

MelvilleTalks

Farrington Road Speed Cushion Trial Submission Report

207 submissions received

18.85% supportive, 38.16% supportive with concerns, 42.51% non-supportive, 0.48% no level

58.7% supported speed reduction from 60km to 50km per hour.

Moderation of comments has occurred in line with moderation procedures.

Supportive participants

1	Excellent. it significantly solves the issue of speeding through the roundabouts on Farrington road. In addition, it appears to reduce the amount of traffic on that road. I use Farrington road every Sat. Without those bumps, it is just a matter of time when a car accident will happen.
2	They're only really new, but I've already been able to get out easier onto Farrington from Casserley. Plus when turning right into Casserley from Farrington has become simpler and the front end of my car hasn't been nearly As a speed cushion has not been placed on the East facing Farrington Rd entrance to the roundabout, I was nearly taken out by a 4wd a few days ago. The person driving the vehicle didn't even look before racing through the intersection. The only reason we didn't collide is because I braked as he came through in front of me. Smashed into, like what usually happens.
3	I regularly cross Farrington on foot with my children to get to and from the daycare. With the cars slowing down as they approach from the west it's been much easier for us to cross. I've also noticed a difference at Aulberry. Previously I found the visibility of cars coming from the west very limited until I got close to entering from Aulberry. Now I get extra warning because the approaching cars have to slow down. It was also hard to tell if cars were going to stop when I was turning right from Farrington (coming from the east) onto Aulberry and they were heading west on Farrington. Again now that they have to slow down, I see less cars hesitating at that turn.
4	Thank you - At last something to slow the traffic down on approach to the roundabouts. The near misses we have endured as people went through the roundabouts without seeming to look, especially Farrington into Aulberry, have been too numerous to count. It does seem to be making a difference for now. I hope they are permanent.
5	Great - it is a step in the right direction. Lets hope it has the desired effect. We have been requesting a reduction to the traffic on the Eastern End of Farrington Road for a long time to make Farrington Road SAFE. This is a start. Looking at what is happening, the cushions are achieving the desired results except for the round about at the Eastern End where one is missing. We note, vehicles heading east to this round about, do not slow down. All other round abouts, vehicles do slow down.

6	We live next to Farrington Rd and we have noticed a significant improvement in traffic noise since the installation of the speed pillows. These need to stay.
7	This speed cushion initiative is to be commended and is long overdue. Motorists still treat Farrington Rd as a speedway despite the many roundabouts making safe entry/exit from Farrington quite dangerous at times.
8	I urge the City of Melville to make the speed cushions on Farrington permanent. As a resident who uses Farrington rd every day, I can confirm that this initiative has immensely increased the safety of road users attempting to enter Farrington rd at the two roundabouts at both ends of Casserley drive.
9	Great idea , need to stop very large truck taking short cut through Farrington Rd in Leeming !
10	I fully support any traffic calming measures in the area. On Farrington road directly east of the freeway people come flying down the hill and around the round-about. It's really dangerous for kids, older folks, people with strollers, everyone really. The amount of people doing well over 70 coming off Farrington onto Aulberry parade, Karel etc is huge.
11	They are great and hopefully will discourage the rat runners. I wish they'd install them up on Burrendah Blvd in Willetton too. People zoom around the new tiny roundabouts.
12	It's great. Now we can get out of our street and onto Farrington because people are forced to slow down. Turning into Aulberry parade from farrington using the roundabout is much better as people are slowing down and actually giving way. Drivers used to zoom through and I had a few near misses just trying to turn into our street. Please make them permanent!
13	I think it's a great improvement, it's encourages people to slow down and provides a safer entry into the roundabouts. Since they have been installed I haven't been in or witnessed any potential incidents. Prior to their installation it would be a common occurrence for near misses. It has definitely reduced the amount of speeders.
14	I have already noticed a reduction in vehicle speed approaching intersection of Farrington Road & Aulberry Parade, making it safer to exit Aulberry. The speed cushion on Farrington west side saved me from being t-boned the day after installation! I was turning right into Aulberry and the cushion slowed a speeding vehicle, so no collision. For pedestrians, Farrington Road is hard to cross at certain times of day so hopefully drivers will slow down a bit.
15	Great idea. Hope it stays permanent and 50kmh limit. Now.....how about the same kind of installations along Beasley Road?(even though speed limit is only 50kmh....you'd never guess that often)
16	So much better with people slowing down. I noted that cars are still going too fast on the approach to the roundabout on intersection with Aulberry parade. Overall better for pedestrians and for drivers in one area. I don't mind the bumps , I generally drive slow enough
17	Of the Labor Govts. road diversions/changes the volume of traffic (Rabbit Run) was of concern by the Liberal Govt. Very little notice was taken. So any plan that assists in community safety affected by the volume of traffic is well received.
18	Works Great drivers approach with caution and their location assists greatly in approaching roundabouts encouraging better traffic flow.
19	I think they are great and allow better access through the roundabouts.
20	They are good. If you are travelling slowly and carefully, as you should be, then the bump is very mild. Much better than the type across

	the whole carriageway.
21	I think they're great. Slowing traffic down. Cars speed along Farrington.
22	I think the speed cushion is a great idea. As we are at the corner of Farrington Rd and Casserley Dr, we see lots of traffic and close calls at the round about.
23	I am pleased with the installation of traffic cushions to the approach of roundabouts on Farrington Rd. They do make motorists aware there is a roundabout. Sometimes I think motorists are daydreaming when driving, by having the traffic cushions, it jolts them into the realisation they may have to give way to traffic at the roundabout.
24	I think the speed bumps should be installed permanently. I often need to enter Farrington Road to travel west. Previously, the vehicles flowing east on Farrington Road tended to maintain high speed. As a result it can be very difficult to enter the roundabout from West Leeming. You have to wait until a car slows to turn in the roundabout. They are using Farrington Road as a rat-run. Even with the speed bumps, they still charge through without any significant slowing.
25	Awesome job with the speed cushions. Hope it isn't just a trial. This should make farrington road so much SAFER for our school children, shopping centre patrons and recreational sports players....not to mention slowing down some of the traffic that take a short cut through our suburb. Thank you so much.
26	Since the implementation of the speed management system on Farrington I feel I'm much safer approaching and navigating the roundabout intersections. These speed bumps are slowing traffic down, and I believe long term they will save some serious injuries or lives. I note the question about a speed reduction and unfortunately drivers are not complying with the current limit. Thanks for installing them.
27	I support the installation and have found the cushions to be highly effective at increasing safety, particularly at the intersection of Aulberry Parade and Farrington Road. I have had many near misses using this roundabout and have noticed a marked difference in the cushions slowing down drivers going across the roundabout. I would very much like to see them stay.
28	We live alongside Farrington rd and have noticed a huge reduction in speed and reckless driving since the speed cushions were installed. Crossing Aulberry parade while walking down Farrington towards the shops etc also feels safer as cars are not speeding around the roundabout. The speed cushions are no issue either while driving. Thank you!!! I hope they stay!
29	I think they're a great way of slowing down traffic. Since they have been installed I feel much safer trying to go right onto my street, Aulberry, from Farrington Rd. There were a few times before the speed bumps I had to pull onto the roundabout itself to avoid being hit from people that didn't give way to the right so I think they're a brilliant idea.
30	Love it, makes ppl slow down on approach - as this wasn't happening before. Saw so many near misses previous at the daycare round about (the one I use the most). Definitely keep them what a improvement, I'm on the I love Leeming page yes ppl complain but certainly more positive comments than negative. Some ppl said they will avoid Farrington because of them, even better
31	The speed cushions are much needed. They do not cause too much inconvenience at all for returned better road safety.
32	Fully support the trial. I can enter and exit Casserley Drive at both ends onto Farrington Road much more safely.

33	I think the new speed bumps on Farrington road are great - there are countless times when people in cars or trucks have gone straight through the two roundabouts connecting Farrington road to Caserrly without looking or slowing down, nearly killing myself or other members of my family in the process. Over the last few days, when cars have had to slow down at these points, I've felt far safer on the road.
34	Good fantastic wonderful Hopefully may slow down the hoons that use this as a race track at night Just they were also put further down. Towards Farrington and Murdoch drive round about . Thank you for acting and doing something
35	Love this initiative. Thank goodness. So much better to slow those speeding and inconsiderate vehicles. To 50km even better.
36	The speed cushions have made the road much calmer and safer. Before the installation of these traffic tamers, people would speed through the roundabouts, not realising they were there and fail to give way to cars on the roundabout, endangering human life .This was especially true with vehicles coming off the freeway and continuing at freeway speed . It was dangerous .Please leave them in place.
37	I am happy with the trail. It feels more safe for everyone using Farrington.
38	I love it. It is definitely forcing people to slow down. Yet to see if it helps to slow down all the cars going straight through the roundabout enough to allow cars to enter from the side streets, as per the road rules. The amount of hooning on Farrington Road at night has increased dramatically over the last few months and hopefully these cushions will also have a positive effect on reducing that before someone is seriously injured or killed.
39	I think it's a great initiative, thank you! The Aulberry/Farrington round about is very dangerous due to drivers speeding through. Hopefully this will slow them down.

Supportive participants with concerns

1	It's what has been needed for a long time. At the moment traffic approaching the roundabouts are marginally slowing down. What would be beneficial is the humps were made wider (from kerb to kerb) and perhaps slightly higher. Vehicles need to really be forced to slow on their approach to all of the roundabouts on Farmington Road
2	Speed bumps need to cover the width of the road. Maybe paint a big give way sign on the road at the junctions. Main problem is the speed the traffic is approaching the roundabouts particularly from the freeway direction is too fast. The drivers fail to give way to the traffic already on the roundabout. My family has had to stop multiple times whilst on the roundabout due to traffic not giving way. The speed bumps have helped. But I know people have issues with them.

3	<p>Just wondering why the speed bump is missed in cassery drive & Farrington rd round about east bound? I see every vehicle feel relieved & speeds as there's a missed speed bump on this spot. Please advise if this was missed or there won't be a speed bump installed there. Every time I turn in the roundabout towards east from my home I feel like the the drivers approaching are not going to stop and hit me! Hope this gets addressed. The update on Farrington rd cushion trial claims to have installed cushions on all roundabouts!! However there is one missing on cassery drive & Farrington rd east bound towards Karen av. I don't understand why your update is contradicting this!.The update on Farrington rd cushion trial claims to have installed cushions on all roundabouts!!</p> <p>However there is one missing on cassery drive & Farrington rd east bound towards Karen av.</p> <p>I don't understand why your update is contradicting this!</p>
4	<p>The speed cushions and a 60kph speed limit seem to be at odds. The limit should be 50kph in that area.</p>
5	<p>Good idea to discourage traffic, however bigger vehicle hit them at the right angle and don't even slow down.</p> <p>Night time needs more lighting on them as there colour doesn't show up until your dimmed lights hit them. Far too late.</p>
6	<p>They have been good for starters, but the larger trucks and four wheel drives do not all slow down, the cushions are so small that their wheels just go either side of it. Any chance of a wider version?</p>
7	<p>The cushions seem to have variable effect with many aggressive drivers still ignoring them. If they are to stay for the long term, can we have them cast in situ as opposed to bolt on (similar to the ones on Vincent Street near Hyde Park). They are very uncomfortable in current form. Also, the road reserve seems wide enough to accommodate greater deflections - has this been considered in place of the speed cushions?</p>
8	<p>The speed cushions are fantastic and I have noticed a reduction in speed of vehicles entering the roundabouts along Farrington Road with a better flow of traffic entering roundabouts. It would be great if Farrington Rd speed limit could be reduced to 50k/hr also.</p>
9	<p>I think having the speed cushions there are great, however I feel there either not big enough or the right thing to have to slow down trucks. I have witnessed time and time again trucks including tow trucks just flying straight over them, not slowing down at all for the round about. This is an extreme hazard especially around school times, as there are many young children that need to cross farrington.</p>
10	<p>While the cushions help slow the traffic it does not help with spacing the traffic out or reduce the flow of traffic with is the main issue with Farrington road.The flow of traffic needs to be improved to get cars off Farrington road - the best way for this was the original plan for the extension of Roe Highway. With the way Roe Highway was built it leaves no choice but for cars to use Farrington road.</p>
11	<p>Speed bumps are redundant just before the roundabout as cars usually slow down before that anyway. Place them in the middle of the road and not just before a roundabout if you want to achieve slowing of speeds.</p>
12	<p>Some of the cushions are on a funny angle and arent good to travel over. A reduced speed limit in that area of 40klm per hour may have been a cheaper alternative. The size wont slow 4wd or trucks that miss them easily</p>

13	<p>I find this speed cushion too narrow. It is difficult to position my car to get over it smoothly. I get an uncomfortable ride if only one set of wheels go over it. Why not make it wider to stretch the width of the road? I only managed to go over it with all four wheels positioned exactly over the edges of the cushion. That resulted in a smooth ride as I slowed down.</p> <p>Either install one that covers the width of the road or not at all.</p>
14	<p>I think they are a great idea but they need to be taller and wider as most cars aren't even touching them as they go over. You can line your tyres up to miss them as they are so small. What we do need is a pedestrian overpass though!</p>
15	<p>The speed cushions have helped slow the traffic approaching the roundabouts enabling greater opportunity for motorists on the side streets to enter the roundabouts more easily and safely. However larger vehicles still tend to straddle the cushions without slowing. Consideration should be given to reducing the speed limit to 50kph from the freeway to Karel Ave especially given that traffic volumes do not appear to have declined since the Murdoch intersection and bridge were commissioned.</p>
16	<p>I am delighted with the speed cushions on Farrington Road. I can finally exit from Casserly Drive without feeling that a speeding car isn't going to stop at the roundabouts. There is still a problem with the 4 x 4's who have no regard for the cushions and will speed through them but generally the traffic on Farrington Road is moving a lot slower.</p>
17	<p>Speed Humps. Great idea, however not wide enough, or high enough. Worse offending vehicles/drivers are tradies & four wheel drives & they just go over the top, not even slowing for them. Especially dangerous vehicles coming from Freeway end of Farrington, they just fly through it . I have lived in Leeming for 25 yrs & use Farrington Rd every day</p>
18	<p>If only the cushions looked like those on the website and were the full width of the road! Walking along Farrington the humps are to spaced to be of no effect. Trucks can straddle and aren't slowing down. 4wds like the thought of a bit a jump. Need a few more dropped on left and right side of lane of road so vehicles actually have to slow down or they go across the full width of the lane less 1' for cyclists.</p>
19	<p>I haven't seen people slow down. I have noticed people stopping before the round about and before the bumps increasing the size of their blind spot. I feel lowering the speed limit and putting a speed trap or two there for a month or so would do more for the area. Or put large speed like in west minister bumps where the cross walk across from the forum is.</p>
20	<p>These are an ineffective attempt to cause change. Only small cars are impacted. Larger cars have sufficient wheelbase/width to be able to avoid these, just the same as in Fremantle. They need to be across the entire lane, or not at all.</p>
21	<p>They're definitely needed, cars and trucks drive along Farrington in rush hour like there are no roundabouts, dangerous trying to enter Farrington. The cushions are way too narrow though, a medium SUV can easily span and ignore them.</p>
22	<p>Only slows small cars. Bigger cars, 4wds and trucks easily straddle cushions. Simply put bigger humps on entry to farrington at eastern and eastern ends, not the internal roundabouts and not on feeder road directions likd casserly</p>

23	The Farrington Road-Aulberry Parade roundabout cushions are not wide enough to slow down medium sized SUV/FWDs (Mitsubishi Outlander, Mazda CX5, Nissan Xtrail, Toyota Rav4s, Landcruisers/HiLux, Jeeps, Ford Rangers, etc) which have wide wheel tracks and high ground clearances. They easily drive straight through these cushions, missing the main hump and not needing to slow down at all. The cushions need to be full width of lane to be 100% effective.
24	I feel that the speed cushions are a complete waste of time. From my driving around it appears to me that no one is slowing down for them. I think it would be a better solution to significantly reduce the entries to the round-a-bouts and they be at a more acute angle, my feeling is this would slow traffic better.
25	The speed humps are set too far bcvk from the roundabout. You've left a space for a car to sit, waiting. What happens is I enter roundabout and then the (word removed) waiting in the space floor it as I approach and I almost take out their back end. You need to move them so they are closer to the roundabout.
26	I think the cushion should be wider to ensure that large 4WD vehicles are also forced to slow down. Some of them can simply straddle the cushion and are not forced to slow down. You have also missed putting a cushion at the second roundabout at Casserley drive at the bottom end of Farrington Rd going east to Karel Ave.
27	Speed rarely gets to 60km/hr so please do not reduce to 50km/hr. Speed humps are reducing the speed anyway. Concerned for cyclist safety as they use the bike lane and then try to traverse roundabout instead of moving onto the dual use path. Prefer to have the speed bumps removed after the trial and revert to status quo.
28	Fine to have speed cushions on Farrington, but the ones on Casserley as you enter the roundabouts from the south are not needed. The slightly narrower kerbing is not effective. It needs to be tighter, but please do it with sensitivity to cyclists, who should have priority at all points. The cycle lanes should not end with a dot dot dot running to the kerb...The cycle lane needs to extend across the road lane and become a green cycle space, like the Farrington / Karel Ave intersection.
29	Great idea and fully support installation, only issue is they are not wide enough and most vehicles are not affected by these. In addition has any thought gone into adding cushions on exit of roundabouts as well. Vehicles exiting Farrington onto Casserly do so at high speed. This is a safety risk to residents exiting driveways and pedestrians crossing road.
30	Seems to be working well, except, there is one missing. Please install the one missing and complete the trial
31	I have noticed that most vehicles are reducing their speeds before the roundabouts now that the cushions have been installed but there are still vehicles that are just riding through as per normal. As a pedestrian trying to cross Farrington Road has become more difficult. The cushions do not address this issue of pedestrians as cars generally reduce their speeds when nearly at the roundabouts.
32	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Install a speed cushion between the Aulberry Parade and Findlay Road roundabouts 2. The type of speed cushion installed should be re-considered 3. Improve signage

	<p>4. 50 km/h speed limit for Farrington road</p> <p>5. A crosswalk at the Farrington road shops</p> <p>6. Install a 40 km/h zone</p> <p>7. Change 'Give Way' sign to traffic signal on freeway offramp</p>
33	<p>Hello, cushions on Farrington Road's approach to roundabouts are good as through-traffic on Farrington often goes too fast approaching roundabouts. Cushions on the smaller entry roads (ie Casserly Drive) should be removed as they are not needed here. The trial cushions are a bit too narrow as work on small cars but do not much effect large cars (SUVs) that can just straddle them.</p>
34	<p>- Road Cushions should be on the 2 roundabouts that intersect with Casserly drive. As the view for oncoming vehicles coming out from Casserly drive is sometime obstructed, or only visible when vehicles appearing very close to the roundabout.</p> <p>- Slow point should be sufficient for the roundabout going to Aulberry Parade, as it oncoming vehicles are clearly visible.</p>
35	<p>I have lived in Leeming on San Miguel Drive for over 33 years and use both ends of Casserly Drive to enter Farrington Road. Traffic travelling West on Farrington is the most dangerous especially the western end of Casserly Drive. The speed humps are not big nor wide enough. This roundabout is far too small just like a novelty in the road, and they gun the car to speed through. Maybe traffic lights are necessary for these dangerous drivers.</p>
36	<p>I have lived in Leeming on San Miguel Drive for over 33 years and use both ends of Casserly Drive to enter Farrington Road. Traffic travelling West on Farrington is the most dangerous especially the western end of Casserly Drive. The speed humps are not big nor wide enough. This roundabout is far too small just like a novelty in the road, and they gun the car to speed through. Maybe traffic lights are necessary for these dangerous drivers.</p>
37	<p>Farrington cushion trial. These cushions are great idea to stop a vehicle I believe it can stop drivers from looking right because they are so high. They should be speed bumps across the full road at be lower permanently in place. Drivers do speed down the road most days going to work or the freeway. It is very good but bit to high.</p>
38	<p>The cushions have resulted in a reduction in speed of those cars on the approach to roundabouts, however the quantity of traffic hasn't reduced. The speed cushions are only necessary on the Farrington Road approaches to the roundabouts not side-streets, as at times it can be difficult to enter the roundabouts onto Farrington Road with the high traffic flow. A set of pedestrian lights on Farrington Road would allow pedestrians to safely cross at any time in order to access schools, recreation facilities, shops and medical centres. We are required to cross Farrington Road with 3 children without access to a pedestrian crosswalk at the walkway exit between Peggs Place and Farrington Road for direct access to Leeming Primary, we are also faced with ongoing traffic turning onto Farrington Road from Karel Ave. The constant high traffic flow makes crossing Farrington Road challenging.</p> <p>There is no need to reduce the speed limit to 50km the speed cushions assist with this, it is the quantity of traffic that is more of an issue</p>
39	<p>The speed cushions are not wide enough, you can drive over them at normal speed without the need to slow down if you line your wheels up properly. They need to be more sever higher humps and go over the road from one side to the other. Better yet we need the speed limit reduced to 50kms per hour and zebra crossings would be great to cross Farrington Road.</p>
40	<p>This is a great idea, how about a trial on Winthrop Dr Winthrop where there also a great need to do something about the speeding traffic.</p>

41	Since the speed cushions have been installed, it feels much safer using the roundabouts, as people usually fly through them. I'm not sure if the speed cushions are needed at every entrance however. The roundabout closest to the freeway, I feel that the speed cushion is only needed on Farrington road travelling west, right outside the daycare. The roundabout (Aulberry Pde & Farrington Rd) the only speed cushion is needed on Farrington Rd travelling east.
42	No problem with it as long as it keep community safe. I would however request a more gradual cushion over a sudden one but wider to slow down wide wheel base vehicles
43	A very good idea. However the Cushions are too narrow and most medium to large vehicles can go over the cushion with wheels on either side of the cushion, result no impact.
44	The speed bumps should be the full length of the road to stop the cars going around. Also we need them at the exits to stop the cars speeding on Casserly, we have young children in the area and it's only a matter of time before someone gets hit by a speeding car or motorcycle.
45	I am in support of having speed cushions on farrington rd. I just hope when they become permanent, a wider kerb to kerb cushions are used. The ones installed now are nothing for 4wd cars. Also, may I suggest speed cushions on findlay road as well please. Thank you.
46	It is a great idea to have a speed cushion to slow down the traffic. However the width of the cushions is too small for some big cars. They can drive pass without hitting them.
47	The noise factor has increased from the bumps. I fully understand why they have been installed but when the larger vehicles try miss them or part connect the noise is loud. Can I suggest that these bumps be increased to get the whole width of road so the vehicles have to slow right down.
48	Our community road is being used as a a major traffic thoroughfare by road users wishing to shortcut through our community to connect to other major roads/highways. Cushions do an excellent job by slowing down traffic & reducing traffic through our community (shopping & Rec Centres and school crossings located on this Rd). However, if it were to have a MORE SIGNIFICANT IMPACT, MORE speed cushions need to be installed EAST of the Farrington Road shops, towards Karel Road.
49	Brilliant job installing the speed cushions on Farrington Road. Hoping this will make Farrington road so much SAFER for our school children, shopping centre patrons and recreational sports players....not to mention slowing down some of the traffic that takes a short cut through our suburb. Thank you so much. 1. Install cushion between the Aulberry Pde& Findlay Rd roundabouts 2. Longer, larger speed cushions required. 3. More 'slow point' signage 4. Reduce speed Farrington Rd 50km, & 40km student area 5. Farrington Rd shop crosswalk required 6. Traffic signal at the west entrance to Farrington Rd, from the Kwinana Fwy, instead of give way sign.

50	The speed bumps help us to get onto the roundabouts, but don't help with being able to cross Farrington road as a pedestrian. It is very difficult and dangerous to cross when trying to walk to the shops with the kids. I would love traffic lights or zebra crossing to help.
51	Yes, the cushions slow the traffic but the roundabouts do that anyway. I think they are too high.
52	I'm all for thembut need a couple more at the Karel end of Casserly drive round about.
53	Just wanted to say thank you to the council decision on this trial as it has finally allowed road users to safely enter Farrington Road from Casserly Drive. Countless times were road users traveling along Farrington Road at high speeds which meant motorists turning onto it had to essentially plant it or risk being T-Boned. Will be very happy if they are a permanent fixture. Thank you
54	Very happy with the speed cushions. They are definitely slowing traffic down. Great placement just before the entry of the roundabout. The only complaint is that they should be longer eg across the whole road, for if you have a big 4 wheel drive, you can drive over them without slowing down.
55	These cushions are in completely the incorrect positioning to achieve a slowdown through the roundabout. In fact they are a hazard being right on the entrance. Whoever thought of this position has made an error. This error may be remedied by moving the cushion backward 10 to 15 metres to slow the approaching traffic down but giving it time to build to the correct entry speed instead of a full angled stop creating a bottleneck. Please rectify this and trial these where they should be
56	Happy something has been done at last. Doesn't slow down the trucks or motorbikes unfortunately but at least cars have to slow down a bit to go over them.
57	Great initiative, I would fully support this as a permanent fixture. I use the Farrington road and Auberry Parade intersection everyday and have already noticed an improvement in vehicle speeds and caution when vehicles are approaching from Farrington road, safety has improved. I think a wider and higher cushion would be even better. Perhaps the cushions could be closer to the roundabout?
58	Excellent start. To date, we have noticed a significant speed reduction by most vehicles using Farrington Rd. A speed limit reduction to 50km/hr would be useful
59	Great idea should have been done long ago ,need to put speed humps in other direction as the idiots speed up to roundabouts, also would be safer for the child minding centre on corner of Farrington road and Casserley drive. Also reduce speed limit on Farrington Road to 50kph, from Karel ave to Casserly drive or Freeway entrance.
60	The bumps are a bit too close to the roundabouts. If there is heavy traffic and I have to stop I find it hard to get over them in my little car. They are also very jarring on the car and my back. I would prefer more bumps along the route that are the width of the road and gentler. I support traffic slowing measures but feel these need some adjustments
61	Need to be wider
62	They are great idea and do slow the traffic down. The section of Farrington Road between Auberry Parade and Findlay Road is quite long and there are many exits (sports centre, Leeming Forum, BWS, Coles Express) which have a lot of traffic entering and leaving. I think some additional pillows along this stretch would improve safety. Im also in favour of reducing the speed limit to 50kmph

63	<p>Feedback will only draw a negative response. Most people will tell you they don't want it . But it is for everyone's safety. Never seek feedback on issues of safety over inconvenience. In fact the pillows should be made wider so drivers really have to slow down. You also need to put vibration ribs on the road to slow cars even further down.</p> <p>Don't wait for a fatal accident before something is done.</p>
64	<p>Speed bumps trial came timely to Farrington Rd, there were too many close calls, especially people on Farrington Rd not keeping it to the speed limit and flying through. Please ensure that the speed cushion / bump is wide enough to stop the large vehicles passing at speed.</p>
65	<p>Not very useful. Only Fri small cars. Any SUV can drive without the wheels even touching the cushion. Need to widen them.</p> <p>Also why not have a few zebra crossings on Farrington Road?</p>
66	<p>They have made it so much easier to turn out of Casserly Drive so I hope they stay. However I'm very dissatisfied that MRWA have decided one is not needed at the last roundabout on Farrington Road heading towards Karel Avenue. Cars continue to fly along here, especially in the morning on their way to work!</p>
67	<p>I think the bumps are working well and have slowed drivers down where used. No bump has been put on the eastbound roundabout approach which shares a junction with the eastern Casserly drive access. I think there should be one here as I've almost been hit on several occasions by cars not slowing as they drive along Farrington Rd towards Karel Avenue.</p>
68	<p>I think the speed cushions have made some differences to the road however I still find people hooning along once they have gone over the cushion. I feel the speed limit in this area should be lowered from 60km to 50km as it's a built up area of houses and businesses and people crossing , change it once they have passed Casserly heading to the freeway. I walked my dog down this road every day .</p>
69	<p>They are great and really working to slow down traffic. Thank you.</p> <p>Would be better if they could be wider as trucks using Farrington Rd to get from Spearwood to Roe highway are not slowing down and simply straddle them. Could trucks not servicing Farmington Fair shops be band from using Farrington Rd as a rat run please??</p>
70	<p>I have noticed majority of cars slow down to avoid damage to their car. Trucks and utes still fly straight over them. I am not sure what the long term solution would be to fix this issue but I thank you for trialing this initiative and gaining feedback. It won't make everyone happy but that's not what it is about keeping people happy, but safe To your question about reducing speed I'm not sure it's going to make a difference unless you dedicate police and cameras</p>
71	<p>No warning signs are up to show there's a speed bump. At night time you can barely see them and my Ola driver didn't see it and went straight over it, causing our backs to be pretty painful! Theyre also not very wide so that would explain why the 4WD in front of us went over it with ease (tyres either side). Good idea to help slow traffic but they need to stand out more!</p>

72	Has slowed traffic down but it has significantly increased congestion, particularly around school times and at beginning and end of the day, particularly for east flowing traffic which can't enter Roe hwy any other way. I now often have to wait at roundabouts and intersections for a car to turn right so as to enter Farrington Rd. PLEASE GET THE MURDOCH DRIVE/FARRINGTON ROAD INTERSECTION UPGRADED TO ALLOW ENTRY TO ROE HWY.
73	They're way too steep. I have a low sports-type car and every time I drive over a hump it's exceptionally unpleasant. They either need to be reduced in size or removed all together
74	First of all thank you for installing those speed cushions, great initiative. My concern is that I still see many drivers of big 4WD / Ute vehicles and small trucks that, having higher and wider wheels, go through those speed cushions at a much faster speed than drivers with small/medium cars. Actually, some don't slow down at all. So it looks to me that to be really effective these speed cushions should be a bit wider and higher.
75	The speed cushions are find. The narrowing of the road approaching the roundabouts have made it even more dangerous than previous for cyclists when moving out from the bike lane into the flow of traffic to negotiate the roundabout.
76	My main concern is the line of sight when traveling west on Farrington and making a right hand turn into Aulberry pde ..because the speed limit is 60kmph drivers tend to not reduce speed on the approach onto the roundabout. Mainly because the oncoming traffic is hidden by the island vegetation and trees .. The removal of one grass tree which over hang onto the roadside near the roundabout has improved some what near accidents... Drivers need to be able to see on coming traffic .. while trees and shrubs make the street look appealing it is dangerous .. these shrubs should be kept low .. in a narrow street such as Farrington and speed limits of 60kmph. Speed bumps are most welcomed but I have noticed Drivers are already working out ways to bypass them rather than slowing down, it is however slowing some traffic . However, the majority of Drivers are not educated on roundabout rules perhaps money spent also on education of roundabout rules will be well spent. There is an assumption by most drivers that give way to the right applies at roundabout when in fact it does not.
77	I think the speed cushions installed have had a positive impact to reduce traffic speeds of vehiles entering and exiting the roundabouts along Farrington. I would like to see them become a permanent fixutre. I travel along farrington multiple times a day and before speed cushions I had mutliple near miss collisions. Since the speed cushion install, I have experienced drivers entering at slower and safer speeds.
78	The speed cushions are good to slow traffic down around roundabouts, but we need more method for pedestrians to safely cross the Farrington road where IGA and high school are, such as traffic lights or cross walk. It's really hard and dangerous for residents with young kids to cross the road especially during peak hour.
79	When I approach the western Farrington Road/Casserly Drive roundabout from Casserly Drive, I always find vehicles approaching the roundabout from the east travel way too fast and I and other drivers had to stop to let these vehicles go first. Vehicle flows would be much smoother and safety improved if a speed cushion is also installed on the approach to this roundabout from the east.

Non-support participant submission

1	Overkill. Far too many. They're too high and too short so it is incredibly uncomfortable for the full road length now.
2	These "cushions" are completely (word removed). Small cars like mine have to slow almost to a crawl and go over with just the wheels on one side, while old Karen Congress charging up behind at 100 miles an hour in her SUV and flies over them without slowing down. On Reynolds Rd we have them at the bottom of the hill and I've had several close calls with idiots that are used to just flying through them suddenly lock the brakes because I've got to go over at a crawl so I don't haemorrhage a spinal disc.
3	I think it is ridiculous, I have lived in Leeming for 18 years. And not once have I ever seen a crash on Farrington Road . You could be spending your money elsewhere like monitoring crime in the area.
4	Speed bumps are too close to the roundabouts, thus not allowing the cars to travel slowly enough and some of them when braking. The car doesn't have enough time which positions the car to i have found when approaching some of the roundabouts that there are no signs advising that they are coming up are available. Thus not allowing the vehicle to stop in time and the car advances nearly onto the roundabout. Are signs going to be erected well before the speed humps? move onto the roundabout. A couple are much further back which allows plenty of time to brake
5	The cushions have reduced the speed of cars at times and increased congestion and it can be difficult to cross the road at times esp afternoons. Given kids from nearby school and on bikes need to cross the road this has increased the dangers for them. I would be in favour of removing those not on Farrington Road. Most drivers on Farrington road do not observe the usual road rules for roundabouts and treat them as just a curve in the road. It is dangerous to use the roundabouts
6	These are terrible hurts my back going over all the time and unnecessary
7	The first time I came across one of these, heading west, I found it distracted me from the roundabout coming up because I was looking at the speed cushion rather than the upcoming intersection. Could the speed cushion be a bit further away from the roundabout?
8	Current speed bumps are useless, should install full width sharp rise style speed bumps to slow down 4x4 utes and trucks as they currently just ride over the installed speed bumps.
9	Not a fan of these. Slows down traffic which is the purpose, but it's too slow on approach and banks up the traffic taking too long.
10	The roundabouts on Farrington Road already force drivers to slow down as they approach them and therefore there is no need for these speed cushions. Farrington Road is an open road with no visual obstructions preventing drivers from seeing clearly down the length of the road thus giving drivers plenty of time to assess the traffic flow as they approach the roundabouts.
11	I believe that in order to reduce speed approaching runabout, speed cushions needs to be Wider/ Bigger as i could easily avoid driving on speed cushions by positioning cushions in middle between my SUV's left and right tyres. Thankyou
12	I drive a mazda2 and these speed bumps are far to small for my car to drive over evenly. I find them incredibly uncomfortable to drive over and inconvenient; living on FARRINGTON road I can't avoid them.
13	The cushions are so small that larger 4wd and bigger vehicles are not hindered by them in any way. Instead of spending money on this why are you not working with the State Govt to reintroduce Roe 8 and 9 and get the traffic off Farrington Road and Leach Hwy? 2 roads in your electorate that are continuing to see a large increase in traffic.

14	The cushions are so small that larger 4wd and bigger vehicles are not hindered by them in any way. Instead of spending money on this why are you not working with the State Govt to reintroduce Roe 8 and 9 and get the traffic off Farrington Road and Leach Hwy? 2 roads in your electorate that are continuing to see a large increase in traffic.
15	An absolute over kill. Such a dramatic change to a main road. The constant noise is annoying. Just finish Roe 8
16	Not a fan of the speed bumps on Farrington, I have lived in the area for a long time and am used to the roads, I forgot the speed bumps had been installed and the car in front of me drastically braked to get over the bump, leading to me having to brake extra hard, nearly causing a crash. 100% certain it wouldn't have happened if there was no speed bumps. Thank you
17	I don't like them as you have less distance to safely brake before a round about, vehicles have to slow down any way for the round about so why put a speed bump in the braking distance
18	I am a senior civil designer at a civil engineering consultancy in Perth and I am personally opposed to the speed cushions on Farrington Road. Please find attached a word document containing my feedback on the speed cushion trial.
19	Not effective at slowing those looking to speed. Only inconveniencing the entire town that need to drive over these bumps multiple times per day. Find a way to diverge the Roe traffic from driving along this road in the first place.
20	Where is the evidence of constant speeding, crashes, safety issues to The Community at the roundabouts mentioned? Although there is more traffic its not to the point where these speed cushions are needed, and they are by NO means cushions! They are known to damage tyres, wheel alignment and suspension on vehicles. I DO NOT speed at all so why should I be forced to potentially damage my car just to go to Leeming Forum? Are you going to pay for repairs to my vehicle if it gets damaged,,,
21	I've lived in Leeming for over a decade and haven't seen a need for cushions. Mostly, we seem to be waiting for drivers to make a many-seconds-longer-than-necessary judgement as to whether they can enter the roundabout. It seems the speedbumps came about to cater for a small percentage of Leeming; whose lacking ability to judge traffic are inevitably going to be putting others at risk. Congestion was bad before the cushions.
22	No, to the speed bumps. We do not want the bumps.
23	The bumps are very inconvenient to the traffic, especially in the peak hours of the morning. Traffic backs up and moves very slowly through these roundabouts which is very annoying to school students and parents who's children are late to school because of this hold up. There has been some outrage on facebook groups as hundreds are inconvenienced by this and those with lower cars such as myself worry about the damage these do to the bottom of our cars and our suspension. Thank you.
24	New speed bumps have caused so many near accidents for me. I drive a car very low to the ground and I am aware many don't have this issue but I have to slow down to near stopping and have had so many near misses with cars behind me almost banging in to me. There is no way to get to IGA without going over them which has actually forced me to shop elsewhere so I am no longer supporting local even though this shop is a few minutes from my house.

25	<p>They are the worst design ever ! I live just around the corner and to get anywhere I have to go over them multiple times a day. I'm pregnant and going over them is super uncomfortable and need to pee !! I'm sure once the second baby arrives it will wake both kids every single day. A normal speed bump you can drive over smoothly these are hard to judge as you have to be exactly centered to not be knocked about.</p>
26	<p>As the proposal to install speed cushions on Farrington Road was solely to mitigate the apparent danger of motorists travelling on Farrington Road at a speed above 50kph (though within the current 60kph limit) and/or failing. Was installing signage prior to the roundabouts reminding motorists to give way to vehicles already on the roundabout considered as an alternative or additional option to speed cushions? If not, or were considered and dismissed as an option, explain why. I read a recent newspaper report in which CoM CEO Martin Tieleman is quoted as saying the cost of installing speed cushions on Farrington Rd is expected to be around \$120,000! Please tell me CoM is NOT spending (wasting). As much of the unnecessary angst is about negotiating roundabouts, signage is required to advise motorists to "Give way to vehicles already on roundabout" to overcome the misunderstanding many have that they should give way to the right.If limit is reduced to 50 kph, remove ALL speed cushions. Remove speed cushions on roads intersecting Farrington as these were never part of the consultation or proposal as the only matters under consideration were speed & volume of traffic on Farrington Rd!</p>
27	<p>Traffic density on Farrington Road is increasing. Cars and trucks are using it as a "rat run" to and from Karel Avenue. Also, a slip lane should be installed to allow for safe left turn from Farrington into Logan Road (see attached).</p>
28	<p>Not happy with the installation of speed cushions as most of our neighbors and friends have also said. Just creates more congestion at peak times. So much time and money has been spent on Farmington road. I think it should have been left as it originally was. Moving traffic from A to B smoothly. Too much traffic in a small area can't be cleared effectively by stop start movements. My speed limit is 50 in the suburbs.</p>
29	<p>I believe the speed cushions are more of a hazard than a help.The roundabouts already in place are enough of an impediment to control traffic. I believe the foot crossings should be replaced by a pedestrian bridge/s for improved pedestrian safety. The bus bay and left turn lane at the Karel Ave lights should be amalgamated. There is often a line up of cars waiting to turn right and those wanting to turn left are prevented from getting into the left turn lane and moving forward to the lights,by the pavement between the bus bay and the left turn lane.</p>
30	<p>I am completely against the speed cushions leading into the roundabouts on Farrington Road, Leeming, they are completely superfluous given the need to reduce car speed to transit through the three roundabouts on this section of the road. My observation is that the speed cushions create a hazard for vehicle drivers, especially older folk still uncertain about how to safely transit through a roundabout. I would suggest that it is impossible to speed along this section of Farrington Road given the three roundabouts there. I am a frequent user of Farrington Road, and have been over the past thirty years, often using the road twice a day on most days of the week. In my thirty years I have not been aware of any significant car crashes or injuries on Farrington Road. I note that Council has not published any statistics to support the need for speed cushions. The current poor road design of this section of Farrington Road adds to driver frustration. Irrespective of what else is happening with east west road access in Leeming, I support the let's build Roe 8 & 9 movement, Farrington Road will always be an important feeder route, please don't make it harder for the community to use this road. Speed cushions are not the answer, accepting that Farrington Road is an important feeder route and designing it to meet this need is a far better approach. I already thought that 50km was the speed limit, policing of the limit is the answer not speed cushions especially on the entrance to roundabouts</p>

	which create a hazard. I have not seen any policing of this section of Farrington Road for traffic code violations of recent times.
31	You've impeded traffic flow and made it significantly worse. Was this dreamt up by some (content removed) own thought? Here's an idea, clear the vegetation to improve visibility at the roundabouts? The grass trees have not been maintained, and the trees in the middle of the roundabouts impede visibility. I understand that they look 'pretty' but what is more important, safety or aesthetics?
32	I don't think that these have made any real difference to the congestion issue on Farrington road and are a waste of time. There are very few " crashes " on this stretch of road and if these are supposed to be a deterrent for the use of the road as a " rat run " by Cockburn residents it isn't effective enough.
33	Absolutely unnecessary! First you reduce Farrington road to one lane, then you shut down access to South St via Murdoch drive. Now with these silly speed breakers you think people are going to avoid using the road or slow down? What a hope! Farrington is and always will be a feeder road to Roe highway & North lake road! Take Roe hwy to North Lake & give Farrington Rd access to Kwinana hwy South from the Murdoch Rd loop!!!
34	Who invented the term "Speed Cushions" these traffic hazards are far from cushions!!
35	Personally I have had a very negative experience with the speed bumps being introduced. I'm a disability support worker and have two clients with severe physical and mental disabilities, the bumps have added extra physical pain when commuting to and from appointments. I believe there is a better way to stop our communities speeding problem in a less invasive way eg adding stop signs or creating S sections coming up to the roundabouts.
36	The speed humps are a bad idea, i've not seen any evidence to suggest that speed humps do anything to change people's route. There is no alternative to Farrington anyway, so it's not a rat run, it is the logical path. Farrington Rd should be redesigned to increase traffic flow rates, not make it more congested by reducing them.
37	We don't like them, I've only ever done 40km down Farrington road. Maybe set some speed cameras or those signs that light up showing speeding? The worst offenders are the strip right in front of the shops, naturally the speed is slower at a round about. Please take them out
38	Backs up traffic making it difficult to turn on to or off of Farrington road at Logan road. Previous wait time was 1-2 cars, now 7-10 cars. Notice this effect all along Farrington

39	Terrible idea. Get rid of speed humps. Stops you filtering smoothly into round about. Build roe 8 to solve problem.
40	Absolute waste of money. 4wd's and SUV's have not problem gliding over them without a glitch not even realising they are there but the rest of us that have standard vehicles or lowered ones are scrapping the bottom of the underneath. My Camry does not like it and therefore I'm going to one side of the bump to miss the scrap. The design is extremely poor and not going to slow many down if their car isn't impacted. It's us sensible people with standard vehicles that are being impacted the most.
41	Farrington Road has been used as an alternative to South Street, and those roundabouts installed years ago, didn't deter traffic flows on Farrington Road. It is doubtful that those speed cushions are going to work in reducing trucks and other vehicles using Farrington Road either. The only way to reduce trucks and cars using Farrington Road is to install several monitored and controlled Traffic Lights.
42	I don't understand how these were thought to be a good idea to trial in the first place!
43	I have found it more challenging to cross the road as a pedestrian since the introduction of the speed cushions, as cars bank up when traffic is busy. I would prefer they were removed and speed limited stay at 60km/hr. Or remove them at the 2 small roundabouts and keep at the larger one.
44	Don't make much difference, too narrow, smaller than the rear axle of an SUV. Won't slow down the hoons who use Farrington, Casserley as a race track.
45	Cars are now either backed up at peak times, heading into roundabouts or commuters are just low flying over them. A lesser speed restriction on Farmington won't help, but maybe some multi nova speed cameras permanently placed will do the trick. For motorists to slow down there has to be a fine put in place. The damage that these speed bumps are doing to our cars no matter how slow we go over them it can't be good.
46	I live in the cockburn area just out of Melville area. We were not advised by either council which is not good enough. Having to drive over these cushions to get onto farrington road which is our only way out is a very uncomfortable experience and not good for the car . Please remove these cushions
47	The speed cushion trial will I am sure assist the residents in that location, but what about the residents that reside at the other end of Farrington Road. My residence backs onto Farrington Road but you would think it was the Roe Highway. There is only one solution to the traffic on Farrington Road, and that is to complete the Roe Highway as originally planned. While we have a Labour Government in the State that appears not possible. As a rate payer any ideas are welcome.
48	Hate them with unbridled passion. Drivers should easily be able to negotiate a roundabout without the need for great suspension destroying lumps in the road at unexpected approach angles. Just reduce the speed limit and enforce it if you want traffic calming measures and use rumble strips on roundabout approaches if you are keen to reduce entry speeds.
49	Very unhappy with them. Not only do they increase congestion, they are uncomfortable to go over at any speed. Furthermore, I was so busy trying to get over them smoothly I nearly ran into a car that had stopped in front of me.

50	The speed humps are skinnier than the track of most cars. I've seen cars still fly over it without having any effect on their speed. What a waste of ratepayers money. Traffic would not be on Farrington Road if the Roe Highway interchange was designed correctly to direct traffic away from Farrington Road.
51	Unnecessary , Annoying . Hard to drive over, Husband had back pain and surgery so every jolt is painful for him . We don't need them
52	There is enough traffic calming devices. The roundabouts are sufficient. Cars have to slow anyway. The speed humps are not necessary.
53	An absolute waste of money. Defeats the entire purpose of a roundabout which is to keep traffic flowing. Causes stop/start/hesitation and will result in accidents. I never had a problem with the roundabouts, the speed people travel through them or anything else. Please remove asap
54	See attached file. The 500 character limit is insufficient to make proper comment but this was probably intentional on your part. You need to do better.
55	A band aid isn't going to fix a broken leg! Speed cushions aren't going to reduce the volume of traffic going through our residential area. Reducing speed limit isn't going to reduce traffic volume. Redesign the Murdoch Road connection. Do better! Fix your mistakes properly.
56	If all Speed Cushions are installed, why is one missing from Farrington eastbound on the eastern most roundabout? Why on earth would they put one on the Casserley entry to that roundabout and not the Farrington Rd entry??? To clarify my answer to 60kmh/50kmh, no, I don't need 50kmh, instead I feel there is a need for a pedestrian crossing in front of the shops, and for ways to break up the traffic in to clumps so side traffic and pedestrians can cross, not a need to slow the traffic down.
57	I live in Leeming and am against the new traffic bumps in Farrington Road and side streets. A better option would be curves in the road similar to those approaching the Riverton Bridge in Shelly check it out. The current speed bumps are a windfall for tyre dealers with wheel alignments.
58	Not a fan of the speed cushion trial, I live on a street where I have to use it multiple times a day and my car is quite low, finding the cushions quite annoying. Aren't speed cushions prohibitive for emergency vehicles too? The solution to fixing the traffic issue is to do Roe Highway properly, not a speed cushion.
59	It's useless to install multiple speed cushions on all roundabouts along Farrington road. If speed reduction is needed just reduce the speed along the high pedestrian zone to 50km/h. Having speed cushions on all the roundabouts create unnecessary increase of travel time.
60	Speed cameras are more of a deterrent than speed humps. I know there will be some people that say cameras are only revenue making, no they are not, you speed you pay simple, do the right thing, let's not sugar coat the situation with wasted money on speed humps, it's been happening way to long, as a shire let's get hard on these mongrels, show our residents we are going to fix this problem once and for all. Thank you

61	A big issue is the size of the plants in the median strip obstructing traffic to the right. The speed bumps are located right in the braking zone and in the wet offer little traction. It's frustrating to see a road that was once dual lane traffic reduced to what it is now when there are limited access points to cross the freeway. RE. Speed limit. Most of the traffic has been around 50km/h anyway. Seems a more logical step than the bumps. I'd prefer 60 and back to dual lane though...
62	Remove them now, they are a hazard making it dangerous and damaging. Build roe 8. Issues are poor driver behaviour, making roads an obstacle course is making it worse.
63	I have been a resident in Leeming regularly traverse Farrington road for over 20 years. This speed cushion initiative in my opinion is pointless, it causes an obstacle to smooth traffic flow and does not reduce vehicle speed. The speed cushions are installed on the approach to the roundabouts, when vehicles have to drop their speed anyway to navigate the curved approach, which makes the speed cushions redundant and unnecessary.
64	Trucks and hoons still fly over the speed cushions. There are so many kids walking to and from school along this road, why not consider making it local traffic only for this stretch?
65	The speed cushions have not been effective in slowing vehicles down. Vehicles slow down when approaching roundabouts as a matter of course. Why not put them where vehicles are consistently exceeding the speed limit on Farrington road west of the freeway to North Lake road which would make that section of the road safer and reduce noise pollution for the residents living immediately north of Farrington road who have to endure traffic noise early in the morning and throughout the day.
66	- Traffic travelling along Farrington during peak times is now more compressed. This means turning onto Farrington from the side streets such as Casserly Dr is much harder now as there are fewer gaps in the traffic. I am finding myself taking much more riskier opportunities. - Alternative traffic paths would be a better investment. Such as extending Roe Hwy to North Lake Road.
67	As frequent users of Farrington Road (attending Striker sports centre and accessing Karel Avenue) and as drivers of smaller cars we see no benefit from the speed cushions. In fact we feel that they are more likely to be the cause of rear-end collisions when smaller cars need to brake at the cushions and large vehicles don't. This stretch of Farrington Road is already speed-controlled by reduced lanes and roundabouts.
68	Living in Leeming and working in Bibra Lake I have to use Farrington Road every day. I find the new speed bumps dangerous as you have to watch them and not the oncoming traffic. I think they should be removed. Alternatively, lobby to install Roe 8 and I'll go that way instead.
69	Farrington is a race track for rat running and cutting through for many non residents of the area. Coming out of Casserley onto Farrington, these bumps are slowing everyone down which is great. There is one cushion missing near the crosswalk near the east Farrington Casserly roundabout I nearly got cleaned up turning right onto Farrington because no one slows down on the approach heading east - I know the cross wall is there but a small jump installed could help here even more.
70	The speed cushions are not effective as they make the ride bumpy. Some cars go extremely slow over them, causing congestion esp during peak hours. Reduced speed will be a better option.
71	I don't think that we need it. It's not positioned properly plus it's more nuisance than useful.

72	There really isnt any need for "speed cushions " on farrington road. Open the farrington road to murdoch drive road again that leads to the hospital, if anything get some speed cameras on karel ave near the roe highway entrance, you hear cars and motor bikes going nuts at 10/11 at night .
73	Terrible, they don't reduce your speed, I've seen cars fly over them.
74	Not a fan, have a small car and it does not handle them well, better option in my opinion is drop the speed limit and then have police do there job and book people speeding. Big 4wd just straddle speed hump. My second response, not a fan of the ones that have been put in as big cars it has not effect. I prefer the west leeming primary school crosswalk ones they have in place. Would be happy with it if they were the same.
75	I cannot see how these speed humps AT the roundabouts actually do anything to deter drivers from driving too fast ALONG Farrington Road. They should have been installed on the stretches between the roundabouts.
76	Absolutely a pain in the arse, I don't even drive that way if I can avoid it now, they are to large and hurt my back every time I drive over them and I don't even have a back issue, not to mention what this has to be doing to my cars suspension.
77	I think the speed cushions are a waste of rate payers' taxes. The very nature of roundabouts means that you are forced to slow down when approaching them. To my way of thinking, speed cushions are only useful to slow down traffic on very long, straight roads. It would be interesting to know if there are more traffic accidents than normal on similar roads to Farrington Road.
78	No speed bumps for Farrington road please.
79	The speed cushions are an unnecessary and pointless addition to Farrington which is just slowing the entire road down and making traffic unbearable, it's already a one lane, 60 zone what is the point in these as it doesn't divert traffic, it only makes it hard to drive onto the roundabout?
80	Remove the stupid and dangerous speed bumps. This is improving nothing but moving traffic onto Casserly. If you had any idea or lived in the area you wouldn't have installed them. The real issue is the lack of Roe highway extensions and the fact that there is no south bound freeway access.
81	I believe they are not the solution to the problem we have. I beleive we should be buidling better roads for the traffic travelling east to west and west to east so that traffic around farrington is reduced. Farrington is the fastest way to get onto roe highway from south fremantle, north coogee, hamilton hill ect and is not designed for the amount of traffic we are seeing in the morning and afternoon. A solution such as roe 8 or similar would be the best outcome.
82	Speed cushions are too narrow , cars and larger vehicles are still flying over them at high speed. I've stood and watched this happening many times during my day as well as being on the road myself navigating the roundabouts.The speed at which most people travel is excessive for Farrington Road . The roundabouts are not slowing drivers down either. Something else needs to be done to slow the traffic
83	Speed cushions are too narrow , cars and larger vehicles are still flying over them at high speed . I've stood and watched this happening many times during my day as well as being on the road myself navigating the roundabouts . The speed at which most people travel is excessive for Farrington Road . The roundabouts are not slowing drivers down either . Something else needs to be done to slow the traffic

84	I find these speed cushions a menace. I try to target contact with low impact on my suspension. There must be another way to pin idiots. As I frequent the Farrington shops, get rid of them.
85	We have found out that these speed cushions placed near/along Farrington Rd are way too many and will not impact on slowing down the traffic on roundabouts. As we notice, all 4WD cars still drive over these speed cushion on a high speed due to their height and wheels size. Whilst the normal size cars have to slow down and yet on weekends, these speed cushions are causing traffic jams (on direction from off ramp Roe Hwy towards Karel Ave).
86	They create more roundabout entry hesitancy and congests traffic at peak times. Cars are no longer giving way, They're stopping. This defies the point of roundabouts.
87	The question is not about the speed of the traffic along Farrington Road; it's more about where that traffic is coming from.
88	I feel that the speed cushions installed on Farrington Road are a waste of time because most road users are trucks and/or public who now drive SUV's, 4x4's or 4x4 work utes and are therefore able to straddle the cushions with ease and do not need to slow down at all. If the idea is to slow down Farrington Road traffic, then why have they been placed on the side access roads (e.g., Casserly, Aulberry and Findlay)? My understanding of the installation of the speed cushions was to reduce traffic speeds on Farrington Road and therefore allow easier and safer access to residents in the area onto Farrington Road from the side streets, however, by installing the cushions on the side streets you are making it difficult for residents as they too have to negotiate the speed cushions – this seems to me that we have the same problem but with speed cushions now involved. I believe for the cushions to be of some benefit, if anything, to residents that they need to be double the width so that vehicles, no matter how large, will have to slow down to ease over them or risk damage to their vehicle (as previously mentioned most vehicles are more than capable of mounting the speed cushions with ease and at speeds of 50-60kmh). In my view the volume of traffic is the real issue that needs to be addressed, specifically the large trucks that frequently cut through Leeming. I am at a loss to understand why they are banned from the 3-4 lane 70kmh South Street, but they can barrel down a single lane suburban road that has 2 children's crossings, family houses and small businesses all entering and exiting. Families and small businesses are having to contend with these trucks as they cut through to get to Roe Highway, Freeway South, and the Jandakot Industrial Area (these vehicles are not small, some are semi-trailers with full loads – how can these possibly stop in time if a child was to run out?). In my opinion, there needs to be a (ring road) on-ramp at the Murdoch Chase roundabout before the Puma service station to allow the heavy vehicles and other road users that are not local to the area to gain direct route to the Murdoch Drive overpass bridge taking them straight to their destination while completely avoiding Leeming.