

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS / RESOLUTIONS AT COMMITTEE OR COUNCIL MEETINGS

Name:	Cr Katy Mair
Date of Meeting:	18 August 2020
Meeting of the:	Ordinary Council Meeting
Item No.	CD20/8132
Title of Item	New Policy – Council Policy CP – 116 Active Reserve Infrastructure Policy

Disclaimer: Where administration has provided any assistance with the framing and/or wording of any motion/amendment to the Elected Member who has advised their intention to move it, the assistance has been provided on an impartial basis. The principle and intention expressed in any motion/amendment is solely that of the intended mover and not that of the officer/officers providing the assistance. Under no circumstance is it to be expressed to any party that administration or any officer holds a view on this motion other than that expressed in an official written or verbal report by administration to the Committee or Council meeting considering the motion.

PROPOSED AMENDMENT OR REJECT AND REPLACE MOTION:

(If an amendment is proposed, please identify the words being amended and reasons for the amendment)

That the Council refers this to an EMIS for further discussion and brings the Policy back to the Ordinary Meeting of Council in September.

REASONS:

1. While the inclusions in the amended Active Reserve Infrastructure Policy have been improvements, more changes need to be considered since this is a guiding document which will commit \$60million over the next 20 years.
2. Otherwise, I will be making a number of amendments to this Policy at the Council meeting which means we will be creating a policy on the run and the Mayor has expressed concern about doing so.
3. Some of the changes I will propose is in the wording under Policy Statement:
 - Dot point one: the words “directed to” be changed to “focused on”. The reason is that the previous words are limited and need to be more flexible.
 - At the end of the dot point the inclusion of these words . . .”but does not exclude single use facilities from attracting funding.”
4. Floodlighting dot point should be removed since it is a technical matter rather than a policy matter. If it stays, it should read “Floodlight all active reserves used for sport to a minimum training standard for large ball sports.” Standard can change over time.
5. Include a new dot point: “Funding to be set aside in the budget for the purchase of additional open space centrally located in the city for the purposes of sports.”

SIGNATURE: _____