

PROPOSED AMENDMENT AT COUNCIL MEETING (Elected Members)

| | |
|------------------|--|
| Name: | Cr Katy Mair |
| Date of Meeting: | 21 April 2020 |
| Meeting of the: | Ordinary Meeting of Council |
| Item No. | M20/5734 |
| Title of Item | Annual General Meeting of Elector Held 3 February 2020, Motions Carried |

Disclaimer: Where administration has provided any assistance with the framing and/or wording of any motion/amendment to the Elected Member who has advised their intention to move it, the assistance has been provided on an impartial basis. The principle and intention expressed in any motion/amendment is solely that of the intended mover and not that of the officer/officers providing the assistance. Under no circumstance is it to be expressed to any party that administration or any officer holds a view on this motion other than that expressed in an official written or verbal report by administration to the Committee or Council meeting considering the motion.

PROPOSED AMENDMENT OR REJECT AND REPLACE MOTION:

(If an amendment is proposed, please identify the words being amended and reasons for the amendment)

Electors Motion 4

That the officer recommendation associated with Electors Motion 4 be amended by:

1. Deleting point 2 and replace with the following words:

“Notes that the objects and purpose of the West Australian Ratepayers and Residents Association Incorporated duplicate roles already undertaken by Elected Members, State Government Agencies and State legislation.”

2. Adding a new point 3 as follows:

“Resolves not to approve an annual payment, in perpetuity, to the West Australian Ratepayers and Residents Association Incorporated.”

3. Adding a new point 4 as follows:

Directs that the Chief Executive Officer inform the mover of the Motion of the Council's decision in writing.

**PROPOSED AMENDMENTS / RESOLUTIONS AT
COMMITTEE OR COUNCIL MEETINGS
(Elected Members)**

REASONS:

1. This is a similar request made at the previous General Meeting of Electors held 5 December 2018 and the Council resolved not to support on 19 February 2019 Ordinary Council Meeting. We should reject it again.
2. The Officer's recommendation Item 2 leaves the door open for WARRA to continue to lobby Council for funding because the CEO will be obliged to monitor the Association, its activities and evaluate its potential value to the City. This is obviously discriminates against all other valuable associations across the City who do not get the scrutiny and recognition that this fledgling organisation will. WARRA should get on with the business that they see is important to the community and win the community over to support them as every resident and ratepayer group has done in the past.
3. I have already had approaches from the Lions Club asking that if the Council is prepared to give a fledgling organisation like WARRA approximately \$50,000, why don't they give an equivalent amount to an organisation like Lions especially since Lions has a proven track record of community service spanning decades.
4. Some very worthwhile points to consider have been raised in the Deputation of Guy Wieland: Point 3 - "there appears to be no control over any type of political bias or outcome" . . ."The committee may reject an applicant" even if they are eligible and no reason needs to be given for the rejection; Point 4: "Financial use of funds are of great concern" . ." if the CoM are the only providers of funds then the CoM ratepayers funding would be used for issues raised in other council/shires . . ."; Point 5: Financial - "The committee may authorise the treasurer to expend funds on behalf of the Association up to a specified limit without requiring approval . . ."; Point 6 "Financial" there is an expectation of a revenue stream to pay for staff rather than being supported by volunteers.

SIGNATURE: _____