

16. MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN**16.1 Policy for Major Development Construction Management Plans****COUNCIL RESOLUTION**

At pm, Cr moved, seconded Cr –

That Council requests that the Chief Executive Officer:

- 1. Prepare a Local Planning Policy that requires the Developers of any Major Development (being a development of more than 10 dwellings) in the City of Melville to include in their development's Construction Management Plan the details for the arrangement and provision of off-street parking for its entire onsite workforce for the duration of that development's construction period and requires access by the public to view the details of the Construction Management Plan lodged with the City of Melville.**
- 2. Present the proposed Local Planning Policy to a Council Workshop for the discussion of Council, before submitting the Policy to a Council meeting for adoption.**
- 3. Amend the current Construction Management Plan condition ("the parking arrangements for contractors and sub-contractors") to include the requirements of the adopted above Local Planning Policy.**

At pm the Mayor declared the motion

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (/)

Reasons for the Motion with Notice as provided by Cr Pazolli

1. Since the adoption of the Canning Bridge Activity Centre Plan in 2016 there have been some 11 multi-storey developments approved, in construction or constructed in the Canning Bridge Precinct. This significant construction activity over an extended period of time, now and into the immediate future, has had a significant impact on the amenity of local Applecross and Mt Pleasant residents, resulting in a number of complaints regarding construction workers' parking their vehicles for the entire working day in nearby suburban streets or in public on-street parking embayments.
2. Currently all major developments (which are those having more than 10 dwellings) have a standard condition of approval requiring the developer to submit for the City's approval a Construction Management Plan (CMP) that is required to include "the parking arrangements for contractors and sub-contractors". However, this condition does not currently seem to require the developer to actually provide the parking facilities for its construction workforce, with the result that suburban streets around the precinct are flooded with construction workers' vehicles, causing difficulties in residents accessing their properties and congesting their streets.
3. This motion will require the developers to arrange and provide sufficient off-street parking for its construction workforce without significant impact on the amenity of surrounding