specific reference in the naming of the Cemetery?*

Response 2:

The dual naming is primarily around significant First Nations cultural perspective. The name would be relevant to First Nations place names.

## MOTION

**That the Council requests the CEO to add the following item to the City's Non-Financial Priority Advocacy List:**

***“Advocate to the WA State Government that an alternative or dual name be sought for Fremantle Cemetery that reflects its true geographic location within the City of Melville.”***

**15.2 Notice of Motion - Additional Green Waste Bins**

<b>Deputations</b>	Nil.
<b>Officer Presentation</b>	At 9:17pm, Mr P Molony, Acting Director Environment & Infrastructure responded to questions on the matter which concluded at 9:18pm.
<b>Disclosure of Interest</b>	Nil.
<b>Notes from Forum</b>	<p>General discussion took place regarding the item and officer recommendation. During discussion of the item, the following questions and/or requests for information were raised by Elected Members and will form part of the Final Ordinary Meeting of Council Agenda:</p> <p><u>Question 1:</u></p> <p><i>There will be report on the cost of this service if there is a need for additional bins.?</i></p> <p><u>Response 1:</u></p> <p>Yes, a report will be provided via the CEO which will include costs.</p> <p><u>Question 2:</u></p> <p><i>Is this motion just related to the properties in Kintail Road?</i></p> <p><u>Response 2:</u></p> <p>No, it is across the City.</p>

**MOTION**

**That the Council requests the CEO to prepare a report to the December 2025 Ordinary Meeting of Council, detailing the resources and implications to implement an annual program to:**

- 1. Provide one additional Food Organics Green Organics (FOGO) bin to residents who reside in any area of the City, impacted by the leaves falling from deciduous trees during the fall-winter period; and**
- 2. The FOGO bins to be delivered by the City on request, free of charge to affected residents and to be picked up by the City at the end of the fall period.**

9:20pm 12/08/2025	Mayor K Mair, having disclosed a financial interest in Item 15.3 (detailed in Item 5) vacated the chair and left the meeting.
9:21pm 12/08/2025	Cr T Lee, having disclosed a proximity interest in Item 15.3 (detailed in Item 5) left the meeting.

At 9:21pm Cr Barber assumed the Chair.

### 15.3 Notice of Motion - CBACP & Precinct Plans in the LPS6 Review

<b>Deputations</b>	Nil.
<b>Officer Presentation</b>	At 9:20pm, Mr T Free, Acting Director Planning responded to questions on the matter which concluded at 9:26pm.
<b>Disclosure of Interest</b>	Mayor K Mair – Financial Interest Cr T Lee –Proximity Interest
<b>Notes from Forum</b>	<p>General discussion took place regarding the item and officer recommendation. During discussion of the item, the following questions and/or requests for information were raised by Elected Members and will form part of the Final Ordinary Meeting of Council Agenda:</p> <p><u>Further Information:</u></p> <p>The Council was advised that there would be amendments to the motion with notice and that these changes would be distributed in the Final Agenda for the Ordinary Meeting of Council to be distributed on Friday, 15 August 2025.</p> <p><u>Question 1</u></p> <p><i>How long will it take for the Western Australian Local Government Association to commence advocating on this matter?</i></p> <p><u>Response 1:</u></p> <p>It is difficult to put a definitive timeframe on this at this time. It will depend on how many other local governments have similar issues.</p> <p><u>Question 2:</u></p> <p><i>Is the intent of the motion to have the whole City included in LPS6?</i></p> <p><u>Response 2:</u></p> <p>The motion is to address that where there are due regard documents, there is discretion for the Development Assessment Panels to go outside what is what is specified. Changes are required to normalise due regard documents to limit the ability to go beyond the provisions contained within them. This is to provide certainty to developers and the community.</p>

**MOTION****That the Council:**

- 1. Requests the CEO seek legal advice on the ability of the City to include all of the built form and land use provisions applicable to the Canning Bridge Activity Centre Plan and all other Precinct Plans in the current LPS 6 review report and amendment, as statutory provisions and an integral part of LPS 6; and**
- 2. Request that following the receipt of the legal advice the CEO is requested to present a report to Council on the merits of pursuing such an inclusion into LPS 6; and**
- 3. Approves the allocation of \$5,000 unbudgeted funds to obtain the relevant legal advice.**

At 9:23pm, Ms R Theunissen left the meeting.

At 9:26pm, Cr G Barber vacated the Chair

At 9:27pm, Mayor K Mair returned to the meeting and assumed the Chair.

At 9:27pm, Cr T Lee returned to the meeting.

At 9:27pm, Ms R Theunissen returned to the meeting.

**15.4 Notice of Motion - Applecross Ferry Terminal**

This item was brought forward in the agenda for the convenience of those in the public gallery – please see page 26.

**16 MOTIONS WITHOUT PREVIOUS NOTICE (APPROVAL BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY)**

Nil.

**17 MATTERS FOR WHICH MEETING WAS CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC**

Nil.

**18 DECISIONS MADE WHILE MEETING WAS CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC**

Nil.

**19 CLOSURE**

At 9:28pm, the Presiding Member advised that the following late items would be included in the final Ordinary Meeting of Council Agenda to be distributed on Friday, 15 August 2025:

- Item E25/77 - Withdrawal from the Resource Recovery Group – Outcome of Request for Proposals for Regional Resource Recovery Centre Operations and Assets in Canning Vale; and
- A late item from the Governance Committee held on Monday, 11 August 2025.

There being no further business to discuss, the Presiding Member confirmed Cr J Spanbroek and Cr S Green were still in attendance electronically and declared the meeting closed at 9:28pm.

CONFIRMED